

Change Point Tests Based on U-Statistics with Applications in Reliability.

Aly, Emad-Eldin A. A.; Kochar, Subhash C.

pp. 259 - 270



Terms and Conditions

The Göttingen State and University Library provides access to digitized documents strictly for noncommercial educational, research and private purposes and makes no warranty with regard to their use for other purposes.

Some of our collections are protected by copyright. Publication and/or broadcast in any form (including electronic) requires prior written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library.

Each copy of any part of this document must contain there Terms and Conditions. With the usage of the library's online system to access or download a digitized document you accept there Terms and Conditions.

Reproductions of material on the web site may not be made for or donated to other repositories, nor may be further reproduced without written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library

For reproduction requests and permissions, please contact us. If citing materials, please give proper attribution of the source.

Contact:

Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek

Digitalisierungszentrum

37070 Goettingen

Germany

Email: gdz@www.sub.uni-goettingen.de

Purchase a CD-ROM

The Goettingen State and University Library offers CD-ROMs containing whole volumes / monographs in PDF for Adobe Acrobat. The PDF-version contains the table of contents as bookmarks, which allows easy navigation in the document. For availability and pricing, please contact:

Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Goettingen - Digitalisierungszentrum

37070 Goettingen, Germany, Email: gdz@www.sub.uni-goettingen.de

Change Point Tests Based on U-Statistics with Applications in Reliability

EMAD-ELDIN A. A. ALY¹

Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Kuwait University, P.O. Box 5969, Safat 13060, Kuwait and Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1

SUBHASH C. KOCHAR²

Indian Statistical Institute, 7, SJS Sansanwall Marg, New Delhi, India

Abstract: We consider the problem of testing the null hypothesis of no change against the alternative of exactly one change point. The proposed tests are based on generalized two-sample U-statistic processes. We derive the limiting null distributions of the proposed tests. Some applications in Statistical Reliability are given.

1 Introduction

Change point problems have received considerable attention in the last two decades. They are often encountered in the fields of quality control, reliability and survival analysis. A typical situation occurs in quality control when one observes the output of a production line and is interested in detecting any change in the quality of the product.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with respective distribution functions F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n . We may be interested in the problem of testing the null hypothesis of no change

$$H_0: F_1 = F_2 = \dots = F_n = F, \quad \text{where } F \text{ is unknown}$$

against the alternative of exactly one change point

$$H_1': F_1 = \dots = F_{[n\tau]} = F \quad \text{and} \quad F_{[n\tau]+1} = \dots = F_n = G,$$

$$\text{where } F \neq G \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \in (0, 1) \quad \text{are unknown,}$$

¹ This research was supported by an NSERC Canada grant at the University of Alberta.

² Part of this research was done while visiting the University of Alberta supported by the NSERC Canada grant of the first author.

where $[x]$ is the integer part of x . In H'_1 we may consider various types of restrictions on the nature of the difference between F and G , parametric as well as nonparametric. In this article we will only consider the nonparametric approach. For additional results and references on change point analysis we refer to Zacks (1983), Bhattacharyya (1984), Csörgő and Horváth (1988a), Sen (1988), Lombard (1989) and Hušková and Sen (1989).

Most of the previous work in this area is focused on possible location changes, that is, when G is of the form $G(x) = F(x - \theta)$ for all x and for some unknown θ . We note here that the problem of testing H_0 against H'_1 is like a two-sample problem based on two independent samples, $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{[n\tau]}$ and $X_{[n\tau]+1}, \dots, X_n$, with unknown $\tau \in (0, 1)$. Motivated by this observation Sen and Srivastava (1975) proposed the test statistics $S_n^{(1)}$ and $S_n^{(2)}$ for the one-sided and the two-sided location alternatives, respectively, where

$$S_n^{(1)} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \left(\frac{V_{k,n-k} - E_0(V_{k,n-k})}{\sqrt{\text{var}_0(V_{k,n-k})}} \right),$$

$$S_n^{(2)} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \left| \frac{V_{k,n-k} - E_0(V_{k,n-k})}{\sqrt{\text{var}_0(V_{k,n-k})}} \right|,$$

$V_{k,n-k}$ is the usual Mann-Whitney form of the Wilcoxon statistics based on the two samples X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k and X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n and $E_0(V_{k,n-k})$ (resp. $\text{var}_0(V_{k,n-k})$) is the expectation (resp. variance) of $V_{k,n-k}$ under H_0 . We note here that $S_n^{(1)}$ and $S_n^{(2)}$ are extensions of the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test statistic to the change point setup. Csörgő and Horváth (1988b) obtained the limiting distributions of statistics of the above type when the associated U-statistics are based on kernels of degree (1, 1), which include the above case. Pettitt (1979), Sen (1982), Csörgő and Horváth (1988b), and Hawkins (1989) proposed tests for H_0 against H'_1 which are based on one-sample U-statistics.

It is well known that the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test has good power properties for detecting location differences for moderate tailed distributions and for testing against stochastic ordering alternatives in some other cases. However, several two-sample tests based on generalized U-statistics of degrees other than (1, 1) are available in the literature which are good competitors of the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test and it would be of interest to examine their extensions to the change point setup. The investigation of change point test procedures which are based on generalized U-statistics of degrees other than (1, 1) is also motivated by the following example.

Example 1: Let F be a life distribution function, $\bar{F} = 1 - F$ and f be the corresponding survival and density functions, respectively. The hazard rate function of F is $r_F(\cdot) = f(\cdot)/\bar{F}(\cdot)$. Suppose that the quality of items produced in an assembly line is measured by their hazard rates. An item with a smaller hazard rate would

tend to survive longer. Due to wear and tear of the machinery it might be of interest to test H_0 against H_1' where the difference between F and G is expressed as $F \underset{hr}{\prec} G$, i.e., F is less than G in the hazard rate ordering which holds if and only if, $r_F(\cdot) \geq r_G(\cdot)$. In the corresponding two-sample problem, Kochar (1979) proposed a testing procedure based on a generalized U-statistic of degree (2, 2). A change point extension of Kochar (1979)'s test will be discussed in the sequel.

In this article we consider test procedures based on generalized U-statistics of degrees other than (1, 1). These procedures can be used to test H_0 against

$$H_1: H_1' \text{ holds with } F \underset{p_0}{\prec} G \text{ and } F \neq G ,$$

where $\underset{p_0}{\prec}$ is a specified partial ordering of the considered family of distribution functions like, for example, the stochastic and hazard rate orderings.

Next we give some basic definitions of generalized two-sample U-statistics of degree (m, m) . Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n_1} and Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n_2} be two independent samples of sizes n_1 and n_2 drawn from the Y and the Z populations, respectively. Let $\phi(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m; z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)$ be a kernel of degree (m, m) , $1 \leq m \leq \min(n_1, n_2)$. Without any loss of generality we may assume that ϕ is symmetric in its first (resp. last) m arguments. The roles of these two sets of arguments need not be (and frequently are not) symmetric. A kernel ϕ of degree (m, m) is said to be skew-symmetric if

$$\phi(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m; z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = -\phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) .$$

In most of the applications we employ skew-symmetric kernels. For this reason we will confine our attention to this type of kernels.

The generalized two-sample U-statistic based on the Y and Z samples and the kernel ϕ of degree (m, m) is defined as

$$U_{n_1, n_2} = \left\{ \binom{n_1}{m} \binom{n_2}{m} \right\}^{-1} \sum \sum \phi(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}; Z_{j_1}, \dots, Z_{j_m}) , \tag{1}$$

where the above summations extend over $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m \leq n_2$. Since the kernels are assumed to be skew-symmetric, it follows that under H_0 , $E(U_{n_1, n_2}) = 0$. For the kernel ϕ of (1) we define

$$\phi_1(y) = E\phi(y, Y_2, \dots, Y_m; Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_m) . \tag{2}$$

We will need the following condition

$$E|\phi(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m; Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_m)|^v < \infty , \quad \text{for some } v \geq 2 . \tag{3}$$

Let

$$\sigma_1^2 = E\phi_1^2(Y_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2^2 = E\phi_1^2(Z_1) .$$

Condition (3) insures that σ_1, σ_2 and $E|\phi_1(Y_1) \cdot \phi_1(Z_1)|$ are finite.

2 Tests Against the One-Change Point Alternative

Assume first that the change point τ is known and $[n\tau] = k, m \leq k \leq n - m$. Let $U_{k,n-k}$ be a generalized two-sample U-statistic based on a skew-symmetric kernel ϕ of degree (m, m) and the two samples X_1, \dots, X_k and X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n . The kernel ϕ is selected in such a way that $U_{k,n-k}$ is an appropriate test statistic for testing H_0 against H_1 when τ is known and $[n\tau] = k$ and large values of $U_{k,n-k}$ are significant. The two-sample U-statistic tests proposed here are based on the U-statistic process $\{U_n(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, where

$$U_n\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = m^{-1}n^{-2}k(n-k) \cdot U_{k,n-k} , \quad m \leq k \leq n - m , \quad (4)$$

$U_n(0) = U_n(1) = 0$ and $U_n(\cdot)$ is defined elsewhere by interpolation. One of the following test statistics may be used for testing H_0 against H_1 ,

$$T_{1n} = \sum_{k=m}^{n-m} U_n^2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) ,$$

$$T_{2n} = \sqrt{n} \max_{m \leq k \leq n-m} U_n\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) ,$$

$$T_{3n} = \sqrt{n} \max_{m \leq k \leq n-m} \left| U_n\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| ,$$

$$T_{4n} = n^2 \sum_{k=m}^{n-m} \frac{U_n^2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{k(n-k)} ,$$

$$T_{5n} = n^{3/2} \max_{m \leq k \leq n-m} \frac{U_n\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{k(n-k)}} ,$$

$$T_{6n} = n^{3/2} \max_{m \leq k \leq n-m} \frac{\left| U_n \left(\frac{k}{n} \right) \right|}{\sqrt{k(n-k)}}.$$

The limiting null distributions of T_{in} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ are given in the following Theorem which is proved in Section 4.

Theorem 1: Assume that ϕ is skew-symmetric of degree (m, m) , $B(\cdot)$ is a Brownian bridge, H_0 and condition (3) hold and let $\sigma^2 = E\phi_1^2(X_1)$.

1. If $v = 2$ in condition (3), then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$T_{1n}/\sigma^2 \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 B^2(s) ds := t_1,$$

$$T_{2n}/\sigma \xrightarrow{D} \sup_{0 < s < 1} B(s) := t_2,$$

and

$$T_{3n}/\sigma \xrightarrow{D} \sup_{0 < s < 1} |B(s)| := t_3.$$

2. If $v > 2$ in condition (3), then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$T_{4n}/\sigma^2 \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 \frac{B^2(s)}{s(1-s)} ds := t_4,$$

$$P\{T_{5n}/\sigma \leq a(y, \log n)\} \rightarrow \exp\{-\exp - y\}, \quad -\infty < y < \infty$$

and

$$P\{T_{6n}/\sigma \leq a(y, \log n)\} \rightarrow \exp\{-2 \exp - y\}, \quad 0 < y < \infty,$$

$$\text{where } a(y, s) = (2 \log s)^{-1/2} \{y + 2 \log s + \frac{1}{2} \log \log s - \frac{1}{2} \log \pi\}.$$

The critical values of t_1 , t_3 and t_4 are respectively given in Tables 4, 1 and 5 of Section 8 of Chapter 3 of Shorack and Wellner (1986). The critical values of t_2 are obtained from the well known result $\Pr\{t_2 \geq x\} = \exp\{-2x^2\}$, $x > 0$.

3 Applications

Example 2: This is a continuation of Example 1 in which we wish to test H_0 against

$$H_{1,hr}: H'_1 \text{ holds with } F \underset{hr}{\prec} G \text{ and } F \neq G .$$

In the corresponding two-sample problem, Kochar (1979) proposed the kernel

$$\phi(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } xxyy \text{ or } yxxy \\ 0 & \text{if } xyxy \text{ or } yxyx \\ 1 & \text{if } yyxx \text{ or } xyyx , \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where, for example, $yyxx$ represents $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq x_1 \leq x_2$, $y_2 \leq y_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_1$, $y_2 \leq y_1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2$ or $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq x_2 \leq x_1$. It is shown in Kochar (1979) that the two-sample test based on the kernel ϕ of (5) performs better than the Wilcoxon test for detecting hazard rate ordering.

Tests for H_0 against $H_{1,hr}$ can be based on the process $U_n(\cdot)$ of (4) with the kernel ϕ of (5) which is skew symmetric of degree (2, 2). Under H_0 and condition (3) we obtain the results of Theorem 1 with $\sigma^2 = \frac{8}{105}$ (see Theorem 2.1 of Kochar (1979) for details).

Example 3: Testing Against a Change in the Location Parameter

We are interested in testing H_0 against H'_1 with $G(x) = F(x + \theta)$, $\theta \geq 0$ and F is unknown. In the corresponding two-sample problem Deshpandé and Kochar (1982) proposed the kernel

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \min(y_1, \dots, y_m) \leq \min(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ & \text{and } \max(y_1, \dots, y_m) \leq \max(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ -1 & \text{if } \min(x_1, \dots, x_m) \leq \min(y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ & \text{and } \max(x_1, \dots, x_m) \leq \max(y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and showed that large values of m are more suitable for thin tailed distributions. Note that when $m = 1$, the kernel ϕ of (6) reduces to the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney kernel.

Tests for H_0 against a change in the location parameter can be based on the process $U_n(\cdot)$ of (4) with the kernel ϕ of (6) which is skew-symmetric of degree (m, m) . Under H_0 and condition (3) we obtain the results of Theorem 1 with

$$\sigma^2 = 2m^2(2m - 1)^{-2}(4m - 1)^{-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\binom{4m - 1}{2m - 1}} \right\},$$

(see Theorem 2.1 of Deshpandé and Kochar (1982) for details).

Example 4: Testing Against a Change in the Scale Parameter

We consider here the problem of testing H_0 against H_1 with $G(x) = F(\theta x)$, $\theta \geq 1$ and F is symmetric around zero and unknown. In the corresponding two-sample problem Kochar and Gupta (1986) proposed tests based on the kernel

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if min as well as max of } (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \\ & \dots, y_m) \text{ are some } x\text{'s} \\ -1 & \text{if min as well as max of } (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \\ & \dots, y_m) \text{ are some } y\text{'s} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Kochar and Gupta (1986) showed that the corresponding U-statistic when $m = 2$ is equivalent to the two-sample Mood's statistic for the scale problem. For other choices of m these tests perform better for thin tailed distributions. For additional properties of these tests we refer to Kochar and Woodworth (1992).

Tests for H_0 against a change in the scale parameter can be based on the process $U_n(\cdot)$ of (4) with the kernel ϕ of (7) which is skew-symmetric of degree (m, m) . Under H_0 and condition (3) we obtain the results of Theorem 1 with

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{2m^2}{(2m - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{4m - 1} - \frac{1}{2m^2} + \frac{\{(2m - 1)!\}^2}{(4m - 1)!} \right\},$$

(see Corollary 2.1 of Kochar and Gupta (1986) for details).

4 Proofs

Theorem 1 follows from the results of Section 4 of Csörgő and Horváth (1988b) and the following result.

Lemma 1: Assume that ϕ is skew symmetric of degree (m, m) and H_0 and condition (3) hold. Let $\sigma^2 = E\phi_1^2(X_1)$ and $\xi_i = \sigma^{-1}\phi_1(X_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $\phi_1(\cdot)$ is as in (2). Then,

$$\max_{m \leq k \leq n-m} \left| \sqrt{n}\sigma^{-1}U_n\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - n^{-1/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i - \frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \right\} \right| \stackrel{P}{=} O(n^{-1/2}) . \tag{8}$$

Proof: The proof for $m > 2$ is a routine extension of that of $m = 2$ which is given below.

Recall that

$$U_n\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = m^{-1}n^{-2}k(n-k)U_{k,n-k}^* \left\{ \binom{k}{2} \binom{n-k}{2} \right\}^{-1} , \tag{9}$$

where

$$U_{k,n-k}^* = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \sum_{k+1 \leq r < s \leq n} \phi(X_i, X_j; X_r, X_s) . \tag{10}$$

Let $\Phi(\cdot; \cdot): \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\Phi(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = \phi(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$. Define $\mathbf{Z} = (X_1, X_2)$, $K = \binom{k}{2}$, $N - K = \binom{n-k}{2}$ and

$$\Phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = E\Phi(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{Z}) = -E\Phi(\mathbf{Z}; \mathbf{x}) .$$

Let $\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_K$ be the pairs $\{(X_i, X_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$ in lexicographic (dictionary) ordering and $\mathbf{Z}_{K+1}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_N$ be the pairs $\{(X_r, X_s), k+1 \leq r < s \leq n\}$ in lexicographic ordering. Note that

$$U_{k,n-k}^* = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq K} \sum_{K+1 \leq j \leq N} \Phi(\mathbf{Z}_i; \mathbf{Z}_j) .$$

Following Csörgő and Horváth (1988b), we write

$$U_{k,n-k}^* = U_N^{(1)} - U_K^{(2)} - U_K^{(3)} , \tag{11}$$

where

$$U_N^{(1)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Phi(\mathbf{Z}_i; \mathbf{Z}_j) ,$$

$$U_K^{(2)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq K} \Phi(\mathbf{Z}_i; \mathbf{Z}_j) ,$$

and

$$U_K^{(3)} = \sum_{K+1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Phi(\mathbf{Z}_i; \mathbf{Z}_j) .$$

By (2.9) of Janson and Wichura (1983) and the equation immediately preceding it (see also (4.4)–(4.7) of Csörgő and Horváth (1988b)), we obtain

$$\max_{2 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| U_N^{(1)} - \sum_{i=1}^N (N - 2i + 1) \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) \right| \stackrel{P}{=} O(n^2) \tag{12}$$

$$\max_{2 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| U_K^{(2)} - \sum_{i=1}^K (K - 2i + 1) \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) \right| \stackrel{P}{=} O(n^2) \tag{13}$$

and

$$\max_{2 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| U_K^{(3)} - \sum_{i=K+1}^N (N + K - 2i + 1) \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) \right| \stackrel{P}{=} O(n^2) . \tag{14}$$

By (11)–(14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{2 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| U_{k,n-k}^* - \left\{ \binom{n-k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^K \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) - \binom{k}{2} \sum_{i=K+1}^N \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) \right\} \right| \\ \stackrel{P}{=} O(n^2) . \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Next, we note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^K \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \Phi_1((X_i, X_j)) , \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{i=K+1}^N \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) = \sum_{k+1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Phi_1((X_i, X_j)) , \quad (17)$$

and

$$\phi_1(x) = E\Phi_1((x, X_1)) = E\Phi_1((X_1, x)) . \quad (18)$$

By (16)–(18) and Theorem 1 of Hall (1979) we obtain

$$\max_{2 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^K \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) - \binom{k-1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_1(X_i) \right| \stackrel{P}{=} O(n) , \quad (19)$$

and

$$\max_{2 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| \sum_{i=K+1}^N \Phi_1(\mathbf{Z}_i) - \binom{n-k-1}{1} \sum_{i=k+1}^n \phi_1(X_i) \right| \stackrel{P}{=} O(n) . \quad (20)$$

By (15), (19) and (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{2 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| U_{k,n-k}^* - \binom{n-k}{2} \binom{k-1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_1(X_i) + \binom{k}{2} \binom{n-k-1}{1} \sum_{i=k+1}^n \phi_1(X_i) \right| \\ \stackrel{P}{=} O(n^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By (9) and (21) we obtain (8).

References

- [1] Bhattacharyya GK (1984) Tests for randomness against trend or serial correlations. In: Krishnaiah PR, Sen PK (Eds) Handbook of Statistics V4, Nonparametric Methods. North-Holland, Amsterdam
- [2] Csörgő M, Horváth L (1988a) Nonparametric methods for changepoint problems. In: Krishnaiah PR, Rao CR (Eds) Handbook of Statistics V7, Quality Control and Reliability. North-Holland, Amsterdam

- [3] Csörgő M, Horváth L (1988b) Invariance principles for changepoint problems. *J Mult Anal* 27:151–168
- [4] Deshpandé JV, Kochar SC (1982) Some competitors of Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test for the location alternatives. *J Indian Statist Assoc* 19:9–18
- [5] Hall P (1979) On the invariance principle for U-statistics. *Stoch Proces Appl* 9:163–174
- [6] Hawkins DL (1989) Retrospective and sequential tests for change in an estimable parameter based on U-statistics. *Sankhya B*, 51:271–286
- [7] Hušková M, Sen PK (1989) Nonparametric tests for shift and change in regression at an unknown time point. In: Hackl P (Ed) *Statistical Analysis and Forecasting of Economic Structural Change*. Springer Verlag, New York
- [8] Janson S, Wichura MJ (1983) Invariance principles for stochastic area and related stochastic integrals. *Stoch Proces Appl* 16:71–84
- [9] Kochar SC (1979) Distribution-free comparison of two probability distributions with reference to their hazard rates. *Biometrika* 66:437–441
- [10] Kochar SC, Gupta RP (1986) Some competitors of the Mood test for the two-sample problem. *Commun Statist – Theory and Meth* 15:231–239
- [11] Kochar SC, Woodworth G (1992) Rank order probabilities for the two sample dispersion problem. *Statist Prob Letters* 13:203–208
- [12] Lombard F (1989) Some recent developments in the analysis of changepoint data. *South African Statist J* 23:1–21
- [13] Pettitt AN (1979) A non-parametric approach to the change-point problem. *Appl Statist* 28:126–135
- [14] Sen A, Srivastava MS (1975) On tests for detecting changes in mean. *Ann Statist* 3:98–108
- [15] Sen PK (1982) Tests for change points based on recursive U-statistics. *Sequential Anal* 1:263–284
- [16] Sen PK (1988) Robust tests for change-point models. In: Kotz S, Johnson NL (Eds) *Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences* 8. John Wiley and Sons, New York
- [17] Shorack GR, Wellner JA (1986) *Empirical processes with applications to staistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York
- [18] Zacks S (1983) Survey of classical and Bayesian approaches to the change-point problem: Fixed sample and sequential procedures of testing and estimation. In: Rizvi MH, Rustagi JS, Siegmund D (Eds) *Recent Advances in Statistics*, Academic Press, New York

Received 16.08.1995

Revised version 23.01.1996

