

# A New Distribution-Free Test for the Equality of Two Failure Rates

Subhash C. Kochar

Biometrika, Vol. 68, No. 2 (Aug., 1981), 423-426.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0006-3444%28198108%2968%3A2%3C423%3AANDTFT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-4

Biometrika is currently published by Biometrika Trust.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/bio.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

# A new distribution-free test for the equality of two failure rates

#### By SUBHASH C. KOCHAR

Department of Statistics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

### SUMMARY

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  and  $Y_1, ..., Y_m$  be two independent random samples from two life distributions with absolutely continuous distribution functions F(x) and G(x), respectively. Let  $r_F(x)$  and  $r_G(x)$  be their respective failure rates. A new distribution-free test for testing  $H_0$ :  $r_F(x) = r_G(x)$  against  $H_A$ :  $r_F(x) \le r_G(x)$  is proposed. The properties of the test are investigated; it possesses high efficiency for many specific alternatives belonging to  $H_A$ .

Some key words: Asymptotic relative efficiency; Failure rate; Lehmann-type alternative.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the comparison of the lifetimes of two systems. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be the class of all absolutely continuous distribution functions H with H(x)=0 for  $x\leqslant 0$ . Let X and Y be random variables denoting the lifetimes of two systems with distribution functions F(x) and G(x), respectively, both belonging to  $\mathscr{F}$ . Let  $\overline{F}=1-F$  and  $\overline{G}=1-G$  be their survival functions, and  $r_F(t)$  and  $r_G(t)$  the corresponding failure or hazard rates. We consider testing the null hypothesis

$$H_0: r_F(t) = r_G(t)$$
 (1.1)

against the alternative

$$H_A: r_F(t) \leqslant r_G(t) \quad (t \geqslant 0) \tag{1.2}$$

with strict inequality over a set of nonzero probability.

This problem has been considered by Chikkagoudar & Shuster (1974) and Kochar (1979). The importance and various implications of such alternatives have been discussed in the latter paper. Whereas Chikkagoudar & Shuster have provided locally most powerful rank tests for some specific Lehmann-type alternatives belonging to  $H_A$ , Kochar (1979) proposed a test based on a U-statistic for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_A$ .

In § 2, we propose a new distribution-free test for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_A$  and discuss its distribution. In § 3 some specific alternatives belonging to  $H_A$  are considered and the asymptotic relative efficiency found with respect to relevant locally most powerful rank tests. An alternative belonging to  $H_A$  has been identified for which the proposed test is asymptotically optimal.

## 2. The proposed test and its distribution

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  and  $Y_1, ..., Y_m$  be independent random samples from the distributions F and G, respectively. On the basis of these samples we want to test  $H_0$  against  $H_A$ .

It can be shown that  $H_A$  holds if and only if  $\bar{F}(x)/\bar{G}(x)$  is nondecreasing in x for those  $x \ge 0$  such that  $\bar{F}(x)$  and  $\bar{G}(x)$  are both greater than zero; that is,  $H_A$  is true if and only if, for  $s \ge t \ge 0$ ,

$$\delta(s,t) = \frac{\overline{F}(s)}{\overline{F}(t)} - \frac{\overline{G}(s)}{\overline{G}(t)} \geqslant 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Taking t=0 in (2.1), we find that  $H_A$  implies the stochastic ordering alternative  $\bar{F}(s) \geqslant \bar{G}(s)$  for every  $s \geqslant 0$ .

Define as a measure of deviation between F and G

$$\Delta(F,G) = E\{\delta(X,Y) \mid X \geqslant Y\}$$

$$= \iint_{s \geqslant t} \delta(s,t) \, dF(s) \, dG(t)$$

$$= \iint_{s \geqslant t} \{\bar{F}(s)/\bar{F}(t)\} \, dF(s) \, dG(t) - \iint_{s \geqslant t} \{\bar{G}(s)/\bar{G}(t)\} \, dF(s) \, dG(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \int_{0}^{\infty} F(x) \{\frac{1}{2} + \log \bar{G}(x)\} \, dG(x). \tag{2.2}$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $\Delta(F,G)=0$  but under  $H_A$ ,  $\Delta(F,G)>0$ . Let  $F_n$  and  $G_m$  be the empirical distribution functions based on the given random samples  $X_1, ..., X_n$  and  $Y_1, ..., Y_m$ , respectively. We base our test on the statistic

$$S = \int_0^\infty F_{\textit{n}}(x) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \log \left\{ 1 - \frac{m}{m+1} G_{\textit{m}}(x) \right\} \right] dG_{\textit{m}}(x).$$

The test is to reject  $H_0$  for large values of S.

Let  $Y_{(1)}, \ldots, Y_{(m)}$  be the order statistics of the Y sample and let  $R_{(j)}$  denote the rank of  $Y_{(j)}$ in the combined increasing arrangement of X's and Y's. Then S can also be expressed in the form

$$S = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \frac{R_{(j)} - j}{n} \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \log \left( 1 - \frac{j}{m+1} \right) \right\} = \frac{1}{nm} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j R_{(j)} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} j a_j \right), \tag{2.3}$$

where  $a_i = \frac{1}{2} + \log \{1 - j/(m+1)\}.$ 

Statistics of the above type have been considered by Sen (1964), Sen & Govindarajulu (1966), Govindara julu (1966) and Deshpandé (1972). Deshpandé calls them linear ordered rank statistics.

Let N = n + m. It follows from the results of Deshpandé (1972) that under  $H_0$ 

$$E(S) = \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} j a_j, \quad \text{var}(S) = \frac{(N+1)}{n(m+2) m^2 (m+1)^2} a' \Omega a,$$

where the matrix  $\Omega = ((\omega_{ij}))$  (i,j=1,...,m), has  $\omega_{ij} = i(m+1-j) = \omega_{ji}$  for  $i \leq j$  and  $a'=(a_1,\ldots,a_m).$ 

Govindarajulu (1966) has studied the asymptotic distribution of statistics of the type

$$\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n}(x) J_{m} \left\{ \frac{m}{m+1} G_{m}(x) \right\} dG_{m}(x).$$

Our test statistic S falls in this class and in our case the  $J_m$  function is

$$J_{\mathit{m}}\!\left\{\!\frac{m}{m+1}\,G_{\mathit{m}}(x)\right\} = \tfrac{1}{2} + \log\left\{1 - \frac{m}{m+1}\,G_{\mathit{m}}(x)\right\},$$

the limiting case being  $J(u) = \frac{1}{2} + \log(1-u)$ . It follows from his results that the limiting distribution of  $\sigma^{-1}(S-\mu)$  is standard normal, where

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) J\{G(x)\} \, dG(x), \\ m\sigma^2 &= \frac{2}{\lambda_m} \iint_{x < y} F(x) \, \bar{F}(y) J\{G(x)\} J\{G(y)\} \, dG(x) \, dG(y) \\ &+ 2 \iint_{x < y} G(x) \, \bar{G}(y) J\{G(x)\} J\{G(y)\} \, dF(x) \, dF(y), \end{split}$$

provided that  $\sigma \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_m = n/m$  is such that  $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_m$ . Under  $H_0$ 

$$\mu = \int_0^1 u\{\frac{1}{2} + \log(1 - u)\} du = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad m\sigma^2 = \frac{N}{54n}.$$

#### 3. Asymptotic efficiencies

To compare the Pitman asymptotic relative efficiencies we parameterize the problem as follows. Let  $F(x) = F_0(x)$  and  $G(x) = F_{\theta}(x)$ , where  $\theta$  is a positive real number such that  $\bar{F}_0(x)/\bar{F}_{\theta}(x)$  is nondecreasing in x for those  $x \ge 0$  for which  $\bar{F}_0(x)$  and  $\bar{F}_{\theta}(x)$  are both greater than zero.

We study the Pitman asymptotic relative efficiency of the S test relative to the tests of Savage (1956), Wilcoxon (1945) and the W test of Kochar (1979). The following alternatives are considered for efficiency comparisons:

$$\begin{split} H_{1} \colon \bar{F}_{\theta}(x) &= \{\bar{F}(x)\}^{1+\theta}, \\ H_{2} \colon \bar{F}_{\theta}(x) &= \bar{F}(x) \left[ 1 - \theta \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} F^{i}(x) \right\} \right] \quad (k \geqslant 1, 0 < \theta < 1/k), \\ H_{3} \colon \bar{F}_{\theta}(x) &= (1-\theta) \, \bar{F}(x) + \theta \bar{F}(x) \left\{ 1 - F^{k}(x) \right\} \quad (k > \frac{1}{2}), \\ H_{4} \colon \bar{F}_{\theta}(x) &= \exp \left[ - \left\{ x + \theta(x + e^{-x} - 1) \right\} \right], \\ H_{5} \colon \bar{F}_{\theta}(x) &= \bar{F}(x) \exp \left[ - \frac{1}{2} \theta \left\{ \log \bar{F}(x) \right\}^{2} \right], \\ H_{6} \colon \bar{F}_{\theta}(x) &= (1-\theta) \, \bar{F}(x) + \theta \bar{F}^{2}(x) \left\{ 1 - \log \bar{F}(x) \right\} \quad (0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 1). \end{split}$$

All these alternatives belong to  $H_A$  since  $\bar{F}_{\theta}(x)/\bar{F}_{0}(x)$  is nonincreasing in x in each case. The first five alternatives were considered also by Kochar (1979). Locally most powerful rank tests can be obtained for testing  $H_0$  against the above alternatives (Hájek & Sĭdák, 1967, Chapter 2; Chikkagoudar & Shuster, 1974).

Table 1 gives the Pitman asymptotic relative efficiencies of the Wilcoxon test, the Savage test, the W test earlier proposed by the author and the S test with respect to the

Table 1	l. Pitman	asymptotic	relative	efficiencies	with
res	spect to the	locally most	powerfu	l rank tests	

	Alternative hypothesis	Wilcoxon	Savage	W	S
$H_1$		0.75	1	0.8203	0.8438
$H_2$	k = 1 $k = 2$ $k = 3$ $k = 4$	1 0·4166 0·2593 0·1458	0·75 0·8681 0·9128 0·9264	0·70 0·8933 0·9481 0·9417	0·5 0·732 0·8629 0·9302
$H_3$	k = 1 $k = 2$ $k = 3$	1 0·625 0·35	0.75 $0.8333$ $0.7292$	0·70 0·9843 0·7813	0·5 0·957 0·9663
$H_4$		0.25	0.75	0.5353	0.7812
$H_{5}$		0.0938	0.5	0.2307	0.4219
$H_{6}$		0.5	0.8438	0.896	1

corresponding locally most powerful rank tests for the above alternatives. The S test is asymptotically optimal in the sense of Pitman efficiency for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_6$ . No test is uniformly best against the given set of alternatives. The Wilcoxon test has minimum efficiency in all cases except the Lehmann alternative defined by  $H_2$  with k=1 or  $H_3$  with k=1. Therefore it is not recommended for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_4$ .

The newly proposed S test performs better than the W test in all cases except  $H_3$  with k=1 and 2, and  $H_2$ . All these alternatives except  $H_4$  are Lehmann-type alternatives. Therefore the efficiencies of these tests are independent of the underlying distribution F for these alternatives. The S test gives less emphasis to extremely large observations than does the W test. The table shows that the S test is more efficient than the W test over a broad spectrum of alternatives belonging to  $H_A$ .

The author is grateful to Dr Jayant V. Deshpandé for many fruitful discussions and for carefully reading the earlier versions of this paper. The author also thanks the referees for their comments.

## REFERENCES

CHIKKAGOUDAR, M. S. & SHUSTER, J. S. (1974). Comparison of failure rates using rank tests. J. Am. Statist. Assoc. 69, 411-3.

Deshpandé, J. V. (1972). Linear ordered rank tests which are asymptotically efficient for the two-sample problem. J. R. Statist. Soc. B 34, 364-70.

GOVINDARAJULU, Z. (1966). Asymptotic normality of a class of nonparametric test statistics. *Bull. Int. Statist.* Inst. 2, 886-7.

HAJEK, J. & SIDAK, Z. (1967). Theory of Rank Tests. New York: Academic Press.

Kochar, S. C. (1979). Distribution-free comparison of two probability distributions with reference to their hazard rates. *Biometrika* 66, 437-41.

Savage, I. R. (1956). Contribution to rank order statistics: The two-sample case. Ann. Math. Statist. 27, 590-615.

SEN, P. K. (1964). On weighted rank sum tests for dispersion. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 11, 211-9.

SEN, P. K. & GOVINDARAJULU, Z. (1966). On a class of c-sample weighted rank sum tests for location and scale. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 18, 87–105.

WILCOXON, F. (1945). Individual comparisons by rank methods. Biometrics 1, 80-3.

[Received September 1980. Revised December 1980]