Determining Optimal Sites for Bioswales in the Richmond Neighborhood, Portland, Oregon

Amy Goodwin and Dolores Weisbaum GEOG 493/593 - Fall 2012



Impervious Surfaces

- Comprise large percent of urban surface areas
- Increase stormwater runoff
- Increase peak discharge
- Increase pollutant loading and transportation of sediments
- Increase temperature of outflow



Bioswales

- Landscape features designed to collect and partially treat stormwater runoff
- Bioretention of pollutants and sediment from stormwater by substrate and plants
- Mitigates pollutant loading
- Recharges groundwater





Project Overview

Drainage Analysis

- Neighborhood selected at random
- Richmond (southeast Portland)

Criteria for Site Selection

- Slope < 2 degrees
- Land cover consists of shrubs or dirt
- Areas with multiple drainage points

Model Validation

Use existing bioswales



Methods

- ESRI ArcGIS 10.1
- Raster cell size: 3 x 3 ft
- Projection: NAD 1983 HARN StatePlane Oregon North FIPS 3601 Feet Intl

Data Layer	Source
Land Cover	Metro
Digital Elevation Model (DEM) Grid	Center for Spatial Analysis and Research
Buildings, Neighborhood, Taxlot, Orthophotos	RLIS

Methods

- Manipulate DEM using the Con Tool and Raster Calculator
 - Added average building heights to DEM
 - Digitized sewer inlets using Portland Maps and orthophotos
 - Inlet = -100
- Drainage Line, Drainage Points, and Catchments
 - ArcHydro 10.1 Beta
- Site Selection
 - Weighted Overlay and Kernel Density

DEM Manipulation





Drainage Lines



Catchments and Longest Flow Path



Drainage Points



Site Selection

- Kernel Density
 - Density of drainage points
- Weighted Overlay
 - Slope, landcover, and longest flow path
 - Removed taxlots from above layers





7



Site Selection - Weighted Overlay

Model Validation

- Digitized bioswale inlet points and area
- Bioswale inlet points and polygons fell along longest flow path
- Bioswales located near areas with a high density of drainage points









Conclusions

- Model is fairly accurate in locating sites
 - Only 4 out of 50 bioswales did not fall along a flow path
 - Kernel density is a good way to identify sites
 - Weighted overlay was a weak indicator for a bioswale placement
- Areas for improvement
 - Watershed scale instead of neighborhood
 - Inlet layer from City of Portland
 - On-site validation

Acknowledgements

- Erik Strandhagen Integral Consulting Inc.
- Jake McDonald U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; ASPRS
- Mary Bushman City of Portland-Bureau of Environmental Science
- Geoffrey Duh Portland State University







ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CITY OF PORTLAND working for clean rivers

