



Portland State

# Spatial Distribution of Ice in the North Cascades: Using GIS to Determine the Effect of **Topography and Climate on Glacier Distribution** Christina Gray (ceg4@pdx.edu), Lana Jewell (lkj3@pdx.edu), Rebecca Garriss (rgarriss@pdx.edu)

#### Introduction

Deglaciation, and the overall receding distribution of glaciers in alpine ecosystems, is a pressing concern to the world at large.

#### Glaciers are:

- Climate indicators
- Sources of water and modulate ablation season streamflow
- Sources of sea level rise
- Can affect the surrounding ecosystems

Previous research has both supported the effects of elevation, aspect, and slope on glacier mass and distribution (Tangborn et. al., 1990; Dick, 2013), while other studies have found little correlation between topography and glacier size (Granshaw and Fountain, 2006). In order to better create accurate models of glacier mass and retreat, more comprehensive work needs to be done on the contributing factors to glacier distribution.

#### Background

- Ice area of 288.4  $\pm$  0.26 km<sup>2</sup>, the largest concentration of ice in the American West
- Temperate, maritime glaciers, very vulnerable to climate change.
- The ice is at melting point and, due to proximity to the Pacific Ocean, glaciers receive large amounts of precipitation.
- Increasing spring and fall temperatures can shift the timing of the melt season and the phase of winter precipitation.

#### Methods

- The data is processed using the 24K outlines for the North Cascades (USGS, 1998) and zonal statistics is used to summarize topographic data derived from ArcMap and 1960-1969 annual and seasonal climate averages from the PRISM dataset (Daly et al., 2008).
- An Exploratory Regression that employs Ordinary Least Squares Regression (OLS) is used.
  - This determines statistical relationships in the data which is used to determine which parameters are likely to best describe ice distribution and redundancy in the variables.
- A Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) is used to determine if spatial autocorrelation has an effect on the chosen variables.

### **Regression Results**





Benn D., and Evans D., (2010), Glaciers & Glaciation, *Routledge*, New York, NY

Clarke G., Jarosch A., Anslow F., Radic V., and Menounos B., (2015), Projected deglaciation of western Canada in the twenty first century, Nature Geoscience (8), 372-377 Daly C., Taylor G., and Gibson W., (1997), The Prism approach to mapping precipitation and temperature, *Proc., 10th AMS conference on Applied Climatology,* 20-23. Dick K., (2013), Glacier change in the North Cascades, Washington: 1900-2009. Masters Thesis, Portland State University, Portland Oregon Fountain A., Glenn B., and Basagic H., (2017), The geography of glaciers and perennial snowfields in the American West, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research (49), 291-410 Granshaw F., and Fountain A., (2006), Glacier change (1958-1998) in the North Cascades National Park Complex, Washington, USA, Journal of Glaciology

Tangborn W., Fountain A., and Sikonia W., (1990), Effect of area distribution with altitude on glacier mass balance- a comparison of North and South Klawatti glaciers, Washington state U.S.A, Annals of *Glaciology (17), 278-282* 

USGS, (1998), Single-edition quadrangle maps, U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet, 97-98 DeBeer C., and Sharp M., (2009), Topographic influences on recent changes of very small glaciers in the Monashee Mountains, British Columbia, Canada, Journal of Glaciology Abatzoglou J., Rupp D., and Mote P., (2014), Seasonal climate variability in the Pacific Northwest of the United States, Journal of Climate (27), 2125-2142 Riedel J., Wilson S., Baccus W., Larrabee M., and Fudge T., (2015), Glacier status and contribution to streamflow in the Olympic Mountains, USA, Journal of Glaciology (61), 8-16 McCabe G., and Fountain A. (1995), Relations between atmospheric circulation and mass balance of South Cascade Glacier, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research (27), 226-233 Evans I. (2005), Local aspect asymmetry of mountain glaciation: A global survey of consistency of favored directions for glacier numbers and altitudes, Geomorphology (73), 166-184 Allen T. (1998), Topographic context of glaciers and perennial snowfields, Glacier National Park, Montana, Geomorphology (21), 207-216 Kuhn M. (1995) The mass balance of very small glaciers, Zeitschrift fur Gletscherkunde und Glazialgeologie, 31, 171-179

Sisson T., Robinson J., and Swinney D., (2011), Whole-edifice ice volume change A.D. 1970 to 2007/2008 at Mount Rainier, Washington, based on LiDAR surveying, Geology, 39(7) 639-642



#### **Regression Results**

After conducting the OLS, it was determined that seasonal and annual climate values were redundant with each other. Therefore only annual temperature and annual precipitation for the 1960-1969 time period were

 Topographic factors of elevation range, means slope, and northing were determined to explain most of the ice distribution.

These variables were used in our GWR and we found that our Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> increases from 0.5703 to 0.6956, indicating that ice distribution is spatially dependent. Randomly oriented residuals indicate that the GWR model is good for the entire region.

#### Discussion

 Larger glaciers are not captured well in the GWR • This could be because the small glaciers are easier to predict since their existence is more dependent on topography (Kuhn, 1995).

- If the models were fit to the smaller ice bodies. which make up most of the region, which are more dependent on topography, then a greater contribution of topography of glacier distribution makes sense.
- Conversely, larger glaciers are more exposed to the elements, and topography is less important
- Possibly this region should have been divided into large and small ice bodies to better constrain each type of ice.
- It is possible that other important variables were necessary to raise the Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value.
- These include but are not limited to latent and sensible heat, insolation, wind redistribution of snow, and avalanching.
- The climate data was also very coarse, and the choice of time scale could reduce the effectiveness of climate. • It is possible that normalization of the data by
- calculating correlations of variables to ice area or zscores could create a more accurate model.

## Conclusions

• OLS and GWR results indicate that topographic variables are the best describers of ice distribution, and climate is secondary.

• Appling a GWR to the data increases the Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> Values, indicating that ice does vary spatially.

 Larger ice bodies are poorly constrained in the GWR model, possibly because they are related more strongly to climate and less so to topography.