

only features classified as recreational.

<u>Dasymetric Mapping</u>: First, we converted the vector zoning data to raster and reclassified it, assigning relative densities based on Portland zoning codes in '00 and '10. We combined this zoning raster with Census Tract population data to create dasymetrically mapped population density rasters, and verified our outputs using the Zonal Statistics as table tool. <u>Geographic Distance</u>: We generated a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mi buffer around greenways using the Buffer tool. We overlaid this buffer with

the population density raster and used the extract by mask tool to find density within the 1/4 mile raster.

<u>Walking Distance</u>: We generated point locations as entry points for each greenway. We then created polygons for areas within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mi of entry points using the Service Area toolkit. We overlaid the service area polygon population density raster., and found density using the extract by mask tool.

<u>Park Use Density</u>: To find park usage density, we ran a Euclidean Allocation with maximum distance of <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mi.; population within was found using Zonal Statistics.

# **Changes in Portland Recreational Greenway Accessibility 2000-2010**

#### Ian Lambie, Tina Schroyer, and Alexandra Santora

### Results

#### Geographic Distance



Density per sq ft High

Figure 1. The population within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile geographic distance from a this number had increased to 68.8%.

### Walking Distance



Population Density per sq ft High Urban Growth Boundaries

Figure 2. Population within a quarter mile walk along roads from a greenway. In 2000 47.3% of the population were within easy walking distance of a greenway; this increased to 50% in 2010.

#### Park Use Density



Carrying Capacity (pe < 200,000 200,001 - 600,000 600,001 - 1,200,000

000.001 - 24.000.000 > 24.000.001

Figure 3. Greenway use visualized as park density if the entire very small parks were over our crowding density (7 people per 30x30 ft area), and this did not change from 2000 to 2010.



greenway. In 2000 65.8% of the population lived near greenways; in 2010





population were to use their closest greenway at the same time. Only

## Conclusions

Recreational greenway access improved. The proportion of the population with access to greenways actually increased as Portland's population grew. The number of greenways did not change significantly, so this is likely due to people preferentially moving to neighborhoods near greenways.





#### Greenways did not become over crowded.

Very few greenways exceeded our 7 people per 30x30 ft area density threshold, and this did not change from 2000-2010. Only very small parks ever exceeded the threshold; it is likely that residents instead travel to the closest larger park.



1. Cole, David N. 1993. *Ecology of Greenways: Design and Function of Linear Conservation Areas* (242): 105–22. 2. Shafer, C. Scott, Bong Koo Lee, and Shawn Turner. 2000. Landscape and Urban Planning 49(3-4): 163–78. Census Data: Census 2000 and 2010, US Census Bureau Zoning Data: Zoning Boundaries 2000 and 2010, Metro RLIS Parks Data: Park layer 2000 and 2010, Metro RLIS Roads Network: PSU Geography Department





Population Within Walking Distance of Greenways

### References