

General Proof of Optical Reciprocity for Nonlocal Electrodynamics

H. Y. Xie, P. T. Leung^{*}, and D. P. Tsai

Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan, R. O. C.

Abstract

Recent studies have established certain specific results concerning the optical reciprocity of source and observer in the presence of dielectric medium with nonlocal response (i.e. spatial dispersion). These include the case of a linear dielectric response with dependence on the electric field gradient; as well as the case of a general anisotropic nonlocal medium in the long wavelength quasistatic limit. Here we present a more rigorous study of this problem by extending the previous results to the most general case of anisotropic nonlocal medium in the context of exact electrodynamics with reference to the symmetry of the Green dyadic. It is established that for nonmagnetic materials within linear optics, reciprocity will remain valid as long as the dielectric tensor satisfies the condition $\varepsilon_{ij}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) = \varepsilon_{ji}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r})$. Possible applications of the results are briefly discussed.

PACS: 03.50.De

Key Words: Reciprocity, nonlocal dielectric response

^{*} Also affiliated with Institute of Optoelectronic Sciences, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan, R. O. C.; and Department of Physics, Portland State University, P. O. Box 751 Portland, Oregon 97207-0751, U. S. A.

Introduction

In conventional classical optics, the reciprocity theorem is a powerful result which finds applications in many problems in optics and spectroscopy [1]. These include, for example, in establishing relations between far fields and near fields from different sources [2] as well as spectroscopic analysis of surface enhanced Raman scattering at metallic structures [3]. The theorem expresses the reversal symmetry between the source and the observer of the field during propagation of an electromagnetic wave in a material medium, and can have various mathematical forms expressed in terms of the sources and the fields [1]. Here we focus on one of its popular forms (the ‘‘Lorentz lemma’’) [4]:

$$\int \bar{J}_1 \cdot \bar{E}_2 d^3\bar{r} = \int \bar{J}_2 \cdot \bar{E}_1 d^3\bar{r}, \quad (1)$$

which simply implies the symmetry for the Green dyadic $[\tilde{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}')]^T = \tilde{G}_e(\bar{r}', \bar{r})$, by recalling that $\bar{E}(\bar{r}) = i\omega\mu_0 \int \tilde{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}') \cdot \bar{J}(\bar{r}') d^3\bar{r}'$. This is obvious for the case of free space Green dyadic, but the connection can also be shown for problems involving finite spatial regions. While Eq. (1) can be derived rigorously from Maxwell’s electrodynamics with the limitation to the presence of materials with only a local dielectric response (i.e. only temporal but no spatial dispersion in the dielectric function); recent studies have raised the question of its validity in nonlocal optics [1]. In particular, it has been pointed out that a general proof of reciprocity has not yet been established in the literature for the nonlocal case [5]. Moreover, non-reciprocity has been revealed via consideration of certain optical effects in anisotropic media (e.g. crystals), with the nonlocality arising, for example, from the response depending on the gradient of the electric field [6]. However, we note that the non-reciprocity in this case referred to the effect

on the polarization plane rotation rather than just the transmission of light between the source and the observer.

In a recent work, however, we have studied this problem in a more general approach without implementing any specific models for the dielectric function (except for linearity), and have concluded that while reciprocity still holds in the presence of an isotropic nonlocal medium, it breaks down only in the presence of asymmetric anisotropic materials with $\epsilon_{ij} \neq \epsilon_{ji}$ [7]. Moreover, such breakdown of reciprocity occurs both in the local and nonlocal response cases. Hence nonlocality is not the main origin of breakdown of reciprocity, but asymmetric anisotropic response is the main course of such breakdown. This is somewhat different from what was studied in Ref. [6], where nonlocality through the field gradient dependent response is required to break reciprocity symmetry for the rotation of the polarization plane of the transmitted wave.

However, our previous proof of the above results is restricted to the “long wavelength approximation” in which electrostatics has been applied [7]. In problems with very high frequency sources (e.g. scattering between X rays and a nanoparticle [8]) in which electrostatics breaks down and nonlocal effects can become even more significant due to the large value of the wavevector, the previous formulation [7] becomes inadequate. Hence it will be desirable to provide a more rigorous proof of this “nonlocal reciprocity” symmetry by implementing exact electrodynamics, as is done in the conventional establishment of the result in Eq. (1) for “local optics”. In the following, we accomplish this by examining the symmetry of the Green dyadic in electrodynamics in the presence of a general anisotropic nonlocal dielectric medium.

Theory

We shall establish our results in three steps and consider both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions for the Green dyadic. Only linear response and non magnetic materials are studied in this work.

Case (i): Isotropic local response

This is the case well-established in the literature and we briefly review it here following Tai's text [9] to set the notations. Thus for local response $\bar{D}(\bar{r}) = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon(\bar{r}) \bar{E}(\bar{r})$, one can derive the following vector wave equation for fields with harmonic time dependence:

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{E}(\bar{r}) - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon(\bar{r}) \bar{E}(\bar{r}) = i\omega\mu_0 \bar{J}(\bar{r}), \quad (2)$$

which implies the following equation for the Green dyadic:

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}') = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon(\bar{r}) \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}') + \bar{I} \delta(\bar{r} - \bar{r}'). \quad (3)$$

Using the dyadic-dyadic Green's theorem [9]:

$$\int_V \left\{ \left[\nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{Q} \right]^T \cdot \bar{P} - \left[\bar{Q} \right]^T \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{P} \right\} d^3\bar{r} = \oint_S \left\{ \left[\hat{n} \times \bar{Q} \right]^T \cdot (\nabla \times \bar{P}) - \left[\nabla \times \bar{Q} \right]^T \cdot (\hat{n} \times \bar{P}) \right\} da, \quad (4)$$

we obtain by setting $\bar{P} = \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}')$ and $\bar{Q} = \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}'')$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_V \left\{ \left[\nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}') - \left[\bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}') \right\} d^3\bar{r} \\ & = \oint_S \left\{ \left[\hat{n} \times \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}'') \right]^T \cdot (\nabla \times \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}')) - \left[\nabla \times \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}'') \right]^T \cdot (\hat{n} \times \bar{G}_e(\bar{r}, \bar{r}')) \right\} da \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By imposing on S either the dyadic Dirichlet condition [9]

$$\hat{n} \times \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = 0, \quad (6a)$$

or the dyadic Neumann condition [9]

$$\hat{n} \times \nabla \times \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = 0; \quad (6b)$$

the surface integral in Eq. (5) can be made vanished (after manipulation using the dyadic identity $[\vec{c}]^T \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) = -[\vec{a} \times \vec{c}]^T \cdot \vec{b}$ in the Neumann case). Hence we obtain from Eq. (5):

$$\int_V \left\{ [\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'')]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') - [\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'')]^T \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \right\} d^3\vec{r} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Using Eq. (3), we obtain from (7):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_V \left\{ \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon(\vec{r}) [\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'')]^T + \vec{I} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'') \right\} \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') d^3\vec{r} \\ & - \int_V [\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'')]^T \cdot \left\{ \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon(\vec{r}) \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') + \vec{I} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \right\} d^3\vec{r} = 0 \end{aligned}, \quad (8)$$

which, after cancellation and integration, implies the following symmetry for the dyadic and hence reciprocity [4, 9]:

$$[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}', \vec{r}'')]^T = \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}'', \vec{r}'). \quad (9)$$

Case (ii): Isotropic nonlocal response

In this case, the linear response function has the following general form [4]

$$\vec{D}(\vec{r}) = \int \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \vec{E}(\vec{r}') d^3\vec{r}', \quad (10)$$

and reciprocity for this case can essentially be established by repeating the above steps together with the following generalization of Eq. (3):

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int \varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}') d^3 \vec{r}_1 + \vec{I} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'). \quad (11)$$

The corresponding result in (8) then generalizes to:

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \left[\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int \varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2) \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}'') d^3 \vec{r}_2 + \vec{I} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') d^3 \vec{r} - \int_{\mathcal{V}} \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \left\{ \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int \varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}') d^3 \vec{r}_1 + \vec{I} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \right\} d^3 \vec{r} = 0, \quad (12)$$

which upon integration yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}'', \vec{r}') - \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}', \vec{r}'') \right]^T \\ &= -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3 \vec{r} \int d^3 \vec{r}_2 \varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2) \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3 \vec{r} \int d^3 \vec{r}_1 \varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}') . \\ &= -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3 \vec{r} \int d^3 \vec{r}_1 \varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \left\{ \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') - \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}') \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Hence if the nonlocal dielectric function satisfies the following symmetry condition [7]

$$\varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) = \varepsilon(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}), \quad (14)$$

then the integrand on the right hand side is antisymmetric in \vec{r} and \vec{r}_1 , and the integral simply vanishes, leading again to reciprocity symmetry with

$$\left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}', \vec{r}'') \right]^T = \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}'', \vec{r}'). \quad (15)$$

Case (iii): Anisotropic nonlocal response

Now we come to the most general case for a linear medium which is both anisotropic and nonlocal. With the dielectric function becoming a tensor [10], Eq. (12) then generalizes to:

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \left[\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int \vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}'') d^3 \vec{r}_2 + \vec{I} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') d^3 \vec{r} - \int_{\mathcal{V}} \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \left\{ \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int \vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}') d^3 \vec{r}_1 + \vec{I} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \right\} d^3 \vec{r} = 0, \quad (16)$$

which upon integration yields the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}'', \vec{r}') - \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}', \vec{r}'') \right]^T \\ &= -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3 \vec{r} \int d^3 \vec{r}_2 \left[\vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3 \vec{r} \int d^3 \vec{r}_1 \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}'). \\ &= -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3 \vec{r} \int d^3 \vec{r}_1 \left\{ \left[\vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') - \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}') \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Next we show that if the dielectric tensor satisfies the following symmetry:

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) = \varepsilon_{ji}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}), \quad (18)$$

then the integrand in (17) is antisymmetric upon the exchange of coordinates $\vec{r} \leftrightarrow \vec{r}_1$

as follows. Under the transformation $\vec{r} \leftrightarrow \vec{r}_1$, the integrand in (17) changes to

$$\left[\vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}') - \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'). \quad (19)$$

Using the following relations for transpose of matrices:

$$\left[\vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T = \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1), \quad (20)$$

and

$$\left[\vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) \cdot \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}'') \right]^T = \left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}'') \right]^T \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}), \quad (21)$$

one sees that (19) is just the negative of the integrand in (17) and hence we have proved that (17) indeed has its integrand antisymmetric upon the exchange of coordinates

$\vec{r} \leftrightarrow \vec{r}_1$, and the integral simply vanishes leading again to reciprocity symmetry with

$$\left[\vec{G}_e(\vec{r}', \vec{r}'') \right]^T = \vec{G}_e(\vec{r}'', \vec{r}'). \quad (22)$$

Discussion and conclusion

Thus we have in this work established the most general condition for optical reciprocity to hold in nonlocal optics in the presence of a linear nonmagnetic medium, which is expressed in Eq. (18). This reduces to the well-known local limit which requires only a symmetric local dielectric tensor for the validity of reciprocity [4, 7]. It also reduces to the isotropic nonlocal case [Eq. (14)] which is known to be valid for most of the well-known nonlocal quantum mechanical models for a homogeneous electron gas, such as the Linhard-Mermin function in which $\varepsilon(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1) = \varepsilon(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_1|)$ [7]. Thus we have clarified here that nonlocality alone does not lead to the breakdown of the reciprocity symmetry of the Green dyadic in linear optics. It is rather the asymmetry in the anisotropic response that may lead to non-reciprocity of the Green dyadic. One possible example of this is to refer to the case studied in Ref. [6] which involved the propagation of light along a cubic axis in a crystal of 23 point group. In this case, the nonlocality tensor γ_{ijk} may be asymmetric in the sense that $\gamma_{ijk} \neq \gamma_{jik}$, which can be shown to imply an asymmetric dielectric tensor with $\varepsilon_{ij} \neq \varepsilon_{ji}$ [10]. Hence the condition expressed in Eq. (18) is violated and the Green dyadic does not have to obey reciprocal symmetry. To conclude, the conditions we established for the reciprocity symmetry of the Green dyadic are consistent with the previous findings in [7] which were established only within the approximation of electrostatics. Since nonlocal effects are known to be quite significant in surface optics due to the sudden change of the fields across the boundary interface between two media, the results we established here should provide useful information to researchers in their application of the

reciprocity principle in the analysis of surface spectroscopy such as those performed previously in the literature [3].

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Professor Railing Chang for discussions and to the research support from the National Science Council of Taiwan, R.O.C., under project numbers (NSC-97-2120-M-002-013) , (NSC-96-2923-M-002-002-MY3) and (NSC 97-2811-M-002-018), respectively; and to National Taiwan University and National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taipei Office. P. T. Leung would like to thank the additional support from a Fulbright Scholarship.

References

- [1] For a recent comprehensive review, see Potton R J 2004 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **67** 717
- [2] Hill S C, Videen G and Pendleton J D 1997 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **14** 2522
- [3] Kahl M and Voges E 2000 *Phys. Rev. B* **61** 14078; Le Ru E C and Etchegoin P G 2006 *Chem. Phys. Letts.* **423** 63
- [4] Landau L D, Lifshitz E M and Pitaevskii L P 1984 *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media* 2nd ed (New York: Pergamon)
- [5] Iwanaga M, Vengurlekar A S, Hatano T and Ishihara T 2007 *Am. J. Phys.* **75** 899
- [6] Malinowski A, Svirko Yu P and Zheludev N I 1996 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **13** 1641
- [7] Leung P T and Chang R 2008 *J. Opt. A* **10** 075201
- [8] Ruppin R 1975 *Phys. Rev. B* **11** 2871
- [9] Tai C T 1993 *Dyadic Green Functions in Electromagnetic Theory* 2nd ed (New York: IEEE)
- [10] Note that with the dielectric function becoming a tensor in Eq. (10), one can show that the nonlocality due to field-gradient dependence studied in Ref. [6] can actually be deduced from a Taylor expansion of $\vec{\epsilon}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ about \vec{r} in the limit of weak nonlocality.