

Multiple Regression

Template for Analysis

David Gerbing

Purpose of Regression Analysis

[Secs 1.7, 5.1.1]

The two primary purposes of regression analysis:

1. Understand the relations among the variables, especially as related to the target variable.
[via slope coefficients]
2. Predict the value of the target from the values of the predictor variables.
[from computing fitted values on new data, the predictions]

To accomplish these goals, estimate a model from the data to get the equation that provides the slope coefficients and the full equation (model) for computing predicted values.

Problem Definition

Three advertising campaigns across three different media — TV, Radio, and Newspaper — promoted a variety of products in 200 different markets.

Spending for each set of media in each market is recorded along with Sales

Purpose of the analysis:

How is spending in these markets related to Sales of the company's products?

lessR Input: `reg(Sales ~ TV + Radio + Newspaper)`

The Data

TV	Radio	Newspaper	Sales
230.1	37.8	69.2	22.1
44.5	39.3	45.1	10.4
17.2	45.9	69.3	9.3
151.5	41.3	58.5	18.5
180.8	10.8	58.4	12.9
8.7	48.9	75	7.2
57.5	32.8	23.5	11.8
120.2	19.6	11.6	13.2
8.6	2.1	1	4.8
199.8	2.6	21.2	10.6

plus 190 more rows

Sales: in 1000 units

e.g., first row has recorded Sales of 22,100 units

Ad Budgets: in \$1000 USD

e.g., first row has ad budgets of \$230,100 and \$37,800, and \$69,200, respectively

Correlation Matrix

Develop Intuition for the Model

Relevance: Do the predictor variables relate to the target (response) variable?

[Sec 5.2]

Correlation Matrix

	Sales	TV	Radio	Newspaper
Sales	1.00	0.78	0.58	0.23
TV	0.78	1.00	0.05	0.06
Radio	0.58	0.05	1.00	0.35
Newspaper	0.23	0.06	0.35	1.00

TV ads correlates much with Sales, Radio ads also correlate well, though to a lesser extent

Newspaper ad spending does not correlate well with Sales

Uniqueness: Is collinearity a problem?

[Sec 5.3]

Correlation Matrix

	Sales	TV	Radio	Newspaper
Sales	1.00	0.78	0.58	0.23
TV	0.78	1.00	0.05	0.06
Radio	0.58	0.05	1.00	0.35
Newspaper	0.23	0.06	0.35	1.00

Newspaper ads has a slight correlation with Radio of 0.35

The two most relevant predictor variables, TV ads and Radio ads, do not correlate with each other, only 0.05

Conclude: Not much of a collinearity problem at least in terms of pairs of variables

Model Selection: Given the correlations, what is the most likely candidate for the final model?

[Sec 5.2]

Correlation Matrix

	Sales	TV	Radio	Newspaper
Sales	1.00	0.78	0.58	0.23
TV	0.78	1.00	0.05	0.06
Radio	0.58	0.05	1.00	0.35
Newspaper	0.23	0.06	0.35	1.00

The model will clearly include TV ads because of its high correlation with Sales

Given the low correlation between TV ad spending and Radio ad spending, and the moderately high correlation of Radio ads with Sales, Radio ads adds new, useful information to the model beyond TV ads, so it also will likely be included in the model

Newspaper ad spending is not relevant

Write the estimated regression model

[Sec 2.3]

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

$$\text{Sales_fitted} = 2.939 + 0.046(\text{TV}) + 0.189(\text{Radio}) - 0.001(\text{Newspaper})$$

Show the fitted value and its calculation

Use the advertising campaign of TV=232, Radio=8.6, Newspaper=8.7

[Sec 1.2.2, 2.4.1]

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

	TV	Radio	Newspaper	Sales	fitted	resid	rstdnt	dfits	cooks	
13	23.800	35.100		65.900	9.200	10.577	-1.377	-0.829	-0.147	0.005
53	216.400	41.700		39.600	22.600	20.663	1.937	1.159	0.146	0.005
200	232.100	8.600		8.700	13.400	15.173	-1.773	-1.062	-0.144	0.005
130	59.600	12.000		43.100	9.700	7.884	1.816	1.087	0.144	0.005

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sales_fitted} &= 2.939 + 0.046(\text{TV}) + 0.189(\text{Radio}) - 0.001(\text{Newspaper}) \\ &= 2.939 + 0.046(232) + 0.189(8.6) - 0.001(8.7) \\ &= 15.173\end{aligned}$$

Show the associated residual, its calculation, interpret

Use the advertising campaign of TV=232, Radio=8.6, Newspaper=8.7

[Sec 2.4.1]

RESIDUALS AND INFLUENCE

	TV	Radio	Newspaper	Sales	fitted	resid	rstdnt	dffits	cooks
35	95.700	1.400	7.400	9.500	7.575	1.925	1.154	0.160	0.006
10	199.800	2.600	21.200	10.600	12.551	-1.951	-1.169	-0.154	0.006
1	230.100	37.800	69.200	22.100	20.524	1.576	0.947	0.152	0.006
13	23.800	35.100	65.900	9.200	10.577	-1.377	-0.829	-0.147	0.005
53	216.400	41.700	39.600	22.600	20.663	1.937	1.159	0.146	0.005
200	232.100	8.600	8.700	13.400	15.173	-1.773	-1.062	-0.144	0.005
130	59.600	12.000	43.100	9.700	7.884	1.816	1.087	0.144	0.005
34	265.600	20.000	0.300	17.400	18.864	-1.464	-0.880	-0.143	0.005

$$e_{200} = \text{Sales} - \text{Sales_fitted} = 13.400 - 15.173 = -1.773$$

The actual Sales for Sample 200 fell 1,773 units short of its fitted value

Specify and interpret the sample slope coefficient

[Secs 2.1, 2.3, 3.3.2] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

$$b_{TV} = 0.046$$

In this *particular* sample, on average, for each increase of \$1000 of TV spending, Sales increase by 46 units-- *holding the values of the remaining variables constant*

Hypothesis Test

Is a Slope Coefficient Different from Zero?

Specify the null hypothesis and its alternative for the hypothesis test of the slope coefficient

[Sec 3.2.1]

Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

Null Hypothesis: Partial slope coefficient, that is, the values of Radio and Newspaper held constant, of TV on Sales is 0. Or, in symbols, $\beta_{TV} = 0$

Alternative to the Null: Holding the values of Radio and Newspaper constant, there is a relationship between TV advertising and Sales, $\beta_{TV} \neq 0$

Specify the calculation of the *t*-statistic by applying the relevant numbers from this specific analysis

[Secs 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

How close is the estimate to what is hypothesized?

The *t*-statistic shows how many standard errors the sample estimate is from the null, hypothesize value, here 0

$$t = (b_{TV} - 0) / 0.001 = 0.046 / 0.001 = 32.809$$

Adapt the definition of the *p*-value to this analysis

[Sec 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1]

Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

Assuming the null hypothesis of no relation is true, the probability of getting a sample slope coefficient that is almost 33 standard errors from 0 is extremely small, less than 0.000

The usual 95% cutoff for a t-statistic is around 2.00, closer to 1.96 for large samples, and larger for very small samples.

Specify the basis for the statistical decision for the hypothesis test and the resulting statistical conclusion

[Sec 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

Given the $p\text{-value}=0.000 < \alpha=0.05$, reject the null hypothesis of no relation between TV ad spending and Sales for any given levels of Radio and Newspaper advertising

Hypothesis Test: Interpretation, as an executive summary you would report to management

[Sec 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

For any given level of Radio and Newspaper advertising, TV advertising is related to *increasing Sales*

Specify the null hypothesis and its alternative for the hypothesis test of the slope coefficient

[Sec 3.2.1]

Apply to the 3rd predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

Null Hypothesis: Partial slope coefficient, that is, the values of Radio and TV held constant, of Newspaper ad spending on unit Sales is 0. Or, in symbols, $\beta_{NP} = 0$

Alternative to the Null: Holding the values of Radio and TV ad spending constant, there is a relationship between Newspaper advertising and unit Sales, $\beta_{NP} \neq 0$

Specify the calculation of the *t*-statistic by applying the relevant numbers from this specific analysis

[Secs 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1] Apply to the 3rd predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

How close is the estimate to what is hypothesized? The *t*-statistic is how many standard errors the sample estimate is from the null, hypothesize value, here 0

$$t = (b_{NP} - 0) / 0.006 = -0.001 / 0.006 = -0.177$$

Adapt the definition of the *p*-value to this analysis

[Sec 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1]

Apply to the 3rd predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

Assuming the null hypothesis of no relation is true, the probability of getting a sample slope coefficient that is only 0.177 or more standard errors from 0 is high, 0.860

This sample slope coefficient of -0.001 is consistent with the null hypothesized value of 0

Specify the basis for the statistical decision for the hypothesis test and the resulting statistical conclusion

[Sec 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1] Apply to the 3rd predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

Given the $p\text{-value}=0.860 > \alpha=0.05$, so cannot reject the null hypothesis of no relation between Newspaper ad spending and Sales for any given levels of Radio and TV advertising

Hypothesis Test: Interpretation, as an executive summary you would report to management

[Sec 3.2.1, 3.3.2.1] Apply to the 3rd predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

For any given level of Radio and TV advertising, no relationship between Newspaper ad spending and unit Sales is detected

Confidence Interval

What is the True Value of a Slope Coefficient?

Specify the value the confidence interval estimates

[Secs 3.2.2, 3.3.2.2] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

The confidence interval estimates the true (population) slope coefficient that relates TV advertising to Sales with given values of Radio and Newspaper advertising

Specify the computation of the margin of error by applying the relevant numbers of this analysis with 2 for the t -cutoff

[Secs 3.2.2, 3.3.2.2] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

The 95% margin of error is about plus or minus 2 standard errors, depending on the exact value of the t -cutoff value that cuts off the upper and lower 2.5% of the t -distribution over many hypothetical repeated samples

$$E = (2)(0.001)$$

Show the computations of the confidence interval illustrated with the specific numbers from this analysis

[Secs 3.2.2, 3.3.2.2] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

The 95% confidence interval is plus and minus the margin of error about the sample value, here the value for b_{TV}

Lower Bound of CI: $b_{TV} - E = 0.046 - E = 0.043$

Upper Bound of CI: $b_{TV} + E = 0.046 + E = 0.049$

Confidence Interval: Interpretation, as an executive summary you would report to management

[Secs 3.2.2, 3.3.2.2] Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

For any given level of Radio and Newspaper advertising, each additional \$1000 of TV advertising relates to an average increase of unit Sales likely somewhere between 43 to 49

Demonstrate the consistency of the confidence interval and hypothesis test using the specific numbers for this analysis for both results

[Sec 3.3.2.3]

Apply to the 1st predictor variable

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

The p -value for the hypothesis test of a 0 partial population slope coefficient for TV is less than 0.05, so 0 was rejected as a plausible value

The confidence interval contains the range of plausible values for the slope coefficient, which does not contain 0, again rendering 0 as implausible

Model Selection and Fit

Choose the Most Useful Predictors and Assess Fit

Are any predictor variables much less useful for predicting the response variable? Why or why not?

[Secs 5.2, 5.4]

Estimated Model for Sales

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

The *p*-value for the hypothesis test of a 0 partial population slope coefficient for Newspaper ad spending is much greater than 0.05 so 0 cannot be rejected, and the confidence interval contains 0 and both - and + values, all rendered as plausible

No relationship is detected for Newspaper ad spending and Sales given a set of values for TV and Radio ad spending

Evaluate fit with the standard deviation of residuals

[Secs 2.4, 3.3.3]

Sales: Mean = 14.022 SD = **5.217**
Min = 1.600 Max = 27.000

Model Fit

Standard deviation of residuals: **1.686** for 196 degrees of freedom
95% range of residual variation: **6.648** [2 * 1.972 * 1.686]

R-squared: 0.897 Adjusted R-squared: 0.896 PRESS R-squared: 0.891

The standard deviation of unit Sales is 5.217, yet the standard deviation of the residuals about the regression line reduces down to 1.686, a considerable reduction

The model fits at least reasonably well, though ultimately fit depends on the value judgement of the impact of the size of the 95% range of variation of the residuals, 6.6

Evaluate fit with R^2 and PRESS R^2 , including their comparison

[Secs 2.5.2, 3.3.3, 6.3.3]

```
Sales:  Mean = 14.022    SD = 5.217
       Min = 1.600    Max = 27.000
```

Model Fit

```
Standard deviation of residuals: 1.686 for 196 degrees of freedom
95% range of residual variation: 6.648      [2 * 1.972 * 1.686]
```

```
R-squared: 0.897      Adjusted R-squared: 0.896      PRESS R-squared: 0.891
```

R-sq above 0.6 is usually considered a good fit to the data, a descriptive statistic

PRESS R-sq generalizes to new data and so usually is lower

Here PRESS R-sq is almost as large as R-sq, indicating no practical overfitting and excellent fit to new data as well

Any collinearity problems? Why or why not?

[Sec 5.3]

Collinearity

	Tolerance	VIF
TV	0.995	1.005
Radio	0.873	1.145
Newspaper	0.873	1.145

Tolerance below 0.2 usually is considered to indicate linear dependence of the predictor variable on the others, which corresponds to VIF > 5

Clearly no collinearity with these predictor variables

Any potential outliers? Why or why not?

[Secs 6.2, 6.3]

RESIDUALS AND INFLUENCE

	TV	Radio	Newspaper	Sales	fitted	resid	rstdnt	dffits	cooks
131	0.700	39.600	8.700	1.600	10.428	-8.828	-5.758	-1.127	0.273
6	8.700	48.900	75.000	7.200	12.478	-5.278	-3.288	-0.734	0.128
76	16.900	43.700	89.400	8.700	11.858	-3.158	-1.943	-0.478	0.056
36	290.700	4.100	8.500	12.800	17.007	-4.207	-2.571	-0.459	0.051
179	276.700	2.300	23.700	11.800	16.011	-4.211	-2.570	-0.435	0.046
127	7.800	38.900	50.600	6.600	10.577	-3.977	-2.422	-0.403	0.040
166	234.500	3.400	84.800	11.900	14.224	-2.324	-1.433	-0.391	0.038

Two samples have a much larger Cook's Distance values and Studentized residuals than the others, and so are likely outliers

Both samples have negative residuals, which means that Sales was drastically over predicted

For example, for the first sample, unit Sales was 1600 and fitted Sales is 10,428

From this analysis, what model do you recommend? Why?

[Sec 5.4]

Best Subset Regression Models

TV	Radio	Newspaper	R2adj	X's
1	1	0	0.896	2
1	1	1	0.896	3
1	0	1	0.642	2
1	0	0	0.610	1
0	1	0	0.329	1
0	1	1	0.326	2
0	0	1	0.047	1

The most parsimonious model is the model with TV and Radio advertising as the predictor variables

The fit of R2-adjusted is as large as the full three variable model, 0.896, and noticeably improved over the just the single predictor model with TV as the predictor, 0.610

Prediction Intervals

How Much Error is Expected from Predictions of y ?

Show the calculation of the 95% prediction interval approximated with the t-cutoff of 2

Use the advertising campaign of TV=232, Radio=8.6, Newspaper=8.7

[Sec 4.3.4.2]

PREDICTION ERROR

	TV	Radio	Newspaper	Sales	pred	sf	pi.lwr	pi.upr	width
97	197.600	3.500	5.900	11.700	12.636	1.701	9.281	15.991	6.710
17	67.800	36.600	114.000	12.500	12.824	1.757	9.359	16.288	6.929
58	136.200	19.200	16.600	13.200	12.775	1.691	9.439	16.110	6.672
...									
200	232.100	8.600	8.700	13.400	15.173	1.701	11.819	18.527	6.708
46	175.100	22.500	31.500	14.900	15.162	1.690	11.828	18.495	6.667
147	240.100	7.300	8.700	13.200	15.294	1.702	11.937	18.651	6.714

The 95% prediction interval is plus and minus the margin of error about the sample value, the standard error of forecast, sf , multiplied by about 2

Lower Bound of PI: $Sales_{fitted} - E = 15.173 - E = 11.819$

Upper Bound of PI: $Sales_{fitted} + E = 15.173 + E = 18.527$

Interpret the prediction interval

Use the advertising campaign of TV=232, Radio=8.6, Newspaper=8.7

[Sec 4.3.4.3]

PREDICTION ERROR

	TV	Radio	Newspaper	Sales	pred	sf	pi.lwr	pi.upr	width	
97	197.600	3.500		5.900	11.700	12.636	1.701	9.281	15.991	6.710
17	67.800	36.600		114.000	12.500	12.824	1.757	9.359	16.288	6.929
58	136.200	19.200		16.600	13.200	12.775	1.691	9.439	16.110	6.672
...										
200	232.100	8.600		8.700	13.400	15.173	1.701	11.819	18.527	6.708
46	175.100	22.500		31.500	14.900	15.162	1.690	11.828	18.495	6.667
147	240.100	7.300		8.700	13.200	15.294	1.702	11.937	18.651	6.714

With 95% confidence, the actual value of Sales -- for a sample with TV ad spending of 232, Radio of 8.6, and Newspaper of 8.7 -- will lie between 11,819 and 18,527 units

Conclusion

Management Recommendation

What decision do you recommend to management?

	Estimate	Std Err	t-value	p-value	Lower	95%	Upper	95%
(Intercept)	2.939	0.312	9.422	0.000	2.324		3.554	
TV	0.046	0.001	32.809	0.000	0.043		0.049	
Radio	0.189	0.009	21.893	0.000	0.172		0.206	
Newspaper	-0.001	0.006	-0.177	0.860	-0.013		0.011	

	TV	Radio	Newspaper	R2adj	X's
	1	1	0	0.896	2
1	1		1	0.896	3
1	0		1	0.642	2
1	0		0	0.610	1
0	1		0	0.329	1
0	1		1	0.326	2
0	0		1	0.047	1

Controlling the values of TV and Radio ad spending, Newspaper ad spending contributes little to Sales

Maybe by itself Newspaper ad spending would be useful, but that hypothesis is not evaluated in this analysis. Instead, implement TV and Radio ads, dropping Newspaper ads

The End