



0746-1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

July 23, 2009

Dr. William B. Fischer
Portland State University
Foreign Languages and Literature
PO Box 751
Portland, OR 97201-7510

REF: P017A090367

Dear Dr. Fischer:

We regret to inform you that your application to the FY 2009 Title VI International Research and Studies (IRS) program was not recommended for funding. Your proposal was reviewed by a panel of academic peer reviewers who evaluated all pertinent selection criteria.

We appreciate your interest in the IRS program and the time and effort spent in the preparation and submission of your application. Details on the evaluation of your application, including the scores and comments from the academic review panel, are enclosed. Please keep in mind that the Department of Education does not necessarily endorse all comments made by the reviewers.

If you would like further information concerning the competition, please write to Beth MacRae, Advanced Training and Research Team, 6th Floor, 1990 K Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20006-8521 or by email beth.macrae@ed.gov.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Samuel D. Eisen, Ph.D.
Team Leader
Advanced Training and Research Team
International Education Programs Service

[show names](#)

[show group subtotals](#)

Status: Submitted

Last Updated: 05/29/2009 2:47 PM

Technical Review Coversheet

Applicant: Portland State University - Foreign Languages & Literature (P017A090367)

Reader #1:

	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS SCORED
Summary		
1. Summary Comments	N/A	N/A
Selection Criteria		
1. Need for the Project: Maximum Points- 10	10	7
2. Potential for the Use of Materials in Other Programs Maximum Points: 5	5	2
3. Account of Related Material Maximum Points: 10	10	6
4. Likelihood of Achieving Results: Maximum Points: 10	10	9
5. Expected Contribution to Other Programs Maximum Points 5	5	3
6. Plan of Operation: Maximum points: 10	10	10
7. Quality of Personnel: Maximum Points: 5	5	2
8. Budget and Cost Effectiveness: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
9. Evaluation Plan: Maximum points: 15	15	15
10. Adequacy of Resources: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
11. Description of Final Format: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
12. Provisions for Pretesting and Revision: Maximum Points: 15	15	15
13. Competitive Priority: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
TOTAL	105	89

[show names](#)

[show group subtotals](#)

Status: Submitted
 Last Updated: 06/01/2009 10:05 AM

Technical Review Coversheet

Applicant: Portland State University - Foreign Languages & Literature (P017A090367)

Reader #2:

	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS SCORED
Summary		
1. Summary Comments	N/A	N/A
Selection Criteria		
1. Need for the Project: Maximum Points- 10	10	8
2. Potential for the Use of Materials in Other Programs Maximum Points: 5	5	3
3. Account of Related Material Maximum Points: 10	10	8
4. Likelihood of Achieving Results: Maximum Points: 10	10	8
5. Expected Contribution to Other Programs Maximum Points 5	5	3
6. Plan of Operation: Maximum points: 10	10	10
7. Quality of Personnel: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
8. Budget and Cost Effectiveness: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
9. Evaluation Plan: Maximum points: 15	15	14
10. Adequacy of Resources: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
11. Description of Final Format: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
12. Provisions for Pretesting and Revision: Maximum Points: 15	15	13
13. Competitive Priority: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
TOTAL	105	92

[show names](#)

[show group subtotals](#)

Status: Submitted

Last Updated: 05/30/2009 2:39 PM

Technical Review Coversheet

Applicant: Portland State University - Foreign Languages & Literature (P017A090367)

Reader #3:

	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS SCORED
Summary		
1. Summary Comments	N/A	N/A
Selection Criteria		
1. Need for the Project: Maximum Points- 10	10	7
2. Potential for the Use of Materials in Other Programs Maximum Points: 5	5	3
3. Account of Related Material Maximum Points: 10	10	10
4. Likelihood of Achieving Results: Maximum Points: 10	10	8
5. Expected Contribution to Other Programs Maximum Points 5	5	2
6. Plan of Operation: Maximum points: 10	10	10
7. Quality of Personnel: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
8. Budget and Cost Effectiveness: Maximum Points: 5	5	2
9. Evaluation Plan: Maximum points: 15	15	15
10. Adequacy of Resources: Maximum Points: 5	5	4
11. Description of Final Format: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
12. Provisions for Pretesting and Revision: Maximum Points: 15	15	15
13. Competitive Priority: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
TOTAL	105	91