

Tuesday December 29th 2009

Search

Economist.con 🕈 🔃



My account Manage my newsletters



Home

This week's print edition

Daily news analysis

Opinion

All opinion

Leaders

Letters to the Editor

Blogs

Columns

KAL's cartoons

Correspondent's diary

Economist debates

World politics All world politics

Politics this week

United States

The Americas

Asia

Middle East and Africa

Europe

Britain

#### Special reports

Business and finance All business and finance Business this week Economics focus Management Economics A-Z

Business education All business education Which MBA?

Markets and data All markets and data Daily chart Weekly indicators World markets Currencies Rankings Big Mac index

Science and technology All science and technology Technology Quarterly Technology Monitor

Books and arts All books and arts Style guide

People People Obituaries

#### Diversions

Audio and video Audio and video library Audio edition

The World In The World in 2010 The World in 2009

The World in 2008

The World in 2007 The World in 2006

The World in 2005 The World in 2004

Research tools All research tools Articles by subject





Special report

#### A special report on the euro area

# A tortuous path

Jun 11th 2009

From The Economist print edition

#### From Bretton Woods to euro

THE idea of a single money as a path to European political union goes back a long way. In the 1950s a French economist, Jacques Rueff, wrote that "Europe shall be made through the currency, or it shall not be made." But the euro had pragmatic roots too. After the breakdown of the Bretton Woods system of fixed exchange rates in 1973 the Deutschmark emerged as the benchmark currency in continental Europe. The instability of floating currencies was a barrier to harmonious trade, but schemes to peg exchange rates frequently had to be redrawn because few countries could consistently match the Bundesbank's anti-inflation zeal. The might of German manufacturing forced frequent devaluations on others to keep their industries competitive.

Changes to exchange-rate pegs often caused tensions. François Mitterrand, who as French president was one of the signatories of the Maastricht treaty, is said to have remarked that "devaluations are never small enough to avoid losing face and never large enough to make a real difference to exports." As soon as Maastricht had been signed (with a British opt-out from EMU), those tensions resurfaced. In June 1992 the Danes voted narrowly against ratification, raising a question mark over the assumption that the path to EMU would be smooth. The Irish, in the only other scheduled referendum, voted in favour shortly afterwards, but Mitterrand announced a referendum in France for the following September, a risky gambit because French public opinion was cooling on monetary union.

Currency markets were also stirring. At the time all 12 EU countries, bar Greece, were in the exchange-rate mechanism (ERM), a system that tied currencies to each other within narrow trading bounds. Germany was at the scheme's heart: currencies were officially pegged to each other in a complex grid of bilateral rates but all were, in effect, tied to the D-mark. That became a problem when economic conditions in Germany and the rest of Europe diverged. To head off inflationary pressures caused by Germany's post-unification



boom, the Bundesbank in July 1992 raised interest rates to 8.75%, a 60-year high.

Those German rates caused strains in currency markets that worsened over the summer. In September first Italy and then Britain were forced to devalue, in Britain's case after spending billions of dollars trying to defend its ERM parity against speculators. In the following months Spain, Portugal and Ireland too had Comment (1)

Recommend (38)

E-mail

Share

Print

**Buv PDF** 

Reprints & permissions

**Related Items** 

### In this special report

Holding the euro area together [22] The euro's tortured history E3 The euro and its troubles E3 No euro exit 🕰 Euro reform ET The euro and the crisis E3 The euro and the state E3 Euro positives 😝 Sources and acknowledgments Offer to readers

#### From The Economist

A half-century of covering the EU Mar 22nd 2007

#### Audio

An interview with John O'Sullivan, author of this special report.

Reports on Italy and the euro and Spain and the euro.

#### More articles about...

The European Union The euro

#### Websites

The ECB and the European Commission both give historical information on monetary union and the euro.

Advertisement

The Economist Now available in audio

Economics A-Z Special reports Style guide

Country briefings All country briefings China India Brazil United States Russia

#### My account home

Newsletters and alerts Manage my newsletters Manage my e-mail alerts Manage my RSS feeds Manage special-offer alerts More »

Print subscriptions

Subscribe to The Economist
Renew my subscription
Change my print
subscription delivery,
billing or e-mail address
Pay my bill
Activate premium online
access
Report a missing copy

Suspend my subscription

More »

Digital subscriptions Subscribe to Economist.com Manage my subscription Mobile edition Audio edition Download screensaver More »

#### Classifieds and jobs

The Economist Group
About the Economist
Group
Economist Intelligence
Unit
Economist Conferences
Intelligent Life
CFO
Roll Call
European Voice
EuroFinance
Reprints and permissions

#### EIU online store

#### Economist shop

Advertisement

to let their currencies slide. France battled to hold to its parity and only just succeeded. Its referendum produced a narrow vote in favour of the Maastricht treaty.

#### Look at it this way

Different countries learnt different lessons from the crisis. Britain saw dangers in fixed exchange-rate schemes. Its economy started to pick up almost immediately after its ejection from the ERM. The French were confirmed in their belief that a monetary union was necessary both to prevent speculative attacks on currencies and to ensure that Europe's monetary policy was not made exclusively in Germany. Some German policymakers, previously sceptical of EMU, fretted that any repeat of the crisis would be a threat to the single market.

It was residual German scepticism that caused stiff tests to be set up for countries that wish to join the euro. The "convergence criteria" set out in the treaty called for would-be joiners to meet targets for inflation, bond yields, exchange-rate stability, budget deficits and public debt. The criteria were criticised as having little to do with a country's ability to cope once monetary policy was no longer tailored to national needs. Instead, they seemed designed to favour a core group of like-minded countries, centred around Germany, and to exclude others, particularly Italy, which it was feared would use EMU's low interest rates to relax fiscal discipline.

Things turned out differently. By 1997, the year in which the tests would be applied to a first wave of would-be entrants, Germany and others in the core group had trouble fitting into the Maastricht straitjacket themselves. The time scale for the fiscal targets had to be fudged, which let Italy and others slip through. France insisted that the "stability pact" proposed in the treaty be renamed "stability and growth pact". Germany demanded a cap on budget deficits of 3% of GDP. When both countries themselves later breached that limit, the rules had to be made somewhat more elastic.

#### Readers' comments

Readers have commented on this article (the window for new comments is now closed).

View all comments (1)

Next article »

Advertisement

Entire edition, read word for word

Free for subscribers or US\$8.00

Download now





## Classified ads

Office of Fair Trading Non-Executive Directors London

Who's Who 2010 out Università Bocconi: now Information on 33,000+ people of influence, interest and distinction in society today. New names for 2010: Roger Federer, Paulo Coelho, Matthew Brittin and Martin

Economics and Management Education since 1902.

- Bachelor Master of Sciences

Download your Welcome Kit!

Standard Chartered Senior Manager, Thought Leadership Explore Poland's investment opportunities

MBA-DBA-PhD FRANCE, US, JAPAN, CHINA Boost your career with accredited Diplomas at ISM

THE FOLIO SOCIETY Shorter Oxford English Dictionary with online access only \$19.95 Flexible schedules Part-Time Study and a FREE GIFT worth \$87.50 Worldwide

SAVE \$417

#### Sponsor's feature About sponsorship



About The Economist online About The Economist Media directory Staff books Career opportunities Contact us Subscribe

Site feedback

Copyright © The Economist Newspaper Limited 2009. All rights reserved. Advertising info Legal disclaimer Accessibility Privacy policy Terms & Conditions