

Search

Economist.con \$



My account Manage my newsletters



Sunday December 27th 2009

Home

This week's print edition

Daily news analysis

Opinion

All opinion

Leaders

Letters to the Editor

Blogs

Columns

KAL's cartoons

Correspondent's diary Economist debates

World politics

All world politics

Politics this week

United States The Americas

Asia

Middle East and Africa

Europe

Britain

Special reports

Business and finance All business and finance

Business this week

Economics focus

Management Economics A-Z

Business education All business education Which MBA?

Markets and data

All markets and data

Daily chart

Weekly indicators

World markets

Currencies Rankings

Big Mac index

Science and technology All science and technology Technology Quarterly Technology Monitor

Books and arts All books and arts Style guide

People

People

Obituaries Diversions

Audio and video Audio and video library

Audio edition

The World In

The World in 2010 The World in 2009

The World in 2008

The World in 2007

The World in 2006

The World in 2005 The World in 2004

Research tools

United States

Lexington

The underworked American

Jun 11th 2009

From The Economist print edition

Children are exceptions to the country's work ethic



AMERICANS like to think of themselves as martyrs to work. They delight in telling stories about their punishing hours, snatched holidays and ever-intrusive BlackBerrys. At this time of the year they marvel at the laziness of their European cousins, particularly the French. Did you know that the French take the whole of August off to recover from their 35-hour work weeks? Have you heard that they are so addicted to their holidays that they leave the sick to die and the dead to moulder?

There is an element of exaggeration in this, of course, and not just about French burial habits; studies show that Americans are less Stakhanovite than they think. Still, the average American gets only four weeks of paid leave a year compared with seven for the French and eight for the Germans. In Paris many shops simply close down for August; in Washington, where the weather is sweltering, they remain open, some for 24 hours a day.

But when it comes to the young the situation is reversed. American children have it easier than most other children in the world, including the supposedly lazy Europeans. They have one of the shortest school years anywhere, a mere 180 days compared with an average of 195 for OECD countries and

Comment (259)

Recommend (235)

E-mail

Share

Print

Reprints & permissions

Related Items

From The Economist

Educational league tables **53** Mar 27th 1997

Country briefing

United States

More by...

Lexington

Websites

McKinsey has its report on the economic impact of poorly performing schoolchildren. See also the Knowledge is Power Programme.

Advertisement

All research tools
Articles by subject
Economics A-Z
Special reports
Style guide

Country briefings All country briefings China India Brazil United States

My account home

Russia

Newsletters and alerts Manage my newsletters Manage my e-mail alerts Manage my RSS feeds Manage special-offer alerts More »

Print subscriptions
Subscribe to The
Economist
Renew my subscription
Change my print
subscription delivery,
billing or e-mail address
Pay my bill
Activate premium online
access
Report a missing copy
Suspend my subscription

Digital subscriptions
Subscribe to
Economist.com
Manage my subscription
Mobile edition
Audio edition
Download screensaver
More »

Classifieds and jobs

The Economist Group
About the Economist
Group
Economist Intelligence
Unit
Economist Conferences
Intelligent Life
CFO
Roll Call
European Voice
EuroFinance
Reprints and permissions

EIU online store

Economist shop

Advertisement

more than 200 for East Asian countries. German children spend 20 more days in school than American ones, and South Koreans over a month more. Over 12 years, a 15-day deficit means American children lose out on 180 days of school, equivalent to an entire year.

American children also have one of the shortest school days, six-and-a-half hours, adding up to 32 hours a week. By contrast, the school week is 37 hours in Luxembourg, 44 in Belgium, 53 in Denmark and 60 in Sweden. On top of that, American children do only about an hour's-worth of homework a day, a figure that stuns the Japanese and Chinese.

Americans also divide up their school time oddly. They cram the school day into the morning and early afternoon, and close their schools for three months in the summer. The country that tut-tuts at Europe's mega-holidays thinks nothing of giving its children such a lazy summer. But the long summer vacation acts like a mental eraser, with the average child reportedly forgetting about a month's-worth of instruction in many subjects and almost three times that in mathematics. American academics have even invented a term for this phenomenon, "summer learning loss". This pedagogical understretch is exacerbating social inequalities. Poorer children frequently have no one to look after them in the long hours between the end of the school day and the end of the average working day. They are also particularly prone to learning loss. They fall behind by an average of over two months in their reading. Richer children actually improve their performance.

The understretch is also leaving American children ill-equipped to compete. They usually perform poorly in international educational tests, coming behind Asian countries that spend less on education but work their children harder. California's state universities have to send over a third of their entering class to take remedial courses in English and maths. At least a third of successful PhD students come from abroad.

A growing number of politicians from both sides of the aisle are waking up to the problem. Barack Obama has urged school administrators to "rethink the school day", arguing that "we can no longer afford an academic calendar designed for when America was a nation of farmers who needed their children at home ploughing the land at the end of each day." Newt Gingrich has trumpeted a documentary arguing that Chinese and Indian children are much more academic than American ones.

These politicians have no shortage of evidence that America's poor educational performance is weakening its economy. A recent report from McKinsey, a management consultancy, argues that the lagging performance of the country's school pupils, particularly its poor and minority children, has wreaked more devastation on the economy than the current recession.

Learning the lesson

A growing number of schools are already doing what Mr Obama urges, and experimenting with lengthening the school day. About 1,000 of the country's 90,000 schools have broken the shackles of the regular school day. In particular, charter schools in the Knowledge is Power Programme (KIPP) start the school day at 7.30am and end at 5pm, hold classes on some Saturdays and teach for a couple of weeks in the summer. All in all, KIPP students get about 60% more



class time than their peers and routinely score better in tests.

Still, American schoolchildren are unlikely to end up working as hard as the French, let alone the South Koreans, any time soon. There are institutional reasons for this. The federal government has only a limited influence over the school system. Powerful interest groups, most notably the teachers' unions, but also the summer-camp industry, have a vested interest in the status quo. But reformers are also up against powerful cultural forces.

One is sentimentality; the archetypical American child is Huckleberry Finn, who had little taste for formal education. Another is complacency. American parents have led grass-root protests against attempts to extend the school year into August or July, or to increase the amount of homework their little darlings have to do. They still find it hard to believe that all those Chinese students, beavering away at their books, will steal their children's jobs. But Huckleberry Finn was published in 1884. And brain work is going the way of manual work, to whoever will provide the best value for money. The next time Americans make a joke about the Europeans and their taste for la dolce vita, they ought to take a look a bit closer to home.

Economist.com/blogs/lexington

Back to top ^^

Readers' comments

Readers have commented on this article (the window for new comments is now closed).

View all comments (259)

Advertisement

Classified ads

Office of Fair Trading Directors London

Who's Who 2010 out Università Bocconi: Information on 33,000+ people of

influence, interest and distinction in society today. New names for 2010: Roger Federer, Paulo Coelho, Matthew Brittin and Martin Lewis.

Management Education since 1902

Economics and

Bachelor Master of SciencesPhD

Download your Welcome Kit!

Standard Chartered Senior Manager, Thought Leadership

Explore Poland's opportunities

MBA-DBA-PhD FRANCE, US, JAPAN, CHINA Boost your career

with accredited

Diplomas at ISM

Part-Time Study Worldwide

Flexible schedules

Sponsor's feature

THE FOLIO SOCIETY



About The Economist online About The Economist Media directory Staff books Career opportunities Contact us Subscribe

About sponsorship

Copyright © The Economist Newspaper Limited 2009. All rights reserved. Advertising info Legal disclaimer Accessibility Privacy policy Terms & Conditions



My account Manage my newsletters Search Economist.con \$

Get 4 FREE trial issues >> Student offers

Sunday December 27th 2009

This week's print edition

Daily news analysis

Opinion

All opinion

Leaders

Letters to the Editor

Blogs

Columns

KAL's cartoons

Correspondent's diary

Economist debates

World politics All world politics

Politics this week

United States

The Americas Asia

Middle East and Africa

Europe Britain

Special reports

Business and finance All business and finance Business this week

Fronomics focus Management

Economics A-Z Business education All business education

Markets and data

All markets and data

Daily chart

Weekly indicators

World markets

Which MBA?

Currencies

Rankings Big Mac index

Science and technology All science and technology

Technology Quarterly Technology Monitor

Books and arts Style quide

People

Obituaries

Diversions

Audio and video Audio and video library

Audio edition

The World In The World in 2010

The World in 2009

The World in 2008

The World in 2007

The World in 2006

The World in 2005 The World in 2004

Research tools

Letters

On schooling, Christina Romer, ethics, Brazil, Cambodia, Chevron, asylum seekers, pay, verbs

Jul 2nd 2009

From The Economist print edition

The lazy days of summer

SIR - Before Lexington disparages American children for their comparatively short school day he should take a closer look at the arguments (June 13th). First of all, international education surveys regularly compare the children of the elite in the developing world, such as China and India, with the mass of children in developed countries. We should compare like with like before moving to generalisations. Second, children in the upper socioeconomic classes in America regularly attend extra-curricular activities—art, sport, extra maths—that extend their day. This way I get to tailor my children's education rather than have them sit bored in a classroom for up to nine hours.

Third, rote learning does not equal intelligence and memorisation does not equal learning. Finally, studies show that grades and SAT scores are not always a predictor of career success.

Lotus knows

how to reach Bob at home.

on his mobile, in an email,

on a videoconference.

at the London office,

and on his bo a

My experience is that mass testing is replacing creative learning with worksheets, even as young as pre-school (kindergarten). Yet the skills required for this century will not be based in rote- and test-based-learning but in creative thinking. This is America's comparative advantage (look at Bill Gates). Maybe we have it right after

Jodi Detjen Newton, Massachusetts

America's economy

* SIR - Christina Romer's comparison of the present

economic situation to the 1930s was excellent, except for the glaring omission of the automatic economic stabilisers that exist today, such as unemployment insurance, food stamps, etc (Economics focus, June 20th). These programmes weren't around in the 1930s. But now they are causing government spending to increase hugely, which makes the \$787 billion stimulus package largely unnecessary.

Dennis Fulmer Canton, Ohio

* SIR - Ms Romer's parallels with 1937 should have mentioned the fact that America was a net exporter of oil products, led the world in steel production, dominated global automobile technology and production, and was a creditor nation. Perhaps the best lesson of 1937 is that historical parallels are generally

Send a letter to the editor

Write to:

Comment (6)

E-mail

Share

Recommend (62)

The Editor The Economist 25 St James's Street London SW1A 1HG

Fax:

020 7839 4092

E-mail:

letters@economist.com

Advertisement

