

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

#### OFFICE OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

July 23, 2009

Dr. William B. Fischer Portland State University Foreign Languages and Literature PO Box 751 Portland, OR 97201-7510

REF: P017A090367

Dear Dr. Fischer:

We regret to inform you that your application to the FY 2009 Title VI International Research and Studies (IRS) program was not recommended for funding. Your proposal was reviewed by a panel of academic peer reviewers who evaluated all pertinent selection criteria.

We appreciate your interest in the IRS program and the time and effort spent in the preparation and submission of your application. Details on the evaluation of your application, including the scores and comments from the academic review panel, are enclosed. Please keep in mind that the Department of Education does not necessarily endorse all comments made by the reviewers.

If you would like further information concerning the competition, please write to Beth MacRae, Advanced Training and Research Team, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, 1990 K Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20006-8521 or by email beth.macrae@ed.gov.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Samuel D. Eisen, Ph.D.

Team Leader

Advanced Training and Research Team International Education Programs Service

show names show group subtotals

Status: Submitted

Last Updated: 05/29/2009 2:47 PM

## **Technical Review Coversheet**

Applicant: Portland State University - Foreign Languages & Literature (P017A090367)

Reader #1:

	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS SCORED
Summary		
1. Summary Comments	N/A	N/A
Selection Criteria		
1. Need for the Project: Maximum Points- 10	10	7
2. Potential for the Use of Materials in Other Programs Maximum Points: 5	5	2
3. Account of Related Material Maximum Points: 10	10	6
4. Likelihood of Achieving Results: Maximum Points: 10	10	9
5. Expected Contribution to Other Programs Maximum Points 5	5	3
6. Plan of Operation: Maximum points: 10	10	10
7. Quality of Personnel: Maximum Points: 5	5	2
8. Budget and Cost Effectiveness: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
9. Evaluation Plan: Maximum points: 15	15	15
10. Adequacy of Resources: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
11. Description of Final Format: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
12. Provisions for Pretesting and Revision: Maximum Points: 15	15	15
13. Competitive Priority: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
TOTAL	105	89

0741-9. Page 1 of 7

show group subtotals

Status: Submitted

Last Updated: 06/01/2009 10:05 AM

## **Technical Review Coversheet**

Applicant: Portland State University - Foreign Languages & Literature (P017A090367)

Reader #2:

	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS SCORED
Summary		
1. Summary Comments	N/A	N/A
Selection Criteria		
1. Need for the Project: Maximum Points- 10	10	8
2. Potential for the Use of Materials in Other Programs Maximum Points: 5	5	3
3. Account of Related Material Maximum Points: 10	10	8
4. Likelihood of Achieving Results: Maximum Points: 10	10	8
5. Expected Contribution to Other Programs Maximum Points 5	5	3
6. Plan of Operation: Maximum points: 10	10	10
7. Quality of Personnel: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
8. Budget and Cost Effectiveness: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
9. Evaluation Plan: Maximum points: 15	15	14
10. Adequacy of Resources: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
11. Description of Final Format: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
12. Provisions for Pretesting and Revision: Maximum Points: 15	15	13
13. Competitive Priority: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
TOTAL	105	92

show group subtotals

Status: Submitted

Last Updated: 05/30/2009 2:39 PM

# **Technical Review Coversheet**

Applicant: Portland State University - Foreign Languages & Literature (P017A090367)

Reader #3:

	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS SCORED
Summary		
1. Summary Comments	N/A	N/A
Selection Criteria		
1. Need for the Project: Maximum Points- 10	10	7
2. Potential for the Use of Materials in Other Programs Maximum Points: 5	5	3
3. Account of Related Material Maximum Points: 10	10	10
4. Likelihood of Achieving Results: Maximum Points: 10	10	8
5. Expected Contribution to Other Programs Maximum Points 5	5	2
6. Plan of Operation: Maximum points: 10	10	10
7. Quality of Personnel: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
8. Budget and Cost Effectiveness: Maximum Points: 5	5	2
9. Evaluation Plan: Maximum points: 15	15	15
10. Adequacy of Resources: Maximum Points: 5	5	4
11. Description of Final Format: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
12. Provisions for Pretesting and Revision: Maximum Points: 15	15	15
13. Competitive Priority: Maximum Points: 5	5	5
TOTAL	105	91