Meeting 01 • 31 March 2009 • Tuesday

People: Benoit, Sara L.; Breedlove, Clifford E.; McDonnell, Kelsey C.; Orcutt, Kathleem S.;

Pennington, Laurissa B.; Salinas, Victor; Tasi, Joana; Watters, Erin.

Today

Poople: He in minutes

(X') = anticipated time in minutes

(#0001) etc.=item in document collection (will be explained in class)

Key to notes added AFTER the class meets:

V = topic / activity that was adequately dealt with during the class

+ = topic needs more attention & will be resumed at next / subsequent meeting(s)

- = a topic / activity that was proposed but not carried out - will be taken up later

Struckthrough text like this = a topic / activity that was proposed but not included is not going to be taken up after all

Italic text like this = comments after the meeting

Main Topic(s): Introduction to the Course, of course; our group; What is 'CBI'?

materials:

Chapter 1 of Stryker/Leaver (photocopy in first meeting, #0410b in course collection, for use until books arrive);

examples from my courses:

German 320 / 415 / 515 Business Simulation Course and its related "SpeakEasy Company Website"; also my ACTFL Conference presentation (November 2008);

GER 399 "Science Fiction Radio Drama Production" and its earlier version, the "Papa Joe" Project;

the "Humboldt Project", and its earlier versions, FLL 399 (2006W) and GER 427/527 (2006F); also my PSU SINQ presentation (October 2008); examples of other courses and projects elsewhere: Levine's second-year simulation courses (#0172, #705); Ryan-Scheutz & Colangelo's beginner-level (!) drama production (#0019); the "Big Book" activity for middle-schooler FLES (#0407)

- (15') Who we are: language teaching backgrounds and professional interests and plans. PLEASE EMAIL ME ASAP AFTER CLASS (if you haven't done so already), so that I can use your preferred address. Also please indicate whether I can distribute your address to others on the class.
- (5') Fast overview of course: texts, activities, goals
- (20') So what IS 'CBI'? Stryker/Leaver's definition & description (#0410b). Have we ourselves encountered/ done CBI in FL/ESL as teachers? as learners (adult, child)? as parents?
- (20') Some examples of CBI see above, "materials", especially "SpeakEasy". What does it "take" to "do" CBI? (materials, environments, traits)
- (10') Break: Go get your coffee or your snack, but bring it back. We'll start promptly after 10 minutes, with or without you!
- (30') What (will) this class (will) do?/. Reading, reflection, discussion, reports, writing, small & large project(s) (individual, ?group?), field trips and outreach, grant-seeking
- (10') upcoming: see section immediately below; if time: group drafts the scoring guide for the reflection

Send whatout langleschung repuds

podagogy Stryke ESL FL

Upcoming class meeting(s) (#2 • 02 April 2009 Thursday)

- 1) Read the rest of Ch. 1 of Stryker/Leaver, and articles by Levine (#0172, #705) and Ryan-Scheutz/Colangelo (#0019). As preparation for Thursday class discussion write a one-page (single-spaced) reflection that develops some sort of coherent idea from one/both of the articles. During class you can add comments to your printed version; then you'll hand it in right there/then.
- 2) Explore the websites listed above under "materials". As preparation for more Thursday class discussion (and beyond that!) consider how you might or might not base a major project of your own on participation in their further development.

Upcoming assignment(s)

This section offers a PREVIEW, not activated assignments. Assignments are made, with announcement of their deadlines, both in class and on the "schedule" page.

Announcements

. . .

Misc.

top of page

*	Presenter
Sc	Scorer
Scoring Guide for a CBI Classroom	Date
CBI Classroom Project	Total Score
	- Grade

Notes: 1) Project 3 (proposal for entire course also needs [Factor 4 "Pieces"] an overview / Table of Contents). 2) For Projects 1 & 2, presentation is not as important, so Factor 4 "slides" by one performance level. 3) Evidence of pedagogical consciousness" will very in length with the size of the project, and will be much more extensive for a project that presents the design of an entire course. Evidence can by reference to secondary literature or just "teacher talk" that shows consciousness of CBI concepts and their implications.

- 3	9	2	4	μ	ωΛ
Global	After tryout in real class goes to conference / can be used as exemplary for a later CBI class.	Needs ¼ hour with me, then I hour of revision of small-scale content.	Will work adequately for its creator, but use by others would need serious support.	Most parts will work adequately for its creator, but one major part should not be used yet.	Survey of project reveals that the organizing princ one (=hard-nosed grammar-translation approach).
Factor 1: Is It CBI?	Is essentially equivalent to instruction in the other subject area (except for the inescapable oddities that the language level does not match the cognitive level of the other subject level, and that there are specific language targets).	Needs minor transformation of one aspect, but no content is missing.	Needs to add something and cut something, or transform (the) two chunks.	Needs several major changes, but the underlying idea is indeed CBI	that the organizing principle is ar-translation approach).
Factor 2: Language Level Fits Activity?	Central language level is exactly right, and the activity, even as is, can fit the neighboring level above and below.	Needs slight adjustment (ex.: one learner activity is above or below level).	Entire project needs adjustment by one major ACTFL sub-level (ex: IntMid vs. IntHigh is major; IntLow vs. IntMid is minor)	Entire project belongs to a distinctly different level (exs: IntLow/Mid v. Advanced, LANG 103 v. LANG 203). Score 2: level is even more inappropriate (ex: Adv v. NH, LANG 301 v. LANG 101)	not CBI, but something else, mo
Factor 3: Time Appropriate?	Entirety of activity is a very close fit to the declared available time, and is also, even as is, flexible in both directions.	Very close fit, but not flexible.	Needs 50% adjustment (cut by half, stretch to twice what's there), but this requires no major rethinking.	Much too short or much to long for the available time.	Survey of project reveals that the organizing principle is not CBI, but something else, most likely a language lesson, and probably a pedagogically traditional / reactionary one (=hard-nosed grammar-translation approach).
Factor 4: The Pieces	More than just the basic set of instructor directions, student setup, support resources (realia, etc.), assessment tool, and evidence of pedagogical consciousness); all pieces of high quality.	Needs a couple of adjustments that can be carried out with little help from me beyond the initial criticism.	One piece (or equivalent sub-pieces of multiple pieces) needs my earnest help (half-hour discussion) and then several hours of your attention.	Needs an hour of my time and probably 5 hours of yours.	
Factor 5: Presentation	Extremely accurate language (spelling, punctuation, syntax, paragraph structure, organization of parts, voice) AND strong visual management of the text (typography, layout).	Close to 6: errors of language are individual, not systematic. Needs a pointer or two about typography / layout.	One systematic exposition flaw and a couple small-scale errors. Format is plain but not confusing.	To become 4, presentation (this alone) needs an hour of consultation, followed by several hours of your time.	ılly traditional / reactionary

grade. Calculation for course grade: each category 20% (global category serves as a check); see website for conversion of scoring guide to letter

• Calculation in **real world**: The two idea/effort categories and the Ausstellung would count for 80% together, writing and speaking for 10% each (because those skills can be improved, or their products revised by others, more easily than can the underlying behaviors that produce performance when the going gets serious).

BWB구	2	သ	4	5	6	
Would not be hired or retained under even the most desperate of circumstances.	Needs thorough and remedial training to be hired, and only if no one else applies.	Boss hires on application, but with cautions and trial period.	Boss hires on application, considers assignment to creative tasks.	Boss offers juicy job.	Boss offers juicy job and pleads it be accepted.	Global
Neglected many major tasks; the work that was completed could be salvaged only with many major revisions.	Neglected some major tasks. The work that was completed would need much revision to be of usable quality.	Did almost all assigned work, and with a little revision it could be improved to usable quality.	Did all assigned work, and it was of usable quality, with little need for revision.	All or almost all of 4, plus: contributed some unassigned thinking and work	All of 4, plus: repeatedly volunteered unassigned thinking and work, both of high quality	Factor 1: Ideas and Effort outside the Classroom
Resolutely refused to respond individually or participate in a group. Constant absence.	Responded, but only with considerable encouragement. Remained aloof from most activities. Frequent absence.	Responded, though sometimes reluctantly, and could be persuaded to join activities. Notable absence.	Responded readily in class and participated in most activities. Occasionally absent.	Spoke readily in class and quickly joined activities. Occasionally absent.	Spoke often in class and led activities. Seldom absent.	Factor 2: Ideas and Effort inside the Classroom
Novice-High	Intermediate-Low	Intermediate-Mid	Intermediate-High	Advanced-Low	Above Advanced- Low, whether on first draft or after revision (same for other levels)	Factor 3: Writing (ACTFL Proficiency)
Novice-High	Intermediate-Low	Intermediate-Mid	Intermediate-High	Advanced-Low	Above Advanced- Low	Factor 4: Speaking (ACTFL Proficiency)
Caused serious problems in the preparation or conduct of the Ausstellung.	Both ingredients below typical for the group.	One ingredient below typical quality for the group.	Both ingredients typical of the group and of a satisfactory Ausstellung.	One of the above outstanding, the other better than the average for the group.	Individual and group performance outstanding.	Factor 5: März- Veranstaltung / Juni-Ausstellung