#### Meeting 12 • 11 February 2010

Version: 2/11/10

for

except

eft, at

least

#### Today • my deadline page

numbers in ( ) = minutes planned for activity/ topic

- $\sqrt{\ }$  = topic / activity that was adequately dealt with during the class
- + = topic needs more attention & will be resumed at next / subsequent meeting(s)
- = a topic / activity that was proposed but not carried out (but will be taken up later) Struckthrough text like this = a topic / activity that was proposed but not included / is not going to be taken up after all

Italic text like this = comments after the meeting

Main topic(s): Rubrics; Teaching and Testing Writing
(10) assessment in the news! Well, at least in my news: Geyserville, CA embarks on what could be a BBIMMP in language teaching and teacher training (in the reverse order, one hopes)
(50) rubrics: GER 102 Project 1 (Einkaufen und Geschenke; also work samples 0777a • 0777b • 0777c • 0777d • 0777e • 0777f); current GER 102 Oral Test (K11): K11 protocol (doc) & scoring guide (doc)
(30) Testing & teaching writing: initial discussion. Materials: ACTFL Guidelines for Writing (0013); outstanding article about teaching writing (Barnett, 0009)
(10) Assignment: create a scoring guide for a language activity, with reflection; initial comments about the "BIMP" (AmAze me; Erin Watters idea)
(10) For fun (if time): Old FSI language proficiency self-appraisal (0039)

#### Upcoming class meetings

16 & 18 Feb.: assessing writing (L2, of course; but how about L1?); teaching writing; same for listening and reading; much more about rubrics (and an assignment to create one); course, curriculum, program assessment; thinking about the BIMP

#### Upcoming assignment(s)

This section offers a PREVIEW, not activated assignments. Assignments are made, with announcement of their deadlines, both in class and on the "schedule" page. Here's a stack of reading for upcoming topics: PASS (OUS assessment system) documents: 0692 overall view (all subject areas); 0698 Second/World Languages Standards; 0695 Math Standards; Portland Public Schools World Languages Standards, 2001 version (0010a); 0699 ACTFL "Blueprint for Action on Language Education (2005)

#### Announcements

Misc.

Im writing a grant that will fund the development of Southern Pomo language curriculum, teaching tools, and plan a teacher certification program for the Tribe. In part of the proposal I will be talking about testing curriculum/teaching tools on children and adults. I dont know much of anything about testing curriculum, and I dont have to become expert in it, but I do want to be able to talk about it intelligently. Can you think of a colleague (in curriculum development?) at the university who could think aloud with me about this in a brief phone or email conversation?

APPENDIX

PROFICIENCY

SPEAKING SELF-APPRAISAL OF

achieve the on YES to listed level pe proficiency must answers our items of level

是是一个人,不是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们也会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会会

#### SELF-APPRAISAL OF SPEAKING PROFICIENCY

(All answers must be YES to achieve at least the level of proficiency listed on the left, except for four items at the S-3 level.)

- Lus	Gout ratings (ILR scale)	YES	NO
S-0+ Vovice Hyh	Can you use a minimum of thirty words in appropriate contexts? (i.e., not just count or recite the days of the week).	$\Box$	
S-1 Intermediak	Can you tell someone how to get from here to the nearest hotel, restaurant, or post office?		
Low + Mid	Can you ask and tell the time of day, day of the week, date?		<u>/</u>
	Can you order a simple meal?	1	7
	Can you negotiate for a hotel room or a taxi ride at a just price?		
	Can you buy a needed item of clothing or a bus or train ticket?		
	Can you understand and respond correctly to form questions about your nationality, marital status, occupation, date and place of birth, etc.?		
	Can you make a social introduction and use appropriate leave-taking expressions?		
	Can you use the language well enough to assist someone who does not know the language in coping with the situations or problems covered by the S-1 range?		
S-1+ Int. High	Can you meet all S-l requirements and at least three of the S-2 requirements listed below?	/7	

#### TESTING KIT

		YES	МО
S-2 Advanced	Can you describe your present or most recent job or activity in some detail?		
Low/Mid	Can you give detailed information about your family, your house, the weather today?		<u></u>
	Can you take and give simple messages over the telephone?		
	Can you hire an employee, or arrange for special services (taking care of details such as salary, qualifications, hours, specific duties)?	/7	
	Can you give a brief autobiography and tell of immediate plans and hopes?	-	
	Can you describe the geography of the United States or a familiar location?		
	Can you describe the basic structure of the U.S. Government or of the U.S. educational system?		<u>/</u>
	Can you describe the purpose or function of the organization you represent?		
	Do you feel confident that you understand what native speakers want to tell you on topics like those mentioned above and that they understand you (linguistically) at least 80% of the time?		<u></u>
	Can you use the language well enough to assist someone else who does not know the language in coping with the situations or problems covered by the S-2 range?		
S-2+ Advanced High	Can you meet all S-2 requirements and at least three of these S-3 requirements?		

### TESTING KIT

	f and the second		
		YES	NO
s-3 and above are all	(Answers should be No) Are there grammatical features of the language which you try to avoid?		
A CTFL Superior	Do you sometimes find yourself in the middle of a sentence you cannot finish because of linguistic limitations (grammar or vocabulary)?		
	Do you find it difficult to follow and contribute to a conversation among native speakers who try to-include you in their talk?		
	Are you afraid that you will misunderstand information given to you over the telephone?		
	(Answers should be YES) Can you speak to a group of educated native speakers on a professional subject and be sure you are communicating what you want to, without obviously amusing or irritating them linguistically?		
	Can you listen, take notes, and summarize accurately a speech or an informal discussion on your area of special interests, heard on the radio or over a public address system?		
	Can you (on a social occasion) defend U.S. Attitudes toward culture, race relations, or foreign aid from attack by an anti-American student or politician?	<u></u>	
	Can you cope with such trying linguistic situations as broken-down plumbing, an undeserved traffic ticket, a serious social or diplomatic blunder made by you or a colleague?		
	Can you follow connected discourse on a non-technical subject, e.g., a panel discussion on the status of women?		
	Can you serve as an informal interpreter on subjects in the S-3 range?		

		YES	<u>NO</u>
S-3	Do you feel that you have a professional command (rather than just a practical one) of the language?		
S-3+	Can you meet all S-3 requirements and at least three of these S-4 requirements?		
S-4	In professional discussions, is your vocabulary always extensive and precise enough to enable you to convey your exact meaning?		
	Are you able to alter your speech deliberately, depending upon whether you are talking to university professors, close friends, employees, etc.?		<u></u>
	Can you serve as an informal interpreter for a U.S. senator or cabinet official on all diplomatic and social functions?	· /_	
	Do you practically never make a grammatical mistake?		
	Do you think you can carry out any job assignment as effectively in the language as in English?		
S-4+	In discussions on all subjects, is your vocabulary always extensive and precise enough to enable you to convey your exact meaning?		
S-5	Do native speakers react to you as they do to each other?		
	Do you sometimes feel more at home in the language than in English?		

Scoring Guide for OT K11 (read dialog; describe family member or friend)

Low in general, rising to Intermediate-Mid on familiarity territory (ex: "Mein Bruder studiert Mathematik" would be said fluently, but frequently seem to need and then fail to produce vocabulary that has been introduced by Wie, bitte? or is likely to have been acquired Rule of thumb for 4/complete: comprehensible immediately to native speaker who is not reading the text. Text type is Intermediate-"Er arbeitet [halting attempt to express "part-time" yields "heute aber nicht morgen, oder morgen aber nicht heute"]). Does not otherwise in class or in projects.

### Small Talk

## Dialog Reading from Text (45%)

initiates at every responds to or opportunity 9

familiarity with all words); chunks in accord with meaning; adds expression; does reads at close to natural pace, with same speed throughout (thus indicating not ignore umlauts or reverse sounds (\*Kartofflen for Kartoffeln)

> Clearly closer to 5 than to 3 5

Clearly closer to 6 than to 4

examiner initiates not hesitate if the language; does basic greetings and politeness reflected in

4

always responds sometimes, but may hesitate 3

hand ed, the other one greeting garbled; 2

one greeting;

Reads without stumbling core language from previous material and more than half of characteristic sounds in words from previous core vocab. May not chunk and intone or \_\_\_\_ Uhr). Does not slow down on arabic numbers of 1 through 3 digits. (Allow emphatically for German but does not chunk and intone distortedly. Does not speak single lapses in above if the passage has other instances of the same feature that are only in monotone. No long pauses or incorrect seguencing of words (as in DM\_ morphological variation of known words). Does not distort umlauts or other current unit (i.e., does not handle low-frequency vocab, or trips on new managed properly.)

Clearly closer to 4 than to 2. Does not turn statements into questions due to uncertainty. Severe distortion even of language from earlier units. Reading is slow, unchunked, and monotone. May intone statements as questions, due to insecurity

Gets part way into the dialog, but with much difficulty

No. 24 -7 20h führt 2/5 mussen

Family description (45%)

several well-formed sentences using verbs other than sein, able to add more; third-person singular conjugation solid; along with the simpler sentences about age, etc.; appears distinguishes mein/meine accurately for people; uses 3S pronouns (can't expect the accusative)

but sometimes misconjugates (or fails to conjugate at all). Distinguishes mein/meine etc. for people, but with some along with "sein" sentences, uses several specific verbs

wrongly); does not distort core family terms (Bruder, etc., more than one sentence that use verbs other than sein; uses 3S -t ending, if only once ("ist" doesn't count); mixes mein/meine etc., but does us they (if often not "Broder") Juff len hung ux ben älber

mixes -t ending and distorted endings (or infinitive) for where, for example, "wohnen" is more appropriate); More than 2 sentences, but mostly with "sein" (even third-person singular.

two short sentences, limited to early-chapter language (Bob ist 17 Jahr alt. Er studier(e)... Tefen baben lin Kinder Wen & Ar 1st sehr klowe a few words only

it, is sehen new for lear ans Br Wayse to

m (455

Scorer Why P Date & Full . 10 Total Score

Grade 13.

Scoring Guide for OT K11 (read dialog; describe family member or friend)

Low in general, rising to Intermediate-Mid on familiarity territory (ex: "Mein Bruder studiert Mathematik" would be said fluently, but frequently seem to need and then fail to produce vocabulary that has been introduced by Wie, bitte? or is likely to have been acquired Rule of thumb for 4/complete: comprehensible immediately to native speaker who is not reading the text. Text type is Intermediate "Er arbeitet [halting attempt to express "part-time" yields "heute aber nicht morgen, oder morgen aber nicht heute"]). Does not otherwise in class or in projects.

### Small Talk

# Dialog Reading from Text (45%)

initiates at every responds to or opportunity 9

Clearly closer to 5 than to \beta 5

examiner initiates not hesitate if the language; does basic greetings and politeness reflectedlin 4

always responds sometimes, but may hesitate 3

handled, the other one greeting garbled; 2

one greeting;

familiarity with all words); chunks in accord with meaning; adds expression; does reads at close to natural pace, with same speed throughout (thus indicating not ignore umlauts or reverse sounds (\*Kartofflen for Kartoffeln)

Clearly closer to 6 than to 4

Reads without stumbling core language from previous material and more than half of characteristic sounds in words from previous core vocab. May not chunk and intone or \_\_\_\_ Uhr). Does not slow down on arabic numbers of 1 through 3 digits. (Allow single lapses in above if the passage has other instances of the same feature that are emphatically for German but does not chunk and intone distortedly. Does not speak only in monotone. No long pauses or incorrect seguencing of words (as in DM \_\_\_ morphological variation of known words). Does not distort umlauts or other current unit (i.e., does not handle low-frequency vocab, or trips on new managed properly.)

Clearly closer to 4 than to 2. Does not turn statements into questions due to uncertainty Severe distortion even of language from earlier units. Reading is slow, unchunked, and monotone. May intone statements as questions, due to insecurity

little else

- filmt

Gets part way into the dialog, but with much difficulty.

missem unki

## Family description (45%)

several well-formed sentences using verbs other than sein, able to add more; third-person singular conjugation solid; along with the simpler sentences about age, etc.; appears distinguishes mein/meine accurately for people; uses 3S pronouns (can't expect the accusative)

but sometimes misconjugates (or fails to conjugate at all). Distinguishes mein/meine etc. for people, but with some along with "sein" sentences, uses several specific verbs

wrongly); does not distort core family terms (Bruder, etc., more than one sentence that use verbs other than sein; uses 3S -t ending, if only once ("ist" doesn't count); mixes mein/meine etc., but does use both (if often

mixes -t ending and distorted endings (or infinitive) for More than 2 sentences, but mostly with "sein" (even where, for example, "wohnen" is more appropriate); third-person singular.

two short sentences, limited to early-chapter language (Bob ist 17 Jahr alt. Er studier(e).

few words only

the habe central in DOX a 1st bein B wohn the 18 w bon 1st Alen B wohn the Week (week)

nemmer