Examples of Chi-square Difference Tests with Nonnormal and Categorical Variables

The simple chi-square difference test (or likelihood ratio test), which subtracts the chi-square of a less constrained model (more parameters or M1) from the chi-square of a more constrained model (fewer parameters or M0).

$$\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2_{Fewer} - \chi^2_{More} = \chi^2_{M0} - \chi^2_{M1}$$

$$\Delta df = df_{Fewer} - df_{More} = df_{M0} - df_{M1}$$

This comparison needs to be adjusted or weighted when data are continuous nonnormal estimation with Satorra-Bentler scaled chi-square when there are no missing values (Satorra & Bentler, 1988, 1994), or continuous nonnormal estimation when there are missing values (Yuan-Bentler scale chi-square; Yuan & Bentler, 2000), or when categorical estimation with WLSMV is used.

Continuous Nonnormal Example

The scaled chi-square difference test (Satorra, 2000; Satorra & Bentler, 2001; 2010) weights the two scaled chi-square values for any nested model test, such as the comparison of a one-factor and two-factor CFA or a model with an equal constraint imposed vs. one without, when estimation is for continuous and nonnormal data (MLM for no missing values or MLR for missing values in Mplus and lavaan).

To Illustrate, I used the results from three-factor model illustrated in the handout "Examples of Estimates with Nonnormal Data with Missing Values" from the Mplus output as the less restricted model. The results from that model were $\chi^2_{SR}(51) = 106.973$ with an *scf* value of 1.4504.

The second step is to test the more restricted model. I'll use a two-factor model.

Mplus

The Mplus specification is all the same, except that I now specify two factors.

```
analysis: type=general; estimator=mlr;
  !MLR is used to request Satorra & Bentler (1988; 1994)
  !robust standard errors and scaled chi-square with missing data;
model: !two factor, more constrained model;
    cruel by neg6-neg35 neg11-neg14;
    demands by neg16-neg20;
!original three factor, less constrained model;
    ! hostile by neg6-neg35;
    ! badadv by neg11-neg14;
    ! demands by neg16-neg20;
```

Results (excerpt)

Chi-Square Test of Model Fit

Value	189.340*
Degrees of Freedom	53
P-Value	0.0000
Scaling Correction Factor	1.4664
for MLR	

The next step is to conduct the difference test using the proper approach for the scaled chi-square values described by Bryant and Satorra (2013; see Bryant & Satorra, 2012). The following Excel spread-sheet can be downloaded from http://web.pdx.edu/~newsomj/semclass/sbcorrection.xls to conduct the

weighted difference test.¹ Model 0 is the more constrained (two-factor) model and Model 1 is the less constrained (three-factor) model. "Correction" is the scaling correction factor, scf.

The fit of the three-factor model from the example in the earlier nonnormal missing example handout was $\chi^2_{VR}(51) = 106.97$. The fit of the two-factor model, shown above was $\chi^2_{VR}(53) = 189.34$.

				Chi-square Difference Test for the Satorra-Bentler or Yuan-Bentler Scaled Chi-square											
	MODEL	0					MODEL 1								
SB/YB χ2	2 df		correctior	χ2		SB/YB χ2	df	correctior	χ2	χ2 diff	c-hat-d	T-bar-d	df diff	p-value	
189.34	4 (53	1.4664	277.6482		106.973	51	1.4504	155.1536	122.4945	1.8744	65.35133		2	0.000

The difference in the two scaled chi-square values, $\Delta \chi^2_{SB}(2) = 65.35$, p < .001, which appears under the

T-bar-d column. If magnitude of effect is desired, the Cohen's w and ΔNCI would be added using the "Spreadsheet for Computing w and Δ NCI" provided on the website and illustrated in the handout "Two-Factor CFA Example in Mplus".

lavaan

Here is the code for the two-factor, more constrained, model in avaan.

```
> model = '
            cruel =~ neg6 + neg26 + neg30 + neg35 + neg11 + neg12 + neg13 + neg14
+
               demands =~ neg16 + neg17 + neg19 + neg20
+
  .
+
> #Yuan-Bentler robust estimates for nonnormal missing data
> fit = sem(model, data = missdat, missing = "fiml", estimator="mlr")
> summary(fit,fit.measures=TRUE, rsquare=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
lavaan 0.6-18 ended normally after 42 iterations
  Estimator
                                                                    ML
  Optimization method
                                                               NLMINB
  Number of model parameters
                                                                    37
                                                                   275
  Number of observations
  Number of missing patterns
Model Test User Model:
                                                            Standard
                                                                               Scaled
                                                              277.652
                                                                             189.340
  Test Statistic
                                                                    53
  Degrees of freedom
                                                                                    53
```

For the MLM with nonmissing data only, the RlavTestLRT function can be used to conducted the scaled chi-square test. Note that there is a special method="satorra.bentler.2010" statement that obtains the correction to the Satorra-Bentler scaled chi-square that avoids negative values (Satorra & Bentler, 2010; automatically implemented in Mplus). The scaled chi-square value is close to the Mplus result but not equal. The fit model is the original model the fit2 model is the more constrained model.

0.000

0.000

1.466

> #compute the correct weighted chi-square test for mlm > #add method = "satorra.bentler.2010", in the parentheses for correction of any negative values > lavTestLRT(fit,fit2, method = "satorra.bentler.2010")

Categorical Example

P-value (Chi-square)

Scaling correction factor

For models with categorical variables using the WLSMV estimator, a different adjust is needed. A second-order test has been proposed by Asparouhov & Muthén (2010) and is implemented in Mplus using

¹ The original sheet from Byrant can be found here http://web.pdx.edu/~newsomj/semclass/BryantSatorraScaledDifferenceTestsForLIS-REL8LISREL9EQSandMplus.xls, and was originally posted by Satorra (but no longer available) at http://www.econ.upf.edu/~satorra/dades/BryantSatorraScaledDifferenceTestsForLISREL8LISREL9EQSandMplus.xls

the DIFFTEST procedure.² This test is not the same as the Satorra-Bentler test described above, but instead uses an estimated degrees of freedom in order to better approximate the chi-square distribution's mean and variance under these conditions. The file name deriv.dat used below is arbitrary (but has to match in the two steps) and is stored in the same folder as the input file by default.

Step 1

```
INPUT INSTRUCTIONS
  title: CESD using categorical indicators (4 ordinal values);
  data: file=c:\jason\mplus\negex\wave1\pncesdw1.dat;
              format=35f1.0;
          listwise=on:
  variable: names = w1adv1 w1adv2 w1adv3 w1hlp1 w1hlp2
                     wlhlp3 wlvst1 wlvst2 wlvst3 wlemo1
                    wlemo2 wlemo3 wlunw1 wlunw2 wlunw3
                    wldwnl wldwn2 wldwn3 wlout1 wlout2
                     wlout3 wlfai1 wlfai2 wlfai3 wldboth
                     wldblues wldmind wlddep wldefrt wldsleep
                     wldhappy wldlone wldnogo wldsad wldenjoy;
            usevariable= w1dboth w1dblues w1dmind w1ddep w1defrt
               wldsleep wldhappy wldsad wldenjoy;
            categorical=w1dboth w1dblues w1dmind w1ddep w1defrt
                     wldsleep wldhappy wldsad wldenjoy;
  analysis: type=general; estimator=wlsmv; parameterization=theta;
      !WLSMV is the default and estimator= is not needed here;
      !parameterization=theta changes the default delta parameterization to theta;
      !WLSMV gives probit estimates;
  model: negaff by w1dboth w1dblues w1ddep w1dsad;
         somatic by wldmind wldefrt wldsleep;
         positive by wldhappy wldenjoy;
  output: stdyx;
  savedata: difftest = deriv.dat;
  !this is the model and first step in the chi-square difference test
  !savedata command with difftest statement saves results from H1 (less restricted model);
INPUT READING TERMINATED NORMALLY
CESD using categorical indicators (4 ordinal values);
SUMMARY OF ANALYSTS
Number of groups
                                                                 1
Number of observations
                                                               720
                                                                 9
Number of dependent variables
Number of independent variables
                                                                 0
Number of continuous latent variables
                                                                 3
Observed dependent variables
  Binary and ordered categorical (ordinal)
  W1DBOTH
             W1DBLUES W1DMIND
                                     W1DDEP
                                                W1DEFRT
                                                              W1DSLEEP
  W1DHAPPY
              W1DSAD
                          W1DENJOY
Continuous latent variables
  NEGAFE
              SOMATIC
                          POSITIVE
```

² The second-order test replaces a first-order Satterthwaite test (Muthén, du Toit, & Spisic, 1997) originally implemented before Version 6 of Mplus. For implementation details in Mplus, see https://www.statmodel.com/chidiff.shtml.

Newsom Psy 523/623 Structural Equation Modeling, Spring 2025

	qualitien modeling, opining 2020					
Estimator Maximum number of i Convergence criteri Maximum number of s Parameterization Link			WLSMV 1000 0.500D-04 20 THETA PROBIT			
MODEL FIT INFORMATI	ON					
Number of Free Para	meters	39				
Chi-Square Test of	Model Fit					
Value Degrees o P-Value	f Freedom	39.254* 24 0.0257				
Step 2						
INPUT INSTRUCTIONS						
title: CESD usin	g categorical indicators	s (4 ordinal va	lues);			
-	son\mplus\negex\wave1\pn hat=35f1.0; fon;	cesdwl.dat;				
variable: names	<pre>= wladv1 wladv2 wladv3 w wlhlp3 wlvst1 wlvst2 w wlemo2 wlemo3 wlunw1 w wldwn1 wldwn2 wldwn3 w wlout3 wlfai1 wlfai2 w wldblues wldmind wldde wldhappy wldlone wldno</pre>	vlvst3 wlemol vlunw2 wlunw3 vlout1 wlout2 vlfai3 wldboth ep wldefrt wlds	-			
usevariable= wldboth wldblues wldmind wlddep wldefrt wldsleep wldhappy wldsad wldenjoy;						
categorical=w1dboth w1dblues w1dmind w1ddep w1defrt w1dsleep w1dhappy w1dsad w1denjoy;						
<pre>analysis: type=general; estimator=wlsmv; parameterization=theta; !WLSMV is the default and estimator= is not needed here; !parameterization=theta changes the default delta parameterization to theta; !WLSMV gives probit estimates; difftest = deriv.dat; !the above line is the second model and step that requests the comparison of !this more restricted model to the prior less restricted model !(need to comment out or delete prior savedata command);</pre>						
=	dboth wldblues wlddep wl lefrt wldsleep wldhappy					
<pre>!negaff by wldboth wldblues wlddep wldsad; ! somatic by wldmind wldefrt wldsleep; ! positive by wldhappy wldenjoy;</pre>						

output: stdyx;

MODEL FIT INFORMATION

Number of Free Parameters 36 Chi-Square Test of Model Fit Value 301.292* Degrees of Freedom 27

		501.252
of	Freedom	27
		0.0000
	of	of Freedom

Chi-Square Test for Difference Testing

Value		148.080
Degrees o	of Freedom	3
P-Value		0.0000

* The chi-square value for MLM, MLMV, MLR, ULSMV, WLSM and WLSMV cannot be used for chi-square difference testing in the regular way. MLM, MLR and WLSM chi-square difference testing is described on the Mplus website. MLMV, WLSMV, and ULSMV difference testing is done using the DIFFTEST option.

R

There is currently no procedure in lavaan to conduct the same test with the second-order adjustments used in DIFFTEST in Mplus, nor an equivalent procedure that seems to be available in any R package at the moment. See Kite, Johnson, and Xing which appear to be developing a function for the MplusAuto-mation package, however, https://pj.freefaculty.org/guides/crmda_guides/44.difftest/44.difftest/44.difftest/44.difftest/20crmda_difftest%20function%20imports%20the,As-parouhov%20and%20Muth%C3%A9n%2C%202010.

References

Aparouhov, T., & Muthén, B. (2010). Simple second-order chi-square correction (Mplus webnote No. 21). Downloaded from https://www.statmodel.com/download/WLSMV_new_chi21.pdf.

Bryant, F. B., & Satorra, A. (2012). Principles and practice of scaled difference chi-square testing. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 19, 372-398.

Bryant, F. B., & Satorra, A. (2012). Principles and practice of scaled difference chi-square testing. Psychometrika, 66, 507–514.

Muthén, B., du Toit, S. H. C., & Spisic, D. (1997). Robust inference using weighted least squares and quadratic estimating equations in latent variable modeling with categorical and continuous outcomes. Retrieved from https://www.statmodel.com/download/Article_075.pdf

Satorra, A. (2000). Scaled and adjusted restricted tests in multisample analysis of moment structures. In D. D. H. Heijmails, D. S. G. Pollock, & A. Satorra (Eds.), *Innovations in multivariate statistical analysis: A festschrift for Heinz Neudecker* (pp. 233–247). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic.

Satorra, A., & Bentler, P.M. (1988). Scaling corrections for chi-square statistics in covariance structure analysis. 1988 Proceedings of the Business and Economic Statistics Section of the American Statistical Association, 308-313.

Satorra, A., & Bentler, P.M. (1994). Corrections to test statistics and standard errors in covariance structure analysis. In A. von Eye and C.C. Clogg (eds.), *Latent Variable Analysis: Applications to Developmental Research* (pp. 399-419). Newbury Park: Sage.

Satorra, A., & Bentler, P. M. (2001). A scaled difference chi-square test statistic for moment structure analysis. *Psychometrika*, 66, 507–514.
Yuan, K. H., & Bentler, P. M. (2000). 5. Three likelihood-based methods for mean and covariance structure analysis with nonnormal missing data. *Sociological methodology*, 30(1), 165-200.