

One-Factor CFA Example

Mplus

Mplus VERSION 8.9
MUTHEN & MUTHEN

INPUT INSTRUCTIONS

```
title: Self-esteem CFA Example--One Factor;

data: file=sel.dat; format=free;
!note that this data set contains no missing values;

variable: names = rnotworr rnumqal ramfailr ramable rnotprdr rfelpos;
          usevariables = rnotworr rnumqal ramfailr ramable rnotprdr rfelpos;

analysis: type=general; estimator=ml;
! For now, I am using the above analysis commands to estimate using ML, non-robust,
! with no missing data estimation, but this will change later;

model:
se by rnotworr rnumqal ramfailr ramable rnotprdr rfelpos;

!by default, Mplus sets the first loading to 1 for identification;

!factor variance identification approach;
!se by rnotworr*1 rnumqal-rfelpos;
!se@1;
! rnotprdr with rnumqal;

!setting the second indicator as referent:
!se by rnotworr* rnumqal@1 ramfailr ramable rnotprdr rfelpos;

!alternative specification of factor variance identification:
!se by rnotworr* rnumqal ramfailr ramable rnotprdr rfelpos;
!se@1;

!the effects coding approach to factor variance identification (Little et al 2008)
! se by rnotworr* (ly1)
! rnumqal (ly2)
! ramfailr (ly3)
! ramable (ly4)
! rnotprdr (ly5)
! rfelpos (ly6);

!model constraint:
! ly1 = 6 - ly2 - ly3 -ly4 - ly5 - ly6;

output: stdyx;
```

INPUT READING TERMINATED NORMALLY

Self-esteem CFA Example--One Factor;

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| Number of groups | 1 |
| Number of observations | 118 |
| Number of dependent variables | 6 |
| Number of independent variables | 0 |
| Number of continuous latent variables | 1 |

Observed dependent variables

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Continuous | | | | | |
| RNOTWORR | RNUMQAL | RAMFAILR | RAMABLE | RNOTPRDR | RFELPOS |

Continuous latent variables
 SE

Estimator ML
 Information matrix OBSERVED
 Maximum number of iterations 1000
 Convergence criterion 0.500D-04
 Maximum number of steepest descent iterations 20

Input data file(s)
 sel.dat

Input data format FREE

UNIVARIATE SAMPLE STATISTICS

UNIVARIATE HIGHER-ORDER MOMENT DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

| Variable/ Sample Size | Mean/ Variance | Skewness/ Kurtosis | Minimum/ Maximum | % with Min/Max | 20%/60% | Percentiles 40%/80% | Median |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|
| RNOTWRR 118.000 | 3.653 1.023 | -0.935 -0.029 | 1.000 5.000 | 2.54% 13.56% | 2.000 4.000 | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 |
| RNUMQAL 118.000 | 4.093 0.322 | -1.658 8.974 | 1.000 5.000 | 0.85% 16.95% | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 |
| RAMFAILR 118.000 | 4.008 0.517 | -1.107 2.032 | 2.000 5.000 | 6.78% 19.49% | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 |
| RAMABLE 118.000 | 3.475 0.876 | -0.887 -0.184 | 1.000 5.000 | 2.54% 5.08% | 2.000 4.000 | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 |
| RNOTPRDR 118.000 | 3.992 0.483 | -1.352 2.928 | 2.000 5.000 | 7.63% 16.10% | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 |
| RFELPOS 118.000 | 3.678 0.540 | -0.949 0.535 | 2.000 5.000 | 10.17% 5.93% | 3.000 4.000 | 4.000 4.000 | 4.000 |

THE MODEL ESTIMATION TERMINATED NORMALLY

MODEL FIT INFORMATION

Number of Free Parameters 18

Loglikelihood

H0 Value -757.201
 H1 Value -747.474

Information Criteria

Akaike (AIC) 1550.402
 Bayesian (BIC) 1600.274
 Sample-Size Adjusted BIC 1543.371
 (n* = (n + 2) / 24)

Chi-Square Test of Model Fit

Value 19.454
 Degrees of Freedom 9
 P-Value 0.0216

RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error Of Approximation)

Estimate 0.099
 90 Percent C.I. 0.036 0.160
 Probability RMSEA <= .05 0.086

CFI/TLI

CFI 0.910
 TLI 0.850

Chi-Square Test of Model Fit for the Baseline Model

| | |
|--------------------|---------|
| Value | 131.265 |
| Degrees of Freedom | 15 |
| P-Value | 0.0000 |

SRMR (Standardized Root Mean Square Residual)

| | |
|-------|-------|
| Value | 0.054 |
|-------|-------|

MODEL RESULTS

| | Estimate | S.E. | Est./S.E. | Two-Tailed P-Value |
|--------------------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|
| SE BY | | | | |
| RNOTWORR | 1.000 | 0.000 | 999.000 | 999.000 |
| RNUMQAL | 0.637 | 0.175 | 3.633 | 0.000 |
| RAMFAILR | 0.969 | 0.213 | 4.541 | 0.000 |
| RAMABLE | 0.265 | 0.203 | 1.301 | 0.193 |
| RNOTPRDR | 1.262 | 0.314 | 4.019 | 0.000 |
| RFELPOS | 0.478 | 0.174 | 2.740 | 0.006 |
| Intercepts | | | | |
| RNOTWORR | 3.653 | 0.093 | 39.222 | 0.000 |
| RNUMQAL | 4.093 | 0.052 | 78.379 | 0.000 |
| RAMFAILR | 4.008 | 0.066 | 60.566 | 0.000 |
| RAMABLE | 3.475 | 0.086 | 40.316 | 0.000 |
| RNOTPRDR | 3.992 | 0.064 | 62.390 | 0.000 |
| RFELPOS | 3.678 | 0.068 | 54.351 | 0.000 |
| Variances | | | | |
| SE | 0.231 | 0.099 | 2.340 | 0.019 |
| Residual Variances | | | | |
| RNOTWORR | 0.793 | 0.115 | 6.881 | 0.000 |
| RNUMQAL | 0.228 | 0.033 | 6.932 | 0.000 |
| RAMFAILR | 0.300 | 0.058 | 5.173 | 0.000 |
| RAMABLE | 0.860 | 0.113 | 7.645 | 0.000 |
| RNOTPRDR | 0.116 | 0.061 | 1.912 | 0.056 |
| RFELPOS | 0.488 | 0.065 | 7.468 | 0.000 |

QUALITY OF NUMERICAL RESULTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Condition Number for the Information Matrix (ratio of smallest to largest eigenvalue) | 0.237E-02 |
|--|-----------|

STANDARDIZED MODEL RESULTS

STDYX Standardization

| | Estimate | S.E. | Est./S.E. | Two-Tailed P-Value |
|------------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|
| SE BY | | | | |
| RNOTWORR | 0.475 | 0.089 | 5.355 | 0.000 |
| RNUMQAL | 0.539 | 0.077 | 7.000 | 0.000 |
| RAMFAILR | 0.647 | 0.083 | 7.758 | 0.000 |
| RAMABLE | 0.136 | 0.101 | 1.342 | 0.180 |
| RNOTPRDR | 0.872 | 0.073 | 11.933 | 0.000 |
| RFELPOS | 0.312 | 0.095 | 3.298 | 0.001 |
| Intercepts | | | | |
| RNOTWORR | 3.611 | 0.252 | 14.304 | 0.000 |
| RNUMQAL | 7.215 | 0.479 | 15.075 | 0.000 |
| RAMFAILR | 5.576 | 0.374 | 14.891 | 0.000 |
| RAMABLE | 3.711 | 0.259 | 14.355 | 0.000 |
| RNOTPRDR | 5.743 | 0.385 | 14.917 | 0.000 |
| RFELPOS | 5.003 | 0.338 | 14.783 | 0.000 |
| Variances | | | | |
| SE | 1.000 | 0.000 | 999.000 | 999.000 |

| Residual Variances | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| RNOTWORR | 0.775 | 0.084 | 9.201 | 0.000 |
| RNUMQAL | 0.709 | 0.083 | 8.539 | 0.000 |
| RAMFAILR | 0.581 | 0.108 | 5.373 | 0.000 |
| RAMABLE | 0.982 | 0.027 | 35.702 | 0.000 |
| RNOTPRDR | 0.240 | 0.127 | 1.882 | 0.060 |
| RFELPOS | 0.903 | 0.059 | 15.285 | 0.000 |

R-SQUARE

| Observed Variable | Estimate | S.E. | Est./S.E. | Two-Tailed P-Value |
|-------------------|----------|-------|-----------|--------------------|
| RNOTWORR | 0.225 | 0.084 | 2.678 | 0.007 |
| RNUMQAL | 0.291 | 0.083 | 3.500 | 0.000 |
| RAMFAILR | 0.419 | 0.108 | 3.879 | 0.000 |
| RAMABLE | 0.018 | 0.027 | 0.671 | 0.502 |
| RNOTPRDR | 0.760 | 0.127 | 5.966 | 0.000 |
| RFELPOS | 0.097 | 0.059 | 1.649 | 0.099 |

lavaan

```
> rm(model)
> remove(d)
>
>
>
> ## One-factor CFA example, Newsom's SEM Class, self-esteem
>
> #there is an error in the variable names list and there should be more:
> #rnotworr ' ' rnumqal ' ' ramfailr ' ' ramable ' ' rnotprdr ' '
> #rfelpos ' ' rfelsat ' ' rwishmrr ' ' ruselesr ' ' rspnogdr '
> d <- read.table(file=paste('c:/jason/mplus/semclass/se1.dat',sep="/"))
> names(d) = c("rnotworr","rnumqal","ramfailr","ramable","rnotprdr","rfelpos")
> #Note, there are no missing values, otherwise might need to identify, e.g.,
> ##d[d == -99] <- NA
>
> library(lavaan)
> model = '
+       se =~ rnotworr + rnumqal + ramfailr + ramable + rnotprdr + rfelpos
+ '
> # For now, I use the following analysis commands to estimate using ML, non-robust,
> # with no missing data estimation
> fit = sem(model, data = d)
> summary(fit,fit.measures=TRUE, rsquare=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
lavaan 0.6-18 ended normally after 30 iterations
```

| | |
|--|----------|
| Estimator | ML |
| Optimization method | NLMINB |
| Number of model parameters | 12 |
| Number of observations | 118 |
| Model Test User Model: | |
| Test statistic | 19.454 |
| Degrees of freedom | 9 |
| P-value (Chi-square) | 0.022 |
| Model Test Baseline Model: | |
| Test statistic | 131.265 |
| Degrees of freedom | 15 |
| P-value | 0.000 |
| User Model versus Baseline Model: | |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) | 0.910 |
| Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) | 0.850 |
| Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: | |
| Loglikelihood user model (H0) | -757.201 |
| Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) | -747.474 |
| Akaike (AIC) | 1538.402 |
| Bayesian (BIC) | 1571.650 |
| Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (SABIC) | 1533.715 |
| Root Mean Square Error of Approximation: | |

| | |
|--|-------|
| RMSEA | 0.099 |
| 90 Percent confidence interval - lower | 0.036 |
| 90 Percent confidence interval - upper | 0.160 |
| P-value H ₀ : RMSEA ≤ 0.050 | 0.086 |
| P-value H ₀ : RMSEA ≥ 0.080 | 0.737 |

Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:

| | |
|------|-------|
| SRMR | 0.061 |
|------|-------|

Parameter Estimates:

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Standard errors Information Information saturated (h1) model | Standard Expected Structured |
|--|------------------------------------|

Latent Variables:

| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| se =~ | | | | | | |
| rnotworr | 1.000 | | | | 0.480 | 0.475 |
| rnumgal | 0.637 | 0.159 | 4.012 | 0.000 | 0.306 | 0.539 |
| ramfailr | 0.969 | 0.220 | 4.405 | 0.000 | 0.466 | 0.647 |
| ramable | 0.265 | 0.202 | 1.309 | 0.190 | 0.127 | 0.136 |
| rnotprdr | 1.262 | 0.279 | 4.521 | 0.000 | 0.606 | 0.872 |
| rfelpos | 0.478 | 0.174 | 2.751 | 0.006 | 0.229 | 0.312 |

Variances:

| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
|-----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| .rnotworr | 0.793 | 0.111 | 7.134 | 0.000 | 0.793 | 0.775 |
| .rnumgal | 0.228 | 0.033 | 6.866 | 0.000 | 0.228 | 0.709 |
| .ramfailr | 0.300 | 0.050 | 6.029 | 0.000 | 0.300 | 0.581 |
| .ramable | 0.860 | 0.112 | 7.650 | 0.000 | 0.860 | 0.982 |
| .rnotprdr | 0.116 | 0.051 | 2.268 | 0.023 | 0.116 | 0.240 |
| .rfelpos | 0.488 | 0.065 | 7.496 | 0.000 | 0.488 | 0.903 |
| se | 0.231 | 0.094 | 2.460 | 0.014 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

R-Square:

| | Estimate |
|----------|----------|
| rnotworr | 0.225 |
| rnumgal | 0.291 |
| ramfailr | 0.419 |
| ramable | 0.018 |
| rnotprdr | 0.760 |
| rfelpos | 0.097 |

Write-up

I will provide a write-up example with the next confirmatory factor analysis example.