SPSS

Growth Curve Example with Time Invariant Covariate

(Note that the variable CAGE1 is age at baseline centered around the mean value of 70.75 years).

Syntax

```
*genlinmixed requires string id variable.
STRING id (A4).
COMPUTE id = STRING(rid, F4.0).
```

*time was a nominal variable, convert it to scale. variable level time (scale).

```
GENLINMIXED
/DATA_STRUCTURE_SUBJECTS=id
/FIELDS_TARGET=_depress
/TARGET_OPTIONS_DISTRIBUTION=NORMAL_LINK=IDENTITY
/BUILD_OPTIONS_DF_METHOD=SATTERTHWAITE_COVB=ROBUST
/FIXED_EFFECTS=_time_cage1_time*cage1_USE_INTERCEPT=TRUE
/RANDOM_EFFECTS=time_USE_INTERCEPT=TRUE_SUBJECTS=id
COVARIANCE_TYPE=UNSTRUCTURED.
```

*for nonrobust standard errors, the MIXED procedure specification would look like this. *MIXED depress WITH time cage1 /METHOD = REML /PRINT = SOLUTION TESTCOV HISTORY /FIXED = time cage1 time*cage1 | SSTYPE(3) /RANDOM = INTERCEPT time | SUBJECT(rid) COVTYPE(UN) (CRITERIA-DEWETHOD (KENWARDEDCCER)

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Model Summary

Target	depress Summed CESD score			
Probability Distribution		Normal		
Link Function		Identity		
Information Criterion	Akaike Corrected	4919.874		
	Bayesian	4938.010		
Information criteria are based on the 2 log likelihood (4011 817)				

Information criteria are based on the -2 log likelihood (4911.817) and are used to compare models. Models with smaller information criterion values fit better.

Coefficients of Determination

Pseudo-R Square	Marginal	.028
Measures	Conditional	.599

Fixed Coefficients ^a

					95% Confidence Interval		
Model Term	Coefficient	Std. Error	t	Sig.	Lower	Upper	
Intercept	13.010	.6076	21.412	<.001	11.813	14.207	
time	-1.911	.2883	-6.629	<.001	-2.479	-1.343	
cage1	053	.0936	565	.573	237	.132	
time*cage1	.006	.0464	.127	.899	086	.097	

Probability distribution: Normal

Link function: Identity

a. Target: Summed CESD score

Covariance Parameters

Random Effect

					95% Confidence Interval	
Random Effect Covariance	Estimate	Std. Error	Z	Sig.	Lower	Upper
UN (1,1)	57.333	8.547	6.708	<.001	42.807	76.787
UN (2,1)	-4.624	3.505	-1.319	.187	-11.494	2.245
UN (2,2)	1.738	2.460	.707	.480	.109	27.837

Covariance Structure: Unstructured

Subject Specification: id

Sample Write-up

A growth curve model was tested using age as a time-invariant predictor. Age was centered around the baseline sample mean to improve interpretation of the intercept, and slopes for time were allowed to vary across individuals. Satterthwaite degrees of freedom and robust standard errors were used. The cross-level interaction between age and time was included in the model. The intercept value was 13.01, which was the average on the depression measure at baseline for participants of average age. Baseline values on depression for participants of the average age varied significantly across individuals, $\tau_0^2 = 57.33$, z = 6.708, p < .001. The fixed effect for time also was significant, $\gamma_{10} = -1.91$, p < .001, and indicated that depression scores declined by

nearly two points on average each year. Growth curves did not vary significantly across individuals, however, $\tau_1^2 = 1.74$, z = .707, p = .24. There was a slight tendency for depression at baseline to be associated with

decline in depression over time, but the covariance between intercept and slope was nonsignificant, $\tau_{01} = -4.62$, z = -1.319, p = .187. Age did not significantly predict depression scores, $\gamma_{01} = -.053$, p = .573, and there was no significant Age × Time interaction, $\gamma_{11} = .006$, p = .899. (Had the interaction been significant, a plot and simple slopes would be added here to explore the interaction.

R

```
library(lme4)
>
  #age as a time-invariant covariate
  #center age first
> mydata$cage1 <- mydata$age1 - mean(mydata$age1)</pre>
  #double check centering was done correctly, mean of new variable should be zero
> #SummaryStats(mydata)
> model <- lmer(depress ~ time + cage1 + + time*cage1 + (time|rid), data = mydata, REML=TRUE)</pre>
  summary(model)
Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method ['lmerModLmerTest']
Formula: depress ~ time + cage1 + +time * cage1 + (time | rid)
   Data: mydata
REML criterion at convergence: 4911.8
Scaled residuals:
Min 10 Median 30 Max
-3.0144 -0.4805 -0.1322 0.3465 5.0172
Random effects:
 Groups
                          Variance Std.Dev. Corr
           Name
            (Intercept) 57.332
time 1.739
 rid
                                     7.572
                                                -0.46
            time
                          35.763
 Residual
                                     5.980
Number of obs: 702, groups: rid, 234
Fixed effects:
                Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|)
3.010084 0.610221 231.999900 21.320 < 0.00000000000002 ***
                                                  df t value
(Intercept)
               13.010084
                                                                       0.00000000276 ***
                              0.289568 231.998584 -6.600
time
               -1.911226
               -0.052865
                              0.096394 231.999895
                                                       -0.548
                                                                                 0.584
cage1
time:cage1
                0.005896
                              0.045742 231.998579
                                                        0.129
                                                                                 0.898
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
             (Intr) time
                              cage1
time
             -0.544
              0.000 0.000
cage1
              0.000 0.000 -0.544
time:cage1
 VarCorr(model) #provides variances in variance form
                          Std.Dev. Corr
 Groups
            Name
            (Intercept) 7.5718
 rid
                          1.3188
                                     -0.463
            time
 Residual
                          5.9802
> rand(model)
                #LR test compared to empty using mixture distribution
ANOVA-like table for random-effects: Single term deletions
Model:
                        e1 + (time | rig) + Chic.co.
npar logLik AIC LRT Df Pr(>Chisq)
8 -2455.9 4927.8
6 -2457.0 4925.9 2.101 2 0.3498
offile likelihood intervals for better random effects tests
depress ~ time + cage1 + (time | rid) + time:cage1
<none>
time in (time | rid) 6 -2457.0 492
> confint(model) #profile likelihood
Computing profile confidence intervals ...
2.5 % 97.5 %
.sig01
               6.45034252
                             8.66090097
              -1.00000000
                             1.00000000
.sig02
               0.0000000 2.55124051
.sig03
```

Newsom Psy 526/626 Multilevel Regression, Spring 2024

	-2.478667 -0.241759	65 14.20 24 -1.34 18 0.13	378575 602902				
<pre>> library(ML > robust_mi></pre>		#get ro	bust SE @	estimate	es		
Standard er Degrees of t	ror type = freedom =	CR2 Satterth	waite				
(Intercept) time cage1 time:cage1	-1.911 -0.053	0.610 0.290	0.610 0.290	21.322 -6.600 -0.561	232 232 110	Pr(>t <0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	2 *** 2 *** 8
Signif. code	es: 0'**	*' 0.001	. '**' 0.()1'*'(0.05	'.' 0.1 ' ' 1	

For write-ups using R, report the profile likelihood confidence intervals for determination of significance of the random effects instead of p-values and significance tests, because they should provide a more accurate determination of significance than halving p-values. I also recommend reporting robust standard errors for the fixed effects unless the number of cases is small (e.g., < 50-100).

HLM

Summary of the model specified

Level-1 Model

 $DEPRESS_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j} * (TIME_{ij}) + r_{ij}$

Level-2 Model

 $\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01} * (CAGEI_j) + u_{0j}$ $\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11} * (CAGEI_j) + u_{1j}$

Mixed Model

 $\begin{array}{l} DEPRESS_{ij} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01} * CAGEI_{j} \\ + \gamma_{10} * TIME_{ij} + \gamma_{11} * CAGEI_{j} * TIME_{ij} \\ + u_{0j} + u_{Ij} * TIME_{ij} + r_{ij} \end{array}$

Final Results - Iteration 2

Iterations stopped due to small change in likelihood function $\sigma^2=35.76270$

τ

INTRCPT1, β_0 57.33260 -4.62430 TIME, β_1 -4.62430 1.73956

τ (as correlations) INTRCPT1, $β_0$ 1.000 -0.463 TIME, $β_1$ -0.463 1.000

Random level-1 coefficient	Reliability estimate	
INTRCPT1, β_0	0.658	
TIME, β_1	0.089	
The value of the log-likelihoo	d function at iteration $2 =$	-2.455908E+003

The value of the log incentional function at iteration 2	2.100700

Final estimation of fixed effects:

Fixed Effect	Coefficient	Standard error	<i>t</i> -ratio	Approx. <i>d.f.</i>	<i>p</i> -value
For INTRCPT1, β_0					
INTRCPT2, you	13.010084	0.610222	21.320	232	< 0.001
CAGE1, γ_{01}	-0.052865	0.096394	-0.548	232	0.584
For TIME slope, β_l					
INTRCPT2, γ_{10}	-1.911226	0.289569	-6.600	232	< 0.001
CAGE1, γ_{11}	0.005896	0.045742	0.129	232	0.898

Final estimation of fixed effects (with robust standard errors)

(with robust stand	ard errors)				
Fixed Effect	Coefficient	Standard error	<i>t</i> -ratio	Approx. <i>d.f.</i>	<i>p</i> -value
For INTRCPT1, β_0					
INTRCPT2, γ_{00}	13.010084	0.607609	21.412	232	< 0.001
CAGE1, γ_{01}	-0.052865	0.093586	-0.565	232	0.573
For TIME slope, β_I					
INTRCPT2, γ_{10}	-1.911226	0.288329	-6.629	232	< 0.001
CAGE1, γ_{II}	0.005896	0.046426	0.127	232	0.899

Final estimation of variance components

Random Effect	Standard Deviation	Variance Component	d.f.	χ^2	<i>p</i> -value
INTRCPT1, u_0	7.57183	57.33260	232	678.31403	< 0.001
TIME slope, u_1	1.31892	1.73956	232	254.56979	0.148
level-1, r	5.98019	35.76270			

Statistics for current covariance components model

Deviance = 4911.816705

Number of estimated parameters = 4