Negative Refraction Makes a Perfect Lens
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With a conventional lens sharpness of the image is always limited by the wavelength of light. An unconventional alternative to a lens, a slab of negative refractive index material, has the power to focus all Fourier components of a 2D image, even those that do not propagate in a radiative manner. Such “superlenses” can be realized in the microwave band with current technology. Our simulations show that a version of the lens operating at the frequency of visible light can be realized in the form of a thin slab of silver. This optical version resolves objects only a few nanometers across.
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Optical lenses have for centuries been one of scientists’ prime tools. Their operation is well understood on the basis of classical optics: curved surfaces focus light by virtue of the refractive index contrast. Equally their limitations are dictated by wave optics: no lens can focus light onto an area smaller than a square wavelength. What is there of the refractive index contrast. Equally their limitations miss: for larger values of the transverse wave vector, the amplitude. They are effectively removed from the image which comes to a second focus when

$$\Delta = \frac{2\pi}{k_{\text{max}}} = \frac{2\pi c}{\omega} = \lambda,$$

and this is true however perfect the lens and however large the aperture.

There is an unconventional alternative to a lens. Material with negative refractive index will focus light even when in the form of a parallel-sided slab of material. In Fig. 1, I sketch the focusing action of such a slab, assuming that the refractive index

$$n = -1.$$

A moment’s thought will show that the figure obeys Snell’s laws of refraction at the surface as light inside the medium makes a negative angle with the surface normal. The other characteristic of the system is the double focusing effect revealed by a simple ray diagram. Light transmitted through a slab of thickness $d_2$ located a distance $d_1$ from the source comes to a second focus when

$$z = d_2 - d_1.$$

The underlying secret of this medium is that both the dielectric function, $\varepsilon$, and the magnetic permeability, $\mu$, happen to be negative. In that instance we have chosen
\( \varepsilon = -1, \quad \mu = -1. \) \( (8) \)

At first sight this simply implies that the refractive index is that of vacuum,

\[ n = \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}, \]

but further consideration will reveal that when both \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) are negative we must choose the negative square root in (9). However, the other relevant quantity, the impedance of the medium,

\[ Z = \sqrt{\frac{\mu \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon \mu_0}}, \]

retains its positive sign so that, when both \( \varepsilon = -1 \) and \( \mu = -1 \), the medium is a perfect match to free space and the interfaces show no reflection. At the far boundary there is again an impedance match and the light is perfectly transmitted into vacuum.

Calculations confirm that all of the energy is perfectly transmitted into the medium but in a strange manner: transport of energy in the \( +z \) direction requires that, in the medium,

\[ k_z' = -\sqrt{\omega^2 c^{-2} - k_x^2 - k_y^2}. \]

Overall the transmission coefficient of the medium is

\[ T = t t' = \exp(\im i k_z' d) = \exp(-\im \sqrt{\omega^2 c^{-2} - k_x^2 - k_y^2} d), \]

where \( d \) is the slab thickness and the negative phase results from the choice of wave vector forced upon us by causality. It is this phase reversal that enables the medium to refocus light by canceling the phase acquired by light as it moves away from its source.

All this was pointed out by Veselago [1] some time ago. The new message in this Letter is that, remarkably, the electric field is that of vacuum, \( E_{\text{vac}} \), the medium is a perfect match to free space and all of the energy is perfectly transmitted into vacuum. The proof is not difficult. Let us assume \( S \)-polarized light in vacuum. The electric field is given by

\[ E_{0S+} = [0, 1, 0] \exp(\im k_z z + \im k_x x - \omega t), \]

where the wave vector,

\[ k_z = \im \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 - \omega^2 c^{-2}}, \quad \omega^2 c^{-2} < k_x^2 + k_y^2, \]

implies exponential decay. At the interface with the medium some of the light is reflected,

\[ E_{0S-} = r[0, 1, 0] \exp(-\im k_z z + \im k_x x - \omega t), \]

and some transmitted into the medium,

\[ E_{1S+} = t[0, 1, 0] \exp(\im k_z' z + \im k_x x - \omega t), \]

where

\[ k_z' = \im \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 - \varepsilon \mu \omega^2 c^{-2}}, \quad \varepsilon \mu \omega^2 c^{-2} < k_x^2 + k_y^2. \]

Causality requires that we choose this form of the wave in the medium: it must decay away exponentially from the interface. By matching wave fields at the interface, we show that

\[ t = \frac{2\mu k_z}{\mu k_z + k_z'}, \quad r = \frac{\mu k_z - k_z'}{\mu k_z + k_z'}. \]

Conversely a wave inside the medium incident on the interface with vacuum experiences transmission and reflection as follows:

\[ t' = \frac{2k_z'}{k_z' + \mu k_z}, \quad r' = \frac{k_z' - \mu k_z}{k_z' + \mu k_z}. \]

To calculate transmission through both surfaces of the slab we must sum the multiple scattering events,

\[ T_S = t t' \exp(\im k_z' d) + t t' r^2 \exp(3 \im k_z' d) + t t' r^{4} \exp(5 \im k_z' d) + \ldots = \frac{t t' \exp(\im k_z' d)}{1 - r^2 \exp(2\im k_z' d)}, \]

By substituting from (19) and (20) and taking the limit,

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to -1} T_S = \lim_{\varepsilon \to -1} t t' \exp(\im k_z' d) \frac{2\mu k_z}{\mu k_z + k_z'} \frac{2k_z'}{k_z' + \mu k_z} \frac{\exp(\im k_z' d)}{1 - \frac{(k_z' - \mu k_z)(k_z' + \mu k_z)}{k_z' + \mu k_z} \exp(2\im k_z' d)} = \exp(-\im k_z' d) = \exp(-\im k_z d). \]
The reflection coefficient is given by
\[
\lim_{\mu \to 1} R_S = \lim_{\mu \to 1} \frac{tt' r e^{2i(k'_d) / d}}{1 - r^2 e^{2i(k'_d) / d}} = 0.
\] (22)

A similar result holds for P-polarized evanescent waves:
\[
\lim_{\mu \to 1} T_P = \lim_{\mu \to 1} \frac{2e k_z - 2k'_j}{e k_z + k'_j + e k_z}
\exp(i k'_d)
\times \frac{1 - (k'_j - e k_z)^2 e^{2i(k'_d) / d}}{1 - (k'_j + e k_z)^2 e^{2i(k'_d) / d}}
= \exp(-ik_z d).
\] (23)

Thus, even though we have meticulously carried through a strictly causal calculation, our final result is that the medium does amplify evanescent waves. Hence we conclude that with this new lens both propagating and evanescent waves contribute to the resolution of the image. Therefore there is no physical obstacle to perfect reconstruction of the image beyond practical limitations of apertures and perfection of the lens surface. This is the principal conclusion of this Letter.

No scheme can be of much interest if the means of realizing it are not available. Fortunately several recent developments make such a lens a practical possibility, at least in some regions of the spectrum. Some time ago it was shown that wire structures with lattice spacings of the order of a few millimeters behave like a plasma with a resonant frequency, \(\omega_p\), in the GHz region [2]. The ideal dielectric response of a plasma is given by
\[
\epsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}
\] (24)
and takes negative values for \(\omega < \omega_p\). More recently we have also shown [3] that a structure containing loops of conducting wire has properties mimicking a magnetic plasma,
\[
\mu = 1 - \frac{\omega_m^2}{\omega^2},
\] (25)
and, although the analogy is less perfect, it has been shown that \(-\text{v}\mu\) has been attained in these structures [4]. Thus by tuning the design parameters it is certainly possible to produce a structure closely approaching the ideal of
\[
\epsilon = -1, \quad \mu = -1,
\] (26)
at least at a single frequency.

At optical frequencies several metals behave like a nearly perfect plasma with a dielectric function modeled by (24): silver, gold, and copper are perhaps the best examples. The magnetic properties of known materials are less obliging. However we can still make some progress even in this case. Consider the electrostatic limit: a system in which all dimensions are smaller than the wavelength of light. In this system we can neglect radiative effects decoupling electrostatic and magnetostatic fields: the electrostatics claim ownership of the P-polarized fields, and the magnetostatics claim the S-polarized fields.

In the electrostatic limit,
\[
\omega \ll c_0 \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}.
\] (27)

It follows from (14) that
\[
\lim_{k_x^2 + k_y^2 \to \infty} k_z = \lim_{k_x^2 + k_y^2 \to \infty} i \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} - \omega^2 c_0^2
= i \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}
\] (28)
and, from (17)
\[
\lim_{k_x^2 + k_y^2 \to \infty} k'_j = \lim_{k_x^2 + k_y^2 \to \infty} i \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} - e \mu \omega^2 c_0^2
= i \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} = k_z.
\] (29)

Hence in this limit we see that, for the P-polarized fields, dependence on \(\mu\) is eliminated and only the dielectric function is relevant. The transmission coefficient of the slab becomes
\[
\lim_{k_x^2 + k_y^2 \to \infty} T_P = \lim_{k_x^2 + k_y^2 \to \infty} \frac{2e k_z}{e k_z + k'_j + e k_z}
\times \frac{\exp(i k'_d)}{1 - (k'_j - e k_z)^2 \exp(2i(k'_d) / d)}
= \frac{4e \exp(i k'_d)}{(e + 1)^2 - (e - 1)^2 \exp(2i k'_d)}
\] (30)
and hence, in this limit, we need only assume
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to -1} \lim_{k_x^2 + k_y^2 \to \infty} T_P = \lim_{\epsilon \to -1} \frac{4e \exp(i k'_d)}{(e + 1)^2 - (e - 1)^2 \exp(2i k'_d)}
= \exp(-ik_z d) = \exp(+\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} d)
\] (31)
the higher order Fourier components of the potential are reduced in amplitude,
\[
V(x, z = 2d) = \sum_{k_x} v_{k_x} \exp(+ik_x x - 2k_x d).
\] (32)

This result is shown in Fig. 2.

We wish to use a slab of silver, thickness \(d\), as a lens to restore the amplitude of the higher order Fourier components and to focus the image. We use the following approximate dielectric function for silver:
This result is also plotted in Fig. 2. Evidently only the finite imaginary part of the dielectric function prevents ideal reconstruction. However, considerable focusing is achieved.

Intense focusing of light by exploiting surface plasmons can also be achieved via a completely different route as Ebbesen et al. [6] and Porto et al. [7] have recently demonstrated.

The quasistatic limit also considerably eases design criteria at microwave frequencies. For example we could make a near field electrostatic lens operating in the GHz band by using a slab of material containing thin gold wires oriented normal to the surface and spaced in a square lattice cell side 5 mm. Perhaps the most interesting possibility for imaging in the GHz band is the magnetostatic limit. A structure comprising a set of metallic rings as described in an earlier paper would give \( \mu = -1 \) at an appropriate frequency, and would focus sources of magnetic fields into sharp images. Since many materials are transparent to magnetic fields, this would make an interesting imaging device for peering inside nonmagnetic objects.

We have given a prescription for bringing light to a perfect focus without the usual constraints imposed by wavelength. This is achieved by recognizing that the recently discovered negative refractive index material restores not only the phase of propagating waves but also the amplitude of evanescent states. For very short distances the electrostatic or magnetostatic limits apply, enabling a practical implementation to be simulated in the form of a slab of silver. This device focuses light tuned to the surface plasma frequency of silver and is limited only by the resistive losses in the metal. We do not doubt that there are many further practical consequences of this concept.
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