

Trade Invoicing in Major Currencies in the 1970s-1990s: Lessons for Renminbi Internationalization

Hiro Ito*
Portland State University

Masahiro Kawai**
University of Tokyo

December 15, 2015

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate how much a major national currency is used for trade invoicing by focusing primarily on the experiences of the U.S. dollar, the Japanese yen, and the Deutsche mark (DM) in the 1970s through the 1990s. We then attempt to draw lessons for China's renminbi (RMB) internationalization. Our data on the shares of the three major currencies in export invoicing show that the dollar has unequivocally been a global invoicing currency, the DM was a major regional currency in Europe, while the yen has never been a global nor regional currency. DM invoicing was driven by European countries' trade ties with Germany. In contrast, the yen was not and is still not widely used for trade invoicing by Asia-Oceania countries, even including Japan itself, despite the region's strong trade ties with Japan. Our regression analysis on the determinants of the major currency share for trade invoicing (also including U.K. pound, the French franc, the Italian lira and the Swiss franc) in the 1970-1998 period suggests that the invoicing share of a major currency tends to be positively affected by the degree of other economies' trade ties with the major currency country and negatively affected by the degree of their financial development or openness. Also, the major currency share for trade invoicing is affected by both the weight of the major currencies in the implicit currency baskets of other economies or these economies' trade shares with major-currency zone countries. Economies belonging to the U.S. dollar zone tend to invoice their trade more in the dollar and less in the DM, while the opposite is observed for economies in the DM zone. The use of yen for trade invoicing is not much affected by its currency weight or the trade share with currency zones. European countries largely belonged to the DM zone, thereby contributing to higher DM use for trade invoicing, whereas Asia-Oceania countries belonged mainly to the US dollar zone, leading to a lower degree of yen use. We also find that major currency countries tend to invoice their trade in their currencies when they have a large presence in international trade and high levels of per capita income, and when their financial markets are more developed and at the same time sufficiently open. Furthermore, major currency countries with high trade shares with U.S. dollar zone countries tend to invoice their exports less in their own currencies. For China, its low level of per capita income and limited financial openness as well as the presence of the U.S. dollar bloc in Asia stand as a big challenge to the nation's ambition to promote the RMB as a major regional or global trade-invoicing currency.

Acknowledgement: Ito thanks Portland State University for financial support. The authors accept responsibility for any remaining errors in the paper.

Keywords: Trade invoicing, invoicing currency, international currency, RMB internationalization

JEL codes: F32,F41

* Hiro Ito: Department of Economics, Portland State University, 1721 SW Broadway, Portland, OR 97201, U.S.A. Tel/Fax: +1-503-725-3930/3945. Email: ito@pdx.edu

** Masahiro Kawai: Graduate School of Public Policy, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033 Japan. Tel: +81-3-5841-1530. Email: mkawai@p.u-tokyo.ac.jp .

1. Introduction

In recent years, the issue of renminbi (RMB) internationalization has been actively debated. The global financial crisis of 2007-09 raised questions about the desirability of the current US dollar-dominant international monetary system. Even though China and other emerging economies have grown fast and their economic presence risen in the world economy over the last two decades, their interests do not seem to be adequately reflected in the current international monetary system. Thus, with China's rapid rise as a global economic power, its authorities decided to promote the RMB as an international currency and increase the use of the RMB for international trade, investment and finance.¹

As a result, the international status of the RMB has been on the rise. According to the Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunication (SWIFT), the Renminbi (RMB) became the world's fourth most used payments currency, overtaking the long-time third currency Japanese yen in August 2015.² As of 2014, RMB cross-border trade settlement accounted for 22% of China's total trade (People's Bank of China, 2015).

Reflecting the rise of the currency, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) decided in November 2015 to include the RMB in the basket for the special drawing rights (SDR), along with the four incumbent reserve currencies: the U.S. dollar, euro, Japanese yen, and U.K. pound. Although the use of the RMB as official assets is still minimal, this is an important first step for the currency to become a major reserve currency.

While the RMB will become an international currency, a natural question that arises is, what kind of international currency will it become? Will it become like the U.S. dollar which functions as a full-scale vehicle currency and as last-resort international liquidity? Or, will it function as a regional currency as the euro does in Europe?³ Or, will it become an international currency like the Japanese yen, which has failed to become neither a global nor a regional currency, or the dominant invoicing currency even for the country's own trade?

While we cannot predict the future of the RMB, we can learn some lessons from history. In this paper, we focus on one aspect of international currency among the several as identified by

¹ See Eichengreen and Kawai (2015) for recent trends, issues and challenges in RMB internationalization.

² RMB accounted for 2.8% of global payments in terms of value, still small compared to the top three in the ranking; the U.S. dollar at 44.8%, euro at 27.2%, and pound at 8.5% (yen at 2.78%). However, it is a rapid rise considering that it ranked 12th with a share of 0.84% in 2012.

³ Eichengreen and Lombardi (2015) investigate these questions.

Kenen (1983), that is, how much a national currency is used for invoicing international trade. Currency invoicing in trade is an important first step for any national currency to become an international currency. This exercise can provide some insight into the future potential for, or impediments to, the RMB as a trade invoicing currency.

In our analysis, we focus on the experiences of the US dollar, Japanese yen, Deutsche mark (DM) while we also consider data for other major currencies such as U.K. pound, French franc, Italian lira and Swiss franc. The US dollar is ‘the’ global currency today, functioning as the most dominant currency for trade invoicing, in foreign exchange markets, and as official reserve assets. The DM was a dominant regional currency in Europe whose role has been succeeded by the euro. The yen was and still is never a global nor a regional currency, as its use for trade invoicing remains limited even in Japan’s trade. In our econometric analysis, we restrict our sample period to the 1970s through the 1990s. By examining the determinants of the shares of major currencies for trade invoicing and the different patterns across these currencies, we hope to draw some lessons for the RMB.

In this paper, we expand and update the database on the shares of major currencies used for trade invoicing first compiled by Ito and Chinn (2015). The updated dataset contains data on the shares of not only the U.S. dollar, the Japanese yen, and the Deutsche mark but also other major currencies such as the euro, French franc, Italian lira, Swiss franc, and U.K. pound sterling, used for both export and import invoicing. The dataset includes the shares of major currencies for trade invoicing used by both the major currency countries and by non-major currency economies.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we explain briefly the dataset and review stylized facts on the use of major currencies, especially the U.S. dollar, the Japanese yen, and the Deutsche mark. In Section 3, we conduct an empirical analysis to investigate the determinants of the major currency share for trade invoicing, by using data for the above three currencies as well as French franc, Italian lira, Swiss franc, and U.K. pound. We first run regressions from the perspective of non-major currency economies. We augment our analysis in two ways; first, by examining whether ‘currency zone’ variables matter for the choice of a major currency for trade invoicing; and second, by studying different patterns of trade invoicing among the major currencies, particularly the U.S. dollar, the Japanese yen, and the Deutsche mark. Then, we run regressions from the perspective of major currency countries to investigate the determinants of

major-currency invoicing. In Section 4, we use our empirical analysis to draw some lessons and implications for further internationalization of the RMB. In Section 5, we provide concluding remarks.

2. Trade Invoicing Currency Dataset and Stylized Facts

2.1 Data on Currency Shares in Trade Invoicing

In this study, we use the updated and expanded version of the dataset initially constructed by Ito and Chinn (2015). The initial version contained the datasets developed by Goldberg and Tille (2008) and Kamps (2006), while also including data collected from on the websites of central banks and other government agencies, as well as from other studies that examined the issue of currency invoicing for trade. This dataset included only the shares of the U.S. dollar, the euro, and home currencies used for trade invoicing and settlement. The new augmented dataset is much more extensive than the initial one. First, a significant amount of new data is added, such as data from past studies and data obtained through personal communications. Second, coverage of major currencies is expanded to include trade invoicing in the Japanese yen, U.K. pound, Deutsche mark, French franc, Italian lira, Swiss franc, and others.⁴ Third, the sample period is enlarged, going back to the 1970s for some European major currencies. Fourth, sample country data are broadened to include developing and emerging economies such as Brazil, Chile, and India.⁵

The dataset includes 56 economies, including China and the euro area. However, the coverage of economies and years is subject to data availability; it varies depending on the invoicing currency and whether currency invoicing data are for exports or imports. While Japan provides the most comprehensive data, going back to 1969 for both exports and imports, other economies report less comprehensive data, sometimes for only a single year or a single currency (often the US dollar or the euro) in some case. For most EU countries, data are available from 1999 through 2014 but

⁴ The new dataset also includes the shares of the Canadian dollar, Belgium franc, Danish krone, Dutch guilder, Norwegian krone, Swedish krone, the RMB, Singaporean dollar, Hong Kong dollar, Australian dollar, and New Zealand dollar. However, data availability for these currencies is highly limited.

⁵ It must be noted that the dataset mixes data on currencies used for invoicing or settlements for trade transactions. Strictly speaking, the currency for trade invoicing and that for actual settlement may differ. However, reporting government agencies often do not make it clear whether they report invoicing or settlement data. Page (1977, 1981) argues that differences between invoicing and settlement is sometimes negligible. However, for a newly internationalized currency such as the RMB, the difference can be significant.

often for the euro share only.⁶ Indonesia, Korea, and Thailand publish long and detailed trade invoicing data in terms of the coverage of currencies.

2.2 Stylized Facts

Using the new dataset of currency shares in trade invoicing, we first review how the choice of trade invoicing currency has evolved over time and differs among countries or currencies. We focus on the yen, the mark, and the U.S. dollar in Figure 1.

Japan and Germany. Panel (a) of the figure illustrates the shares of major currencies used for export and import invoicing in Japan for the period 1969 to 2014. It shows that, as of the end of the 1960s—a few years after the yen achieved current account convertibility in 1964—only 0.6% of Japan’s export was invoiced in yen, while essentially none of Japan’s import was invoiced in yen. The share of yen invoicing for export started to rise in the 1970s and peaked in 1983, hitting 42%, while the share of U.S. dollar invoicing evolved as a mirror image of the yen share, falling from above 90% to about 50%. Despite the rapid rise in yen invoicing for export in the 1970s, the share of yen invoicing for import reached only 3% by the end of the decade. From the mid-1980s, however, the share of yen in export invoicing stopped rising and hovered at around 35-40%, and the share of the U.S. dollar hovered at around 50%. In contrast, the share of yen for import invoicing continued to rise and stabilized at around 20-25%, while the dollar share maintained higher levels at around 70%. Overall, although Japan relaxed regulatory controls on the use of the yen for cross-border transactions in the first half of the 1980s, we do not observe an upward shift in the use of the yen for export or import invoicing. Despite the policy efforts at encouraging yen internationalization in the 1990s, the yen has failed to become the dominant invoicing currency even for Japan’s own trade.

This is in sharp contrast with Germany and the DM as illustrated in Panel (b). The share of DM for export invoicing was already above 80% in the early 1970s, marking a peak of 89% in 1975, and remained consistently high at around 80% for most of the 1980s, though the share gradually declined in the 1990s and 2000s by which time the DM had been replaced by the euro. The share of DM for import invoicing rose from 50% in 1972, peaking at 56% in 1992. While the U.S. dollar has played an important role as an invoicing currency in Japan, the dollar has played a minor role though it has been used slightly more for import than for export invoicing.

⁶ The EU started a more complete survey on currency invoicing, but it covers only 2010, 2012, and 2014.

Also, when we compare the shares of DM and euro invoicing in the last half of the 1990s, we can see that DM invoicing was relatively smoothly replaced with euro invoicing once the new currency was introduced in 1999.

Frankel (2011) explains that both Japan and West Germany were reluctant to internationalize their currencies when these currencies began to rise as international currencies in the 1980s. The reason for this reluctance was that currency internationalization would lead to exchange rate appreciation—thereby hurting the international price competitiveness of the tradables sector—and that it would make autonomous monetary policymaking difficult. In the 1990s, Japan changed its policy stance and began to promote yen internationalization. However, the economy soon fell into a long-term stagnation with banking sector difficulties, thereby limiting the progress of yen liberalization. In contrast, DM internationalization was not hampered in the 1990s and was smoothly succeeded by the euro in 1999.

Partners of major currency countries. Figure 2 provides useful insight into the different degrees of the use of major currencies as invoicing currency from the perspective of partner economies. Figure 2 (a) focuses on Japan. It plots the average share of yen export invoicing used by each of Japan's trading partners against the average share of such partner's export to Japan in total export for the 1995-1999 period. One would expect the yen invoicing share to be proportional to the share of Japan as a destination of partners' exports. However, all the observations are plotted below the 45 degree line. That is, Japan's partners do not invoice their exports in yen regardless of the size of the export share with Japan. Only Israel, Korea, Thailand, and the U.S. appear to invoice their exports in yen more than do other partners, but still their shares are at most 7%.

Figure 2 (b) illustrates the case of the U.S. It plots the U.S. dollar share for export invoicing used by each U.S. partner against the share of the partner's export to the U.S. in its total export. The U.S. dollar invoicing pattern is in sharp contrast to the yen invoicing pattern as has been reported in Figure 2 (a). All observations except New Zealand are plotted above the 45 degree line, indicating that U.S. partner economies tend to invoice their exports in the U.S. dollar much more than proportionally to the share of their exports to the U.S.⁷ Thus the U.S. dollar plays a dominant role as a trade invoicing currency.

⁷ We must note that the scale in Figure 2 (b) is different from Figure 2 (a).

The DM is an intermediate case between the U.S. dollar and the yen as shown in Figure 2 (c). Germany's partners tend to be distributed close to the 45 degree line. Romania is an exception in that it invoices its export in the DM more than proportionally to the share of its export to Germany. Major European economies such as Italy, the Netherlands, and France tend to invoice their exports in the DM, reflecting their trade ties with Germany. In this sense, DM invoicing was driven by trade and therefore became a regional currency.

The euro invoicing pattern is in between DM and yen invoicing, as reported in Figure 2 (d).⁸ The euro share for export invoicing used by euro-area partners does not fully reflect their export share with euro-area economies—a pattern similar to yen invoicing. However, the euro invoicing share is higher than the yen invoicing share, but is not as high as the DM invoicing share and, not to mention, the U.S. dollar share. One of the reasons for the lower euro invoicing share than the DM invoicing share appears to be that many European countries that used to use their home currencies or the DM for export invoicing became euro-area members and thus most likely switched to euro invoicing, while non-Euro-Area economies have not raised their euro invoicing shares to substantially high levels.⁹

Next, we take a look at how the export invoicing shares of the yen and the DM have changed over time from the perspective of partner economies. Figures 3-1 and 3-2 illustrate over-time changes in the yen and DM shares for export invoicing against the export shares with Japan and Germany, respectively, for selected economies. For comparison purposes, Figure 3-3 depicts the case of the euro. In each diagram, if the invoicing currency plays an increasingly important role, the observation points (the combinations of the currency share and the export share with the currency issuer country) are expected to move from below and toward the 45 degree line over time and could eventually reach the area above it.

In Figure 3-1 which describes yen invoicing shares, there is not much sign that the use of the yen has risen over time for most economies selected. Korea and Thailand show a moderate increase in the yen share while the share of Japan as their export destination has been declining. Iceland is the only country that have approached the 45 degree line over time, but with a rapidly declining export share and a gradually declining currency share.

⁸ For the figure, the variables for both axes are average over the 2009-2013 period.

⁹ We must also note that after the introduction of the euro, trade invoicing data in the EU economies (not just the Euro Area) are reported for the trade with outside the EU or the Euro area, which indicates some of the change in the currency share are due to data discontinuity.

In Figure 3-2 for DM invoicing shares, Japan, Korea, and Italy show increases in both the DM invoicing share and the export share with Germany. Compared to the yen, many of Germany's partner economies appear to move toward the 45 degree line or parallel to it, suggesting that the use of DM as an invoicing currency is more in line with partners' trade links with Germany. Not surprisingly, European partners appear to move closer to the 45 degree line, except the U.K. which has had high levels of pound invoicing over years, than non-European partner economies.

In Figure 3-3, shown for comparative purposes, euro export invoicing shows somewhat different patterns. Many Euro-Area partner economies have increased the euro share for export invoicing, in a way move toward the 45 degree line. Some economies, such as Bulgaria, Croatia, Lithuania, and Romania, have reached the territory above the 45 degree line, that is, these Eastern European economies have used the euro as the major invoicing currency more than proportionally to their export shares with the Euro Area.¹⁰

Thus far, we have observed that the use of the DM for trade invoicing is backed by trade ties between Germany and its trading partners and is naturally high among European partners. In contrast, the Japanese yen was not and is still not widely used despite Asian economies' strong trade ties with Japan. The use of the U.S. dollar has been undoubtedly prevalent globally and higher than what many economies' trade ties with the U.S. suggest.

Preliminary interpretations. One may wonder why the levels of international use as an invoicing currency differ so much among the U.S. dollar, the DM and the yen, particularly by third country partners. The dominant role of the U.S. dollar as an invoicing currency may be explained by several factors. First, the U.S. has been the largest trading nation for a long time and many of its trading partners have found it beneficial to use the dollar for invoicing trade. Second, there has been easy and open access to U.S. dollar financing, particularly for trade purposes, because of the development of deep, broad and liquid U.S.-dollar financial markets. Third, once the U.S. dollar has been selected by many traders and investors for various types of international transactions, other traders have found it beneficial to also use the U.S. dollar. Fourth, the formation of U.S. dollar zone economies, i.e., economies that use the dollar heavily

¹⁰ Ito, et al. (2015) show that while these Eastern European economies increased euro invoicing, they also increased euro weights in their implicit currency baskets as well as euro holdings as foreign exchange reserves. Bulgaria pegged lev to the euro in 2007. Lithuania joined the European Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) in 2004 and then the Euro Area in 2015.

for trade, investment, financial, or currency policy purposes, may have contributed to the expansion of U.S. dollar invoicing.

To understand the difference between the DM and the yen in terms of the degrees of their use as an invoicing currency, information compiled in Table 1 is useful. The table reveals that in Europe the share of home-currency invoicing was dominant, followed by those of DM invoicing and U.S. dollar invoicing, while in Asia and Oceania the share of U.S. dollar invoicing was far dominant than those of home-currency invoicing and yen invoicing.¹¹ Economies in Asia-Oceania, in contrast, never had sizable home-currency invoicing (except in Japan) and mostly adopted U.S. dollar invoicing, followed distantly by yen or DM invoicing. Ito and Chinn (2015) show that the average U.S. dollar share in export invoicing among the Asian economies is as high as 90%.

An important factor for the higher use of the DM than for the yen as an invoicing currency is that Germany was surrounded by countries with per capita income levels relatively similar to, and as high as, Germany's, while Japan was not. Ito and Chinn (2015) find that countries with higher per capita income tend to have lower shares of dollar export invoicing and higher shares of invoicing exports in their own home currencies. When firms from such European countries also conduct intra-industry trade with each other, they tend to invoice their trade in their own currencies, including the DM. As the size of the German economy was the largest and with a stable value of the DM, many European firms naturally selected the DM for trade invoicing. This has been undoubtedly facilitated by deep economic integration in Europe, which was far advanced than in Asia-Oceania, especially before the 1990s. Financial market integration in Europe and many European countries' attempts to stabilize their currencies against the DM may have also contributed to lower transactions costs in DM invoicing.

In contrast, the Asia-Oceania region has relied heavily on the U.S. dollar and not much on their own home currencies, which applies even to Japan to some extent. There are several reasons for this. First, Japan was surrounded by developing and emerging countries which had per capita income levels much lower than Japan's and were also U.S. dollar-zone economies. Second, even though Japan's trade links with these economies have expanded through the formation of regional supply chains, trade can be conducted smoothly by using the dollar for

¹¹ The dominance of home-currency invoicing in Europe is in line with the old literature on currency invoicing (Grassman 1973, Page 1977) which found that exporters' currencies tended to be used for intra-European trade while the U.S. dollar tended to be used even for Europe's exports to the U.S.

invoicing. In addition, one of the main export markets for products from the Asian supply chain is the U.S. As Goldberg and Tille (2008) and Ito et al. (2010) argue, firms tend to price to market, i.e., invoice their exports in the importer's currency, the dollar, so as to protect their competitiveness in the destination market.¹² Finally, Japan's large trading companies (known as *sogoshosha*) and multinational companies have developed strategies to minimize exchange risks when conducting trade in U.S. dollar. They have been pooling risks, marrying claims and liabilities, and borrowing and lending in foreign currencies, including the U.S. dollar, on a global scale, so that they have had no strong incentive to invoice trade in yen (see Kawai 1996).

3. Empirical Analysis on the Determinants of Major Currency Shares for Trade Invoicing

In this section, we empirically analyze the determinants of shares of major currencies used for trade invoicing by using a panel of cross-country, time-series data. We first focus on the use of major currencies for trade invoicing from the perspective of non-major currency economies. This analysis allows us to investigate the conditions of partner economies that would affect the choice of a currency for trade invoicing. Then, we examine the issue from the perspective of major currency countries and investigate the conditions of these countries that would affect the share of their currencies in invoicing their own trade.

3.1 Estimation Framework

For panel data analysis, we use the dataset on currency shares for trade invoicing, which encompasses 56 countries and the euro zone, including 23 developed economies and 33 emerging economies for the period from 1970 through 2014. In our panel data analysis, we will investigate not only the U.S. dollar, the Japanese yen and the DM but also the French franc (FF), the Italian lira (IL), the Swiss franc (SF), and U.K. pound sterling (UKP), though data limitations for some variables reduce the number of countries included in the analysis and make the panel highly unbalanced.

Our specification on the share of a specific currency for export or import invoicing is:

$$\lambda_{TRit}^C = \beta_1^C + \beta_2^C X_{it}^C + \beta_3^C D_{it} + u_i^C + \varepsilon_{it}^C. \quad (1)$$

¹² Takagi (2009) argues that established practices of pricing and invoicing trade in U.S. dollar in Asia hampered the internationalization efforts of the Republic of Korea's won despite the country's increased presence as a major exporter.

Here, λ_{TRit}^C is the share of currency C (either one of the U.S. dollar, yen, DM, FF, IL, SW, or UKP) used for invoicing export from or import to (i.e., $TR = EX$ or IM) country i in year t . Vector X_{it}^C represents the fundamental economic factors of country i , including: the share of country i 's export to, or import from, the major currency country that issues the currency of focus (e.g., the share of country i 's exports to, or imports from, Japan for the yen share estimation); the share of country i 's commodity trade in total export or import; country i 's relative income level to the U.S.; country i 's exchange rate volatility and inflation differential relative to the respective major currency country; the degree of country i 's financial development; and the degree of country i 's financial openness.¹³ Vector D_{it}^C represents dummy variables, including those for: currency arrangements, such as pegs to the U.S. dollar or the DM, and EU membership; the Bretton Woods period (BW), which takes the value of one for all years after 1973 and zero otherwise; and former colonies of either the U.K. or France.

We repeat the same estimation exercise for each currency C . When estimation equation (1) attempts to identify the determinants of the use of a major currency for trade invoicing by a third-party economy, we do not include in each panel data for the issuer country; the use of the DM by Germany is excluded from the estimation on the DM share equation, for example. For comparison purposes, we restrict the sample period for all the major currencies to the period 1970 through 1998, the year before the introduction of the euro.¹⁴

In equation (1), u_i is the random error attributable to country i and ε_{it}^C is a white noise residual. Testing for a zero variance of the error terms with the Breusch-Pagan Lagrange Multiplier suggests that the panel cannot be pooled for every estimation (i.e., the null hypothesis of zero variance of the individual errors is rejected). The panel structure of the dataset suggests that the estimation exercise should account for potential unobservable country effects. However, it is not clear whether unobservable country effects are systematic (i.e., correlated with predictors), which requires estimation with fixed effects, or non-systematic, which requires random effects. Hausman tests give mixed results depending on the sample, which must be partly due to the unbalanced panels and sometimes small sample sizes. Hence, we report the results for estimations with both random and fixed effects. In addition, we also conduct two types of Prais-

¹³ For data definitions, refer to Data Appendix.

¹⁴ However, again, the sample period can differ depending on data availability. See the notes below the estimation results tables.

Winston estimation with panel corrected standard errors that controls for possible heteroscedasticity across the panel. For this exercise, we estimate with weights based on the share of GDP (in PPP) in world GDP since our sample economies are highly diverse in terms of economic size.

The choice of explanatory variables for fundamental economic factors is based on the past literature on trade invoicing. Below, we briefly discuss the theoretical rationales for testing the variables as well as our expectations for the signs of the estimated coefficients of the variables.¹⁵

Trade ties vis-à-vis a major currency country. Firms tend to invoice their exports in the currency of the major-currency country to minimize the fluctuations of their local currency prices relative to those of competitors'. Such a "coalescing effect" (Goldberg and Tille, 2008) can result in the positive effect of country i 's export share to the major-currency country on the major currency share in country i 's export invoicing. Also, Goldberg and Tille (2005) argue that producers in industries with high demand elasticities (e.g., homogenous goods) tend to "herd" in their choice of an invoicing currency to maintain stable prices relative to their competitors'. Thus, we expect a positive coefficient for this variable. On the other hand, however, manufacturers of highly differentiated products are expected to have bargaining power in the market, exploit scale economies, and thus invoice exports in their own home currency instead of major currencies, leading to a negative coefficient for this variable.¹⁶

Commodity trade as a percentage of total trade. Commodities are almost exclusively denominated in the U.S. dollar. McKinnon (1979) predicted that homogenous goods tended to be invoiced in a single, low transaction cost currency, such as the U.S. dollar. His prediction leads to the expectation that the estimated coefficient on the share of commodity exports is positive for the U.S. dollar and negative for the DM and the yen.

Relative income. The "coalescing effects" in Goldberg and Tille (2008) also mean that the more differentiated goods an economy exports, the more likely it is to invoice its exports in

¹⁵ Our explanations mainly focus on the behavior of export invoicing. Broadly speaking, theoretical predictions of export invoicing can be applied to those of import invoicing.

¹⁶ The literature on trade invoicing overlaps the one on exchange rate pass-through as the choice of an invoicing currency is related to the decision of producer currency pricing or local currency pricing (Kamps, 2006). Sasaki (2002) compares the patterns of exchange rate pass-through of Japanese exports in the U.S., Asian, and EU markets and finds that the price-to-market elasticity is the highest for Japanese exports to the U.S. market. She attributes this result to a high degree of competition in the U.S. market.

its home currency.¹⁷ We use an economy's per capita income relative to that of the U.S. as a proxy for the economy's capacity to produce differentiated products. Thus the expected sign of the estimated coefficient is negative for the U.S. dollar, the DM and the yen.

Exchange rate volatility and inflation differentials. When an economy's macroeconomic conditions are unstable, such as with volatile exchange rates and high inflation, major currencies rather than its home currency are likely to be used for trade invoicing. Tavlas (1997) attributed the high DM use for both import and export invoicing to the stability of the DM value, backed by Germany's stable monetary policy. An economy with high exchange rate risks due to large exchange rate volatility tends to use a major currency for trade invoicing.¹⁸ Exchange rate volatility is calculated as annual standard deviations of the monthly rates of change in the exchange rate against the currency of the major currency of concern. The inflation rate differential is calculated as the difference in the annual rates of CPI inflation between the sample country and the major-currency country..

Financial development. An economy with a large, liquid, and deep financial market tends to face low transaction costs in using its own currency and therefore use it for trade invoicing. This leads to the prediction that an economy with a more developed and larger financial market tends to invoice its trade in its own home currency. However, if the economy's financial market is developed but relatively small, it may choose to use a major currency for trade invoicing as doing so would entail a low transactions cost for trade invoicing or settlements. To examine the impact of financial development on currency invoicing, we define a variable for "financial development" as the product of private credit creation (as a share of GDP) and the relative size of private credit creation of country *i* to the world's total private credit creation. As the level of financial development in each economy relative to that of the major currency country matters, we further adjust the variable as a deviation from the level of a major currency country. We expect a negative impact of this variable on major currency shares for trade invoicing.

Financial openness. An economy with an open financial market tends to invoice its trade in its home currency as such a currency could provide more usability and convenience for

¹⁷ Tavlas (1991) finds with German trade invoicing data that producers tend to price their products in their own currency when products are differentiated. Oi, et al. (2004) argue that the yen is more often used in industries with differentiated products like the automobile industry.

¹⁸ Donnefeld and Zilcha (1991) present a theoretical model that predicts greater invoicing in the importer's currency (LCP) and lesser invoicing in the exporter's or third country's currency under higher exchange rate risks. Donnefeld and Haug (2003) provide empirical evidence to support such predictions.

international investors. Thus we expect the financial openness variable to have a negative impact on the major currency share for trade invoicing. However, greater financial openness could make it easier for traders to invoice in a major, foreign currency. Hence, the impact of financial openness on the shares of major currencies can be negative or positive. For the measure of capital account openness, we use the Chinn-Ito index of capital account openness (Chinn and Ito, 2006, 2008, and updates). Similarly to the financial development variable, we adjust the financial openness index as a deviation from the level of the major currency country.

Dummies for exchange rate arrangement, monetary union and former colonies. When an economy pegs its currency to a major currency such as the U.S. dollar and the DM, we expect the economy to invoice its trade in the major currency. Also, Bacchetta and van Wincoop (2005) show that the currency of a monetary union tends to be used extensively for trade invoicing by member countries, possibly due to the economies of scale. The current EU members, whether they are members of the Euro Area or not have had close relationships with the DM either through the European Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) or simple geographical proximity. Thus the U.S. dollar-peg dummy is expected have a positive effect on the U.S. dollar invoicing share and a negative effect on the yen or DM invoicing share. The EU membership dummy is expected to have a positive effect on the DM invoicing share and a negative impact on the U.S. dollar or yen invoicing share.¹⁹

Similarly, economies often have closer trade and financial relationships with their former colonizers. Hence, we include the dummies for former British and French colonies.

3.2 Estimation Results on the Determinants of Major Currency Shares for Trade Invoicing by Non-Major Currency Economies

We run regression equation (1) for each of the seven major currencies, the U.S. dollar, yen, DM, FF, IL, SF and UKP. In this part of the analysis, we examine how conditions in our

¹⁹ The countries in the EU subsample are: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. The dummy for the EU membership is assigned for the entire sample period regardless of the year of entry to the union, i.e., time-invariant. The include is based on stylized facts that the invoicing behavior would differ for EU member countries even before they actually become the members, partly because of the existence of precursor organization such as the European Community and also of geographical reasons for other countries that did not participate in the precursor organizations (such as former communist states). We follow Kamps (2006) on this.

sample countries, excluding these seven major-currency countries, affect the use of major currencies for trade invoicing.

Table 2 reports the estimation results on the shares of the U.S. dollar, the yen, and the DM (while the results for the FF, IL, SF and UKP are summarized in Appendix 1), using three specifications: first with random effects, second with fixed effects, and third with panel corrected standard errors controlling for possible heteroscedasticity across the panel. These different specifications yield similar results. Table 2A and Table 2B respectively report results for export and import invoicing, though we will focus our discussions mainly on the results of the estimations for export invoicing.

The variables for export/import share, exchange rate volatility, inflation differential, financial development and financial openness are altered depending on which major currency is being estimated.

First of all, for both the yen and the DM, we find that the export market share matters. The larger the share of an economy's export to Japan or Germany in its total export, the more likely it invoices its export in the yen or the DM. The effect of the export share on the currency share is much larger on the DM than for the yen. Given the long tradition of trade integration in Europe, this result is unsurprising. Trade integration in Asia, especially in the sample period, was not as deep as in Europe, which may explain the low level of yen invoicing by third economies. Interestingly, the effect of trade links with the U.S. on U.S. dollar invoicing is mixed. The estimate of a third economy's export share is significantly negative in the fixed effects model while it is insignificantly positive in the random effects and the PCSE models.

When we compare the results with those of other major currencies (Appendix Tables 1-1 and 1-2), we can rank the seven major currencies in terms of the magnitude of sensitivity to the export share as the DM and the Swiss franc the most sensitive, followed by U.K. pound, French franc, yen, and U.S. dollar.²¹

Second, an economy with a large value of commodity exports tends to invoice export less in a major currency. However, the estimation results for *import* invoicing, which is more relevant as many of our sample economies are commodity importers, show that the commodity import

²¹ The results of the estimations on import invoicing (Appendix Table 2) show that the effect of trade linkages with the U.S. and Germany is larger in magnitude, while that with Switzerland is much smaller. Interestingly, while the import share matters for the U.S. dollar, the import share does not. For the yen, the share of import from Japan does not matter. For the DM, the elasticity is high at around one.

share has a significantly positive effect on the U.S. dollar share across the three models. The estimated coefficient of 0.47-0.57 for the U.S. dollar equation is considerably larger than those for other major currencies, confirming that the dollar has been playing a dominant invoicing-currency role in commodity markets.

Third, an economy with higher inflation tends to invoice its export more in the U.S. dollar or the DM and less in the yen. An economy with larger exchange rate volatility tends to invoice its export in the DM, suggesting that such an economy tends to view the DM as an appropriate invoicing currency. The negative estimate on inflation differentials for the yen share may indicate that economies with high inflation tend to invoice their exports in the U.S. dollar or the DM so much that their yen invoicing actually declines.

Fourth, an economy with a deeper and larger financial market or a more open financial market is *less* likely to invoice its export in the U.S. dollar or yen. Such a tendency is not observed for the DM, as the estimated coefficients on financial development and openness are statistically insignificant. The negative impact of financial development or openness on the major-currency share may suggest that an economy with a more developed or more open financial market tends to invoice more of its export in its own home currency, thereby leading to lesser use of major currencies for trade invoicing (Ito and Chinn, 2015).

Finally, an economy with its currency pegged to the U.S. dollar does not seem to invoice in the U.S. dollar in a statistically significant manner, while such an economy tends to invoice its export less in the yen. Interestingly, an economy with a DM peg tends to invoice its export more in the U.S. dollar and only weakly in the DM. These binary variables may not fully capture the subtlety of the link between the sample economies and the U.S. dollar. We will investigate this issue more carefully in the next subsection.

3.3 Effects of Trade Shares with Major-Currency Zone

In the above analysis, we have considered the effect of a non-major currency economy's exchange rate arrangement on the use of major currencies for trade invoicing. In so doing, we have included dummies for a U.S.-dollar peg and a DM-peg. We now examine this issue by extending our analysis.

When an economy pegs its own currency to a major currency, such as the U.S. dollar and the DM, it is expected to invoice its export in the major currency chosen. However, the dummies

in the previous regression analysis may not capture the effects of different degrees of pegs to major currencies.

An economy adopting a G5-currency basket system, say, $a\%$ to the U.S. dollar, $b\%$ to the DM, $c\%$ to the French franc, $d\%$ to U.K. pound, and $e\%$ to the yen can be hypothesized to follow a currency invoicing pattern which is proportional to the basket weights. These currency weights can be separately estimated for each economy for each year, using the widely-used method developed by Haldane and Hall (1991) and Frankel and Wei (1996). With the estimated weights, we can test whether and to what extent the weights of currencies in the basket affect the share of major currencies for trade invoicing.

Constructing G5-currency weights and G5-currency zones. We can go one step further by considering the possibility that an economy trading heavily with, say, the “U.S. dollar zone” countries sets the U.S. dollar share for trade invoicing at a high level even though its direct trade link with the U.S. is limited. Similarly an economy trading heavily with the DM (or yen) zone countries may set a high share for DM (or yen) invoicing. For each non-major currency economy (say Thailand), we can estimate the size of its trade with major-currency zone countries. To do so, we take two-step procedures, assuming that there are five major currencies, i.e., the U.S. dollar, DM, yen, FF and UKP.

First, we run the following estimation model:

$$\Delta e_{it}^{USD} = \alpha_i + \beta_{iJYt} \Delta e_{it}^{JY} + \beta_{iBPt} \Delta e_{it}^{UKP} + \beta_{iDMt} \Delta e_{it}^{DM} + \beta_{iFFt} \Delta e_{it}^{FF} + \varepsilon_{it}. \quad (2)$$

Here, e_{it} is the nominal exchange rate of home currency i , against the dollar (USD), yen (JP), pound (UKP), Deutsche mark (DM), and French franc (FF). The major currencies in the right-hand side of the estimation equation can be thought of comprising an implicit currency basket in the mind of the home economy’s policymaker. Therefore, $\hat{\beta}_{ih}$, the estimated coefficient on the rate of change in the exchange rate of major currency h vis-à-vis the U.S. dollar, represents the weight of currency h in the implicit basket. The weight of the dollar can be calculated as

$\hat{\beta}_{iUS,t} = 1 - (\hat{\beta}_{iJY,t} + \hat{\beta}_{iBP,t} + \hat{\beta}_{iDM,t} + \hat{\beta}_{iFF,t})$.²² We apply the estimation model to each of our sample

²² If the home currency is pegged to the U.S. dollar (e.g., Hong Kong), then $\sum_{h=1}^H \hat{\beta}_{ih} = 0$ so that $\hat{\beta}_{iUS,t} = 1$. For an economy with its currency pegged to the DM, $\hat{\beta}_{iDM,t} = 1$.

currencies, but estimate it over rolling windows of 36 months. Hence, the coefficients $\hat{\beta}_{ih}$'s are time-varying in monthly frequency to reflect the assumption that policymakers keep updating their information sets and, thus, currency weights. This rolling regression is not run for the G5 currencies, but their currency weights are set at the value of one, that is, each of the G5 countries is assumed to constitute its own currency zone without depending on other major-currency exchange rates.

Next, using the estimated currency weights, we can divide the trade partners of each non-major currency economy into five currency zones. To do so, every non-G5 economy is divided into G5-currency zones, based on the estimated G5-currency weights, i.e., $\hat{\beta}_{iht}$, for the economy. For example, if Thailand has a currency basket, with the USD weight of $a\%$, the DM weight of $b\%$, the FF weight of $c\%$, the BP weight of $d\%$, and the yen weight of $e\%$, then we assume that $a\%$ of Thailand's economy belongs to the USD zone, $b\%$ to the DM zone, $c\%$ to the FF zone, $d\%$ to the UKP zone, and $e\%$ to the yen zone. All other non-G5 economies are similarly divided into G5 currency zones. On the other hand, each of major currency countries is assumed to constitute its own currency zone. Then, the trade share of a non-G5 economy (say India) with countries belonging to a major-currency zone can be calculated first by multiplying $\hat{\beta}_{iht}$ with bilateral trade with each partner (say Thailand, so bilateral trade between India and Thailand is defined as the sum of bilateral exports and imports), and then by summing up all the products over all the bilateral trade pairs. The ratio of this sum to the economy's (India's) total trade is regarded as its trade share with one of the "major-currency zones."²³

The hypothesis we test here is that the share of a major currency for trade invoicing used by non-major currency economies is explained by: (1) the estimated weights of G5 currencies in the implicit baskets of these economies and (2) the share of these economies' trade with the major-currency zone countries. We test the hypothesis for the U.S. dollar, the DM, and the yen. Because of the way the currency weight of the U.S. dollar is calculated and also of the possibility of multicollinearity among trade shares with major-currency zone countries, we will not include

²³ For country i , the currency zone share for major currency h is $SHARE_h_{it} = \frac{\sum_j \beta_{jih} \cdot TRADE_{ijt}}{TRADE_{it}}$ where j is i 's trading partner ($j \in J$) and $\sum_h^H SHARE_h_{it} = 1$.

only one of the major-currency weights or one of the trade shares with major-currency zone countries at a time in the estimation.

Estimated G5-currency weights and zones in Europe and Asia-Oceania. Before discussing the estimation results, we note that Table 3 presents some interesting stylized facts regarding the estimated currency weights. Table 3 (a) reports the estimated weights of the U.S. dollar, the DM and the FF combined (or the euro after its introduction), U.K. pound, and the yen for European countries for the period 1972-2012. Table 3 (b) reports the same pieces of information for Asia-Oceania countries.²⁴

For European countries, the DM-FF weight is close to or above 90% after the 1980s although the U.S dollar weight was high in 1972, a year before the collapse of the Bretton Woods system. The high values of the DM-FF weight must have led to a smooth transition to the Euro Area in 1999. All Asia-Oceania countries, except for India, have virtually belonged to the U.S. dollar zone. India used to belong to the U.K pound zone until the end of the 1980s, but it has since switched to the U.S. dollar zone. There is a clear difference between the role of the DM and that of the yen in terms of the spread of currency zones. European countries largely belonged to the DM zone, which contributed to high DM shares for trade invoicing, while Asia-Oceania countries belonged mostly to the U.S. dollar zone rather than the yen zone.

Table 4 presents the trade shares with G5-currency zone countries in Europe and Asia-Oceania. The table illustrates a picture consistent with the previous table. European countries such as Belgium, Denmark, Italy and Netherlands, traded heavily with the DM-FF zone with share of 40-70% at least from the late 1970s, while countries like France, Germany and the U.K. used to trade more with U.S. dollar zone countries (with the share of 40-60%) but eventually shifted to trade more with DM zone countries. Asia-Oceania countries traded heavily with U.S. dollar zone countries. In fact, Japan had the highest share of dollar zone trade of as high as 70-76%. Indonesia used to have higher shares of trade with yen zone countries than with U.S. dollar zone countries in the 1970s and Korea and Thailand also had high trade shares with yen zone countries, but their yen shares have declined steadily and given way to the U.S. dollar zone countries over time.

Effects of G5-currency weights and zone. Now, Table 5 summarizes the results for the estimations that test the importance of the estimated weights of the U.S. dollar, the yen, or the

²⁴ It is noted that the home currency weight is assumed to be 100% for each of G5 countries.

DM as well as the trade share with major-currency zone countries in determining major currency shares for trade invoicing. The table only reports the estimated coefficients on the currency weight or the currency-zone trade share for simplifying the presentation.²⁵

According to the table, an economy with a higher U.S.-dollar weight tends to invoice its export more in the dollar and less in the DM. However, its impact on yen invoicing is statistically insignificant. An economy with a higher DM weight tends to invoice its export more in the DM and less in the U.S. dollar. The impact on yen invoicing is again insignificant. Finally an economy with a higher yen weight tends to invoice its export more in the DM, a somewhat puzzling result, without showing statistically significant impact on yen invoicing or U.S.-dollar invoicing. Thus, the U.S. dollar and the DM are in a competitive relationship. Yen invoicing is not much affected by either any of the major-currency weight.

The bottom half of the table shows that an economy with a higher trade share with U.S.-dollar zone countries tends to invoice its export more in the dollar and less in the DM. The impact on yen invoicing is insignificant. An economy with a higher trade share with DM zone countries tends to invoice its trade less in the dollar, without exhibiting significant impact on U.S.-dollar or yen invoicing. Finally, an economy with a higher trade share with yen zone countries tends to invoice its trade less in the yen and in the dollar, without significant impact on DM invoicing. The effects of the U.S.-dollar zone trade share are much larger in magnitude than those of DM and yen zone trade shares. Again, the U.S. dollar and the DM appear to be in a weakly competitive relationship. The negative effect of the yen-zone trade share on yen invoicing appears to reflect that yen invoicing continued decline despite the fact that countries in Asia-Oceania (e.g., Korea, Thailand) strengthened their trade ties with Japan in the 1970s-90s.

3.4 Differences among the Major Currencies in Terms of the Determinants of Trade Invoicing

As the previous analysis has demonstrated, the way in which the conditions of non-major currency economies affect the use of major currencies for trade invoicing differs among the currencies. Especially, the determinants differ among U.S.-dollar, yen, and DM invoicing.

We now formally test to examine whether and how the use of the seven major currencies (the U.S. dollar, yen, DM, U.K. pound, French franc, Italian lira, and Swiss franc) for trade

²⁵ The estimates on the other variables are generally unaffected.

invoicing differs. We estimate an extended version of equation (1) by lumping together all the observations, i.e., invoicing shares for the seven major currencies and the corresponding data for the right-hand side variables in the equation. We run the following regression equation:

$$\lambda_{TRit} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{it} + \beta_3 D_{it} + \phi_0 CD^C + \phi_1 X_{it} \cdot CD^C + u_i^C + \varepsilon_{it}^C. \quad (3)$$

Here, λ_{TRit} is the share of one of the seven major currencies, and CD^C is the currency dummy for each of the major currencies. We run this estimation model with each of the seven currency dummies individually and test if ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 are jointly significantly different from zero. If the null hypothesis of ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 being jointly equal to zero is rejected for currency C , the variables determining the share of currency C for export invoicing are significantly different from the way they affect the share of other major currencies. The Chi square for the joint significance can be compared among the major currencies to see the extent to which the behavior of the determinants of a major-currency share for trade invoicing differs from shares of the other major currencies.

Tests of similarity of the determinants of major-currency shares. Table 6 reports the Chi squares and the corresponding p -values for the joint testing of ϕ 's being zero. For example, the first row of the first column shows the result of testing the null hypothesis that the way economic fundamentals affect the share of U.S. dollar for export invoicing is *no* different from those affecting other six major-currency shares. The second column reports the result of testing if the null that the determinants of the yen share are no different from those of the other six-currency shares. The other columns toward the right show the results from testing the similarity of the determinants of the DM share, and so forth.

When we test each of the seven currencies, the null hypothesis is rejected for the U.S. dollar, the yen, and the DM. The Chi square is overwhelmingly high and statistically significant for the U.S. dollar invoicing share, suggesting that the determinants of the dollar share differ distinctly from those of the other six currencies. We then remove the data relevant to the U.S. dollar invoicing share from the sample and test the similarity of the remaining six currencies. The second row of the table reports that the yen is different from the other five currencies, followed by the DM, UKP, FF, IL, and SF. When we remove the yen invoicing share data and test among the five currencies, we find that the DM is different from the other four currencies.

These findings indicate that the U.S. dollar, the yen, and the DM behave most differently among the seven major currencies in terms of the determinants of major-currency shares for export invoicing while the U.S. dollar is clearly an outlier with its significantly high Chi square.

Differences among the U.S. dollar, yen and DM. Now that we find that the U.S. dollar, the yen, and the DM are distinct invoicing currencies, we next examine how they differ from other currencies.

Table 7 reports the results from estimations based on equation (3). The first column reports the result for the estimation of major-currency shares with the U.S.-dollar dummy interacted with the economic fundamentals as we did in the first round of test in Table 6. First, we observe that compared to other six major currencies, the U.S. dollar share for export invoicing is highly responsive to the export share with the U.S. While a one percentage point increase in the export share with the seven major-currency countries would lead to a 0.502 percentage point increase in the major-currency invoicing share on average, the same increase would lead to a 0.953 ($= 0.502+0.451$) percentage point increase in the U.S.-dollar invoicing share, much higher compared to the other six currencies. Second, the commodity export share has no significant impact on the invoicing shares of seven major currencies on average, a one percentage point increase in the commodity export share would lead to a 0.387 percentage point increase in the U.S.-dollar invoicing share. Third, an economy with higher per capita income tends to invoice its export *more* on the average in major currencies (with the estimated coefficient of 0.122), but *less* in the U.S. dollar in the presence of the U.S. dummy interacted with per capita income ($-0.531 = -0.653+0.122$). Fourth, greater financial development in non-major currency economies has a positive impact on the average invoicing share of the seven major currencies (with the estimate of 0.052), but it has a negative impact on the share of U.S. dollar invoicing ($-0.058 = 0.052-0.110$). This suggests that economies with more developed financial markets tend to diversify trade invoicing currencies away from the U.S. dollar and toward other major currencies. Fifth, financial openness in non-major currency economies has a negative impact on the invoicing shares of all the seven major currencies and this negative impact is even larger on U.S.-dollar invoicing. Thus, economies with more open financial markets tend not to use seven major currencies, particularly the U.S. dollar, for export invoicing. Sixth, a rise in the trade share with U.S.-dollar zone countries negatively affect the average share of seven major currencies used for export invoicing (-0.120), while it positively affects the U.S.-

dollar invoicing share with the estimate of 0.640 ($= 0.760 - 0.120$). Finally, the U.S. dollar dummy is statistically significant and positive with the estimated value of 0.196, which suggests that the U.S. dollar share is higher than the other six currencies by almost 20% for some intrinsic characteristics of the dollar unexplained by the estimation model.

The second column of Table 7 reports the estimation result for the average invoicing share for six major currencies, excluding the U.S. dollar, with the yen dummy interacted with the economic fundamentals. It shows that yen invoicing differs from the invoicing of the other five currencies.

The yen share for export invoicing is much less responsive to the share of non-major currency economies' export to Japan than is other major-currency invoicing shares. The yen invoicing share is negatively affected by the share of other economies' commodity export unlike the other major-currency invoicing shares, which are not significantly affected by the commodity export share. While a higher level of per capita income does not lead to a higher invoicing share for six major currencies on the average, it leads to a higher yen invoicing share. The high rate of inflation in a non-major currency economy (relative to the rate in a major currency country) does not affect the invoicing share of six major currencies on average, it reduces the yen invoicing share (with the estimate of -0.068). Financial market development in a non-major currency economy has no significant impact on major-currency invoicing on average but it has a negative impact on yen invoicing (with the estimate of -0.077). As in the case with the U.S.-dollar invoicing share, financial openness has a negative impact on major-currency invoicing and this negative impact is more pronounced on yen invoicing. The trade share with U.S.-dollar zone countries has no significant impact on ex-dollar major-currency invoicing share but it affects the yen invoicing share positively, a somewhat unintuitive result though the magnitude is relatively small. The yen dummy is negative, suggesting that the yen invoicing share is lower than those of the other five major currencies by almost 3% due to its own intrinsic characteristics unexplained by the model.

The third column of the table reports how the behavior of DM invoicing differs from other major currencies, excluding the U.S. dollar and the yen. Several determinants of the DM invoicing share do not differ much from those of the other major currencies, i.e., non-major currency economies' export share, relative income, exchange rate volatility, inflation rate differential, financial development and financial openness. Like the yen, the DM invoicing share

negatively responds to the share of commodity export. Unlike the yen, however, the trade share with U.S.-dollar zone countries lowers the DM invoicing share, which is not observed for other major currencies. The DM dummy suggests that there is still a 9 percentage point advantage to the DM share over the remaining four European currencies due to its own intrinsic characteristics unexplained by the model.

3.5 Conditions in Major Currency Countries as Determinants of Major Currency Shares for Trade Invoicing

Thus far, we have examined how economic fundamentals in non-major currency countries affect the use of major currencies for trade invoicing in the 1970s through the 1990s. We now turn our attention to how the economic fundamentals of the major currency countries affect the use of their own currencies for trade invoicing (e.g., the use of the yen by Japan, the DM by Germany, etc.). More specifically, we estimate the model based on equation (1) by using a panel data for major currency countries, excluding the U.S., that is, by focusing on the six, ex-U.S., major currency countries (Japan, Germany, U.K., France, Italy and Switzerland). The estimation is basically that of home-currency invoicing as we use only major-currency country data. We exclude U.S. data because of the exceptionally distinctive behavior of U.S. dollar invoicing.²⁶

The estimation results are reported in Table 8. The results shown in columns (1) and (3) of the table reveal that a major currency country, excluding the U.S., tends to invoice in its export or import more in its home currency when the country is a larger exporter or importer (in terms of the share in the world export or import), or when its per capita income is higher. A major-currency country with a large commodity export share tends to invoice its export in its own currency, while a large commodity importer tends *not* to invoice its import in its own currency. Export invoicing part sounds counterintuitive, but the positive effect reflects mainly the behavior of France and the U.K., both of which are large commodity exporters among the six major-currency countries.²⁷ A major-currency country with a developed financial market tends

²⁶ Given that Ito and Chinn (2015) find that economic fundamentals in a country affect the use of its “home currency” for a number of countries in 1970-2013, we do the same but only with the sample of the major currency countries, but excluding the U.S.

²⁷ In fact, when the model is run with fixed effects, the significantly positive estimate on the share of commodity export disappears, suggesting that the positive estimate reflects country differences, which we assume to be France

not to invoice its export or import in its home currency, but a major-currency country with an open financial market tends to invoice its export, but not import, in its own currency. While the positive impact of financial openness on home-currency invoicing is intuitively plausible, the negative impact of financial development is somewhat puzzling. For import invoicing, it is only financial development that matters for, i.e., negatively affect, the share of home currency trade invoicing. A major-currency country with a high trade share with U.S.-dollar zone countries tends *not* to invoice its export and import in its own currency, which implies that such a country tends to invoice its trade in the U.S dollar.

The puzzling negative impact of financial development on home-currency invoicing can be resolved to some extent by including an interactive term between financial development and financial openness, as reported in columns (2) and (4) of Table 8. A major-currency country tends to invoice its export in its own home currency if it has both a developed and open financial market. The estimation result suggests that if the level of financial openness exceeds 0.5 (= 0.395/0.796) then the impact of financial development on the home-currency invoicing share for export invoicing tends to be positive. The level of financial openness for Japan was low in the early 1970s, but gradually rose over time.

4. Implications for RMB Internationalization

As the first step in the process of RMB internationalization, China began to promote use of the RMB for trade-related purposes. In July 2009, China launched a pilot scheme that allowed use of the RMB in settlement of trade with Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) member states as well as Hong Kong and Macau in five mainland cities: Shanghai, Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Dongguan, and Zhuhai. In mid-2010, coverage of the scheme was expanded to 20 provinces, permitting firms in those provinces to settle their trade in RMB. Since then, authorization to settle trade in RMB has been extended nationwide, so that essentially all trade by China can be settled in RMB.

Growth has been rapid. From a mere 0.02% of China's total trade in 2009, the first year of the pilot scheme, RMB trade settlement had ballooned to 16.5% of China's total trade by the

and the U.K., based on the scatter diagram that depicts the home-currency invoicing share against the share of commodity export (not reported).

second quarter of 2013 and to 22% in 2014.²⁸ Since the inception of the scheme, more than 80% of these trade settlements have been with Hong Kong, however, raising some questions about the generality of use of the RMB in trade settlement for China.

Initially, RMB trade settlement was skewed toward import settlement rather than export settlement. For example, at the end of 2010 the ratio of RMB receipts and payments was 1:5.5 (People's Bank of China 2012). One interpretation of this bias is that it likely reflected the lack of availability of RMB abroad and the incentive to hold RMB offshore in anticipation of the currency's appreciation. In other words, it may have reflected speculative motives rather than the convenience of settling trade in the RMB.

More recently, the ratio has narrowed, falling to 1:1.3 in the first half of 2013 (People's Bank of China 2013). This trend is in line with the turnaround in expectations of a RMB appreciation since the latter part of 2011. Such consistent expansion in the utilization of RMB in trade settlement, despite diminished expectations of RMB appreciation in recent years, suggests that RMB internationalization is now being driven by fundamental changes rather than just by speculative motives.

The use of RMB as a settlement currency has risen over the last six years, but it is not as high as what China's trade share in the world suggests. Figure 4 plots the share of export invoiced in each of the sample currency against the country's share of export in world export (with both shares averaged over 2009-13). The figure clearly demonstrates that the RMB is still underutilized; although China's share of export in world export is about 10%, the RMB's share in export invoicing (settlement in the case of China) is minimal, appearing as an outlier. Excluding China, there is a moderate positive correlation between the share of export invoiced in a country's home currency and the share of the country's export in world export. Although the other two large exporters, Germany and Japan, also appear to be off the fitted line, China's deviation appears more substantial, indicating the presence of room for greater RMB use for trade invoicing.

In Figure 5, the RMB shares in export and import invoicing are compared with those of the yen and the DM (or the euro after its introduction in 1999) shares. Interestingly, we observe that the RMB settlement share for China's import has caught up with the yen invoicing share for

²⁸ China publishes data only on RMB settlements, not invoicing. Yu (2012) argues that a large bulk of the imports settled in RMB is often initially invoiced in U.S. dollar. He discusses the implications of the discrepancy between the levels of trade invoicing and settlements, particularly for China.

Japan's import.²⁹ The RMB share for export settlement is still lower by some twenty percentage points than the yen share for Japan's export invoicing, but the RMB appears to be rapidly catching up with the yen. However, it is unclear whether the RMB use for trade settlement will become as prevalent as the DM use was or the euro use is at present.

Our regression analysis in the previous section has found some results that are useful in assessing the future course of RMB internationalization. First, a country with a rising trade share in the global economy tends to use its currency for trade invoicing. Second a country with a rising level of per capita income tends to use its currency for trade invoicing. Third, although a country's financial market development itself may not raise its currency use for trade invoicing, if its market development is combined with greater financial market openness then the country's currency tends to be used more for trade invoicing. Fourth, a country that trades with U.S. dollar-zone partners tend to invoice its trade less in its own currency.

These findings indicate that the current high level of China's trade share in the global economy and its continued growth of per capita income will be a positive factor for rising shares of the RMB as a trade invoicing currency. To further promote the RMB's use for trade invoicing, China needs to further develop and open its financial market through capital account liberalization. In addition, the presence of the Asian U.S. dollar bloc stands as a major challenge to the greater use of the RMB for trade invoicing, because China's main trading partners are U.S. dollar-zone, particularly in Asia.

5. Concluding Remarks

We have examined the experiences of major currencies, such as the U.S. dollar, Japanese yen, and Deutsche mark, used for trade invoicing in the 1970s through the 1990s. What we have found is that the U.S. dollar was (and still is) unequivocally the global trade-invoicing currency used extensively by economies around the world, that the DM was the regional invoicing currency used by European countries, and that the yen was (and still is) neither a global nor a regional currency. The yen's use for trade invoicing has been low even for Japan despite its being one the world's largest exporters.

²⁹ The RMB is unique in the sense that the share in import invoicing is higher than that in export invoicing, unlike stylized facts among most of the currencies that the share is higher for exports than for imports (Grassman's Law, 1973).

We have conducted panel regression analysis to examine the determinants of the shares of major currencies, including the U.S. dollar, yen, DM, pound, and Swiss franc, which were used for trade invoicing by third economies, i.e., economies other than the major currency countries. The estimation results have confirmed several points. First, the share of a major currency used for trade invoicing is positively affected by these economies' export shares with the major-currency country, but this does not apply to the dollar, that is, the use of the dollar is not driven by trade ties with the U.S. Second, the share of major currency invoicing is negatively affected by financial development and openness in third economies, which suggests that an economy with a more developed or a more open financial market tends to invoice its export in its own (home) currency. Third, an economy with a high commodity trade ratio tends to invoice its export predominantly in the U.S. dollar. Countries with macroeconomic *instability* tend to invoice in the DM, implying that these countries regard the DM as an anchor currency.

We have conducted another panel regression analysis by using alternative measures of exchange rate regimes for third economies. To do so, we have obtained major currency weights in the implicit currency baskets of third economies and also constructed the shares of trade with major currency zones for each of these economies. We find that both the major-currency weights and trade shares with different currency zones affect the major currency share used for trade invoicing. The U.S. dollar and the DM are found to be in a rivalry relationship. That is, an economy attempting to stabilize its exchange rate against the U.S. dollar tends to invoice its export more in the U.S. dollar and *less* in the DM, while an economy stabilizing its exchange rate against the DM tends to invoice more in the DM and less in the dollar. In addition, an economy that trades heavily with U.S.-dollar-zone countries tends to invoice its export more in the dollar and less in the DM, while the opposite holds for an economy trading heavily with DM-zone countries. The yen is not in such a rivalry relationship with the U.S. dollar or the DM.

However, the yen and the DM differ from each other in terms of the spread of their currency zones. European countries belonged primarily to the DM zone, which led to high DM shares for trade invoicing among these countries, while Asia-Oceania countries belong(ed) predominantly to the U.S. dollar zone, leading to a lower use of the yen for trade invoicing. The high degree of DM by European countries led to a smooth transition to the Euro Area, while the degree of yen use was limited even by Japan.

We have also examined how conditions in the major currency countries affect the use of their own currencies – while excluding the U.S. dollar observations. We find that major currency countries tend to invoice their trade in their own currencies when they have a large presence in international trade (in terms of the share in world trade) and have higher levels of per capita income. The level of per capita income tends to be highly correlated with the level of differentiation in goods and services a country produces and, thus, the country's bargaining power to invoice trade in its home currency.

The estimation results show that a major currency country with a more developed financial market tends to invoice its trade *less* in its own currency, while a major currency country with a more open financial market tends to invoice its export *more* in its own currency. The puzzling negative impact of financial development on own currency invoicing is mitigated when the country has a more developed *and* open financial market. Furthermore, a major currency country with high shares of trade with U.S. dollar zone countries tends to invoice its trade less in its own currency.

We have then suggested several implications for China's RMB internationalization. China's presence as a large trading nation and its continued rise in its per capita income will contribute to greater use of the RMB for trade invoicing. Its continuous efforts at liberalizing and opening its financial market will be critical for further use of the RMB as an invoicing currency. However, a high share of its trade with U.S. dollar zone economies, particularly in Asia, is likely to be a big hurdle for China to increase its use of RMB for its own trade invoicing.

Data Appendix:

Share of export/import – The share of country i 's export to, or import from, a major currency country (e.g., Japan) in country i 's total export or import. The data are taken from the IMF's *Direction of Trade*.

Commodity export/import as a percentage of total export/import – Data are taken from the World Bank's *World Development Indicators* and the IMF's *International Financial Statistics*.

Relative income to the U.S. – The relative per capita income level to the U.S. as a proxy. Data for the real per capita income (in PPP) are taken from Penn World Table 8.0.

Exchange rate volatility and inflation rate differential – Exchange rate volatility for country i is calculated as annual standard deviations of the monthly rates of change in the exchange rate (data taken from the *International Financial Statistics, IFS*) against the currency of the major currency of concern. The inflation rate differential for country i is calculated as the difference in the annual rates of CPI inflation (data taken from IMF's *International Financial Statistics*) between country i and the major-currency country.

European Union membership – The countries included in the EU are: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. The dummy for the EU membership is assigned for the entire sample period regardless of the year of entry to the union, i.e., time-invariant.

Financial development/size – Financial development/size (FD) is defined as the product of private credit creation as a share of GDP and the relative size of private credit creation in country i to the world's total private credit creation. Data are taken from the World Bank's *Financial Structure Database* (first introduced by Beck, et al., 2001).

Financial openness – Data are the Chinn-Ito index of capital account openness (Chinn and Ito, 2006, 2008, and updates). The index is based on information regarding regulatory restrictions on cross-border capital transactions reported in the IMF's *Annual Report on Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions (AREAER)*. Specifically, it is the first standardized principal component of the variables that indicate the presence of multiple exchange rates, restrictions on current account transactions, restrictions on capital account transactions, and the requirement of the surrender of export proceeds (see Chinn and Ito, 2006 and 2008). The index is normalized to range between zero and one. High values indicate more open capital account. The original index is available at http://web.pdx.edu/~ito/Chinn-Ito_website.htm.

Dummies for a U.S.-dollar peg or a DM peg – Data are constructed based on the information in the IMF's *Annual Report on Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions (AREAER)*.

Dummies for former U.K. or French colonies – Data are obtained from the *CIA Factbook*

Currency weights – Estimated as explained in the text with moving 36-month rolling regressions, using monthly data from the IMF's *International Financial Statistics*. Outliers observed for the estimated $\hat{\beta}_{iht}$ due to financial or macroeconomic turbulences are deleted on a monthly basis. Any significantly negative $\hat{\beta}_{iht}$ is assumed to be a missing estimate and a statistically

insignificant negative $\hat{\beta}_{iht}$ is replaced with a value of zero. Likewise, any $\hat{\beta}_{iht}$ that is significantly no greater from the value of one is replaced with the value of one, while $\hat{\beta}_{iht}$ significantly greater than one is replaced with a missing variable. Once outliers are removed and some estimates are replaced with other valued on a monthly basis, they are annually averaged to create annual data series.

Currency zone trade share – See the text.

**Appendix Table 1-1: Determinants of Export Invoicing Currency,
U.K. Pound and French Franc:
Using Data for Non-Major Currency Economies, 1970-1998**

	U.K. POUND			FRENCH FRANC		
	Random (1)	Fixed (2)	PCSE w. weights (3)	Random (1)	Fixed (2)	PCSE w. weights (3)
Share of export	0.503 (0.069)***	0.558 (0.096)***	0.670 (0.063)***	0.376 (0.071)***	0.328 (0.094)***	0.497 (0.049)***
Commodity export (%)	0.036 (0.026)	0.120 (0.040)***	0.012 (0.014)	-0.037 (0.022)*	-0.060 (0.031)*	-0.002 (0.012)
Relative income to U.S.	0.019 (0.014)	0.059 (0.017)***	-0.017 (0.008)**	0.045 (0.016)***	0.045 (0.017)**	0.033 (0.016)**
Exchange rate volatility	-0.008 (0.006)	-0.007 (0.005)	-0.011 (0.008)	-0.008 (0.003)**	-0.009 (0.003)**	-0.000 (0.003)
Inflation rate differential	-0.027 (0.025)	-0.033 (0.024)	-0.046 (0.035)	-0.011 (0.022)	-0.014 (0.022)	0.067 (0.019)***
Financial development	-0.048 (0.026)*	-0.072 (0.028)**	-0.012 (0.014)	-0.035 (0.016)**	-0.036 (0.018)**	-0.023 (0.014)*
Financial openness	0.002 (0.005)	0.007 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)	-0.000 (0.004)	0.002 (0.004)	-0.010 (0.004)**
Post-Bretton Woods	-0.024 (0.004)***	-0.024 (0.004)***	-0.031 (0.007)***	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)
Pegged to U.S. dollar	-0.000 (0.005)	-0.001 (0.005)	0.000 (0.004)			
Pegged to DM	-0.009 (0.005)*	-0.005 (0.005)	0.010 (0.005)**	0.013 (0.003)***	0.012 (0.003)***	0.031 (0.003)***
EU membership	-0.027 (0.018)		-0.028 (0.011)***	0.022 (0.015)		-0.014 (0.011)
Former U.K. colony	-0.027 (0.018)		-0.014 (0.010)	0.017 (0.016)		0.002 (0.009)
Former French colony						
East Asia & Pacific	-0.022 (0.019)		-0.005 (0.008)	0.024 (0.017)		0.018 (0.009)*
<i>N</i>	145	145	145	82	82	82
# of economies	18	18	18	15	15	15
Overall R2	0.78	0.64	.	0.82	0.77	.
W/in R2	0.53	0.57	.	0.55	0.55	.
B/w R2	0.86	0.72	.	0.82	0.65	.

Note: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$. The estimate for the constant term is omitted from presentation to conserve space. Due to data availability, the ending year for the estimations on the share of French franc is 1995.

**Appendix Table 1-2: Determinants of Export Invoicing Currency,
Italian Lira and Swiss Franc:
Using Data for Non-Major Currency Economies, 1970-1998**

	ITALIAN LIRA			SWISS FRANC		
	Random (1)	Fixed (2)	PCSE w. weights (3)	Random (1)	Fixed (2)	PCSE w. weights (3)
Share of export	0.170 (0.023)***	0.252 (0.082)***	0.195 (0.025)***	1.199 (0.082)***	0.477 (0.078)***	0.833 (0.146)***
Commodity export (%)	-0.011 (0.008)	-0.040 (0.030)	-0.000 (0.007)	0.080 (0.026)***	0.075 (0.033)**	0.065 (0.016)***
Relative income to U.S.	-0.002 (0.009)	-0.012 (0.016)	-0.009 (0.007)	0.077 (0.038)**	0.015 (0.020)	0.049 (0.024)**
Exchange rate volatility	0.003 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)	0.005 (0.004)	0.011 (0.009)	0.000 (0.004)	0.005 (0.005)
Inflation rate differential	0.023 (0.014)	0.018 (0.016)	0.027 (0.013)**	0.002 (0.034)	-0.002 (0.015)	0.010 (0.012)
Financial development	-0.006 (0.014)	0.001 (0.018)	-0.005 (0.007)	-0.105 (0.053)**	0.021 (0.033)	-0.019 (0.028)
Financial openness	-0.008 (0.002)***	-0.007 (0.003)**	-0.006 (0.001)***	0.004 (0.005)	0.005 (0.010)	-0.001 (0.005)
Post-Bretton Woods	0.004 (0.002)**	0.004 (0.002)*	0.005 (0.002)**	-0.001 (0.003)	-0.003 (0.001)*	-0.003 (0.002)
Pegged to U.S. dollar						
Pegged to DM	0.004 (0.001)***	0.002 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)**	0.011 (0.003)***	0.002 (0.003)	0.009 (0.003)***
EU membership	0.001 (0.005)		-0.002 (0.003)	-0.076 (0.010)***		-0.043 (0.011)***
Former U.K. colony						
Former French colony						
East Asia & Pacific						
<i>N</i>	55	55	55	51	51	51
# of economies	9	9	9	7	7	7
Overall R2	0.88	0.69	.	0.90	0.42	.
W/in R2	0.57	0.60	.	0.63	0.74	.
B/w R2	0.97	0.78	.	0.96	0.52	.

Notes: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$. Due to data availability, the ending years for the estimations on the shares of Italian lira and Swiss franc are 1995 and 1992, respectively.

**Appendix Table 2: Determinants of *Import Invoicing Currency*:
Using Data for Non-Major Currency Economies, 1970-1998**

	JAPANESE YEN			DEUTSCHE MARK			U.S. DOLLAR		
	Random	Fixed	Random	Random	Fixed	PCSE w. weights	Random	Fixed	PCSE w. weights
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Share of import	0.107 (0.065)	0.051 (0.107)	0.049 (0.079)	1.023 (0.060)***	1.067 (0.098)***	1.107 (0.064)***	0.811 (0.176)***	0.638 (0.239)***	0.754 (0.117)***
Commodity import (%)	-0.071 (0.039)*	-0.007 (0.089)	-0.020 (0.029)	0.024 (0.017)	0.030 (0.022)	0.026 (0.008)***	0.545 (0.048)***	0.567 (0.055)***	0.465 (0.025)***
Relative income to US	0.016 (0.022)	0.106 (0.052)**	0.021 (0.015)	0.007 (0.014)	-0.005 (0.019)	0.010 (0.006)	-0.030 (0.051)	0.022 (0.059)	-0.225 (0.045)**
Exchange rate volatility	-0.002 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.009)	-0.000 (0.004)	-0.003 (0.004)	-0.003 (0.005)	0.005 (0.007)	0.013 (0.014)	0.014 (0.013)	0.015 (0.014)
Inflation rate differential	-0.067 (0.030)**	-0.077 (0.073)	-0.079 (0.029)***	0.036 (0.027)	0.035 (0.028)	0.086 (0.030)***	0.183 (0.078)**	0.196 (0.086)**	0.053 (0.130)
Financial development	-0.091 (0.030)***	-0.105 (0.057)*	-0.050 (0.023)**	0.038 (0.022)*	0.052 (0.026)*	0.010 (0.011)	-0.160 (0.047)***	-0.166 (0.047)***	-0.076 (0.060)
Financial openness	-0.053 (0.013)***	-0.071 (0.019)***	-0.035 (0.010)***	-0.031 (0.007)***	-0.033 (0.008)***	-0.009 (0.008)	0.019 (0.024)	0.029 (0.025)	-0.024 (0.022)
Post-Bretton Woods				0.004 (0.005)	0.006 (0.006)	0.003 (0.003)	0.099 (0.016)***	0.090 (0.016)***	0.113 (0.016)***
Pegged to U.S. dollar	-0.030 (0.008)***	-0.032 (0.009)***	-0.017 (0.005)***	-0.005 (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.004 (0.003)	0.055 (0.016)***	0.055 (0.016)***	0.037 (0.030)
Pegged to DM	-0.019 (0.009)**	-0.004 (0.022)	-0.019 (0.005)***	-0.010 (0.006)*	-0.009 (0.007)	-0.026 (0.006)***	0.026 (0.017)	0.031 (0.017)*	0.021 (0.013)
EU membership	-0.004 (0.017)		-0.036 (0.023)	0.005 (0.016)		0.010 (0.012)	-0.284 (0.074)***		-0.366 (0.065)***
Former U.K. colony	-0.004 (0.013)		-0.026 (0.017)	0.026 (0.016)		0.030 (0.013)**	-0.185 (0.084)**		-0.110 (0.051)**
Former French colony	-0.049 (0.020)**		-0.064 (0.023)***				-0.194 (0.116)*		-0.332 (0.055)***
East Asia & Pacific	0.040 (0.015)***		0.030 (0.012)**	0.026 (0.017)		0.034 (0.014)**	-0.001 (0.077)		-0.020 (0.049)
<i>N</i>	86	86	86	114	114	114	174	174	174
# of economies	16	16	16	18	18	18	29	29	29
Overall R2	0.83	0.03	.	0.95	0.94	.	0.87	0.48	.
W/in R2	0.60	0.67	.	0.68	0.68	.	0.70	0.70	.
B/w R2	0.82	0.03	.	0.98	0.96	.	0.72	0.52	.

Notes: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$. The starting year for the Japanese Yen share estimation is 1976 due to data availability. The estimate for the constant term is omitted from presentation to conserve space.

References

- Bacchetta, Philippe and Eric Van Wincoop. 2005. "A Theory of the Currency Denomination of International Trade," *Journal of International Economics* 67(2): 295-319.
- Bank of International Settlements. 2013. *Triennial Central Bank Survey on Foreign Exchange Turnover in April 2013: Preliminary Global Results*, Basel, available at www.bis.org
- Bank of International Settlements. 2010. *Triennial Central Bank Survey on Foreign Exchange*, Basel, available at www.bis.org
- Beck, Thorsten, Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, and Ross Levine. 2001. "A new database on financial development and structure," Policy Research Paper No. 2147 (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).
- Bénassy-Quéré, A., B. Coeuré, and V. Mignon. 2006. "On the Identification of De Facto Currency Pegs", *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, vol 20, pp 112–27.
- Chinn, M.D. and H. Ito. 2006. "What Matters for Financial Development? Capital Controls, Institutions, and Interactions," *Journal of Development Economics* 81 (1), 163–192.
- Chinn, M.D. and H. Ito. 2007. "Current Account Balances, Financial Development and Institutions: Assaying the World 'Savings Glut'," *Journal of International Money and Finance*, Volume 26, Issue 4, p. 546-569 (June 2007),
- Chinn, M.D. and H. Ito. 2008. "A New Measure of Financial Openness." *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*, Volume 10, Issue 3 (September): 309-22.
- Donnefeld, Shabtai and Alfred Huag. 2003. "Currency Invoicing in International Trade: an Empirical Investigation," *Review of International Economics* 11: 332-345.
- Donnefeld, S. and I. Zilcha. 1991. "Pricing of Exports and Exchange Rate Uncertainty." *International Economic Review* 32 (4): 1009–1022.
- Eichengreen, B. 2011. *Exorbitant Privilege: The Rise and Fall of the Dollar and the Future of the International Monetary System*. Oxford University Press, January 2011.
- European Central Bank. 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008. "International Role of the Euro." Frankfurt: European Central Bank (July).
- European Central Bank. 2007. "Review of the International Role of the Euro." Frankfurt: European Central Bank (June).
- European Central Bank. 2005. "Review of the International Role of the Euro." Frankfurt: European Central Bank (January).
- Frankel, J. 2011. "Historical Precedents for Internationalization of the RMB," A CGS/IIGG Working Paper. Washington, D.C.: Council on Foreign Relations.
- Frankel, J. and S. J. Wei. 1996. "Yen Bloc or Dollar Bloc? Exchange Rate Policies in East Asian Economies", in T. Ito and A Krueger, eds., *Macroeconomic Linkage: Savings, Exchange Rates, and Capital Flows*, University of Chicago Press, pp 295–329.
- Bénassy-Quéré, A., B. Coeuré, and V. Mignon. 2006. "On the Identification of De Facto Currency Pegs", *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 20, pp 112–27.
- Fukuda, Shin-ichi and Ji Cong. 1994. "On the Choice of Invoice Currency by Japanese Exporters: The PTM Approach." *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 8, pp 511–529.
- Goldberg, Linda and Cédric Tille. 2005. "Vehicle Currency Use in International Trade," NBER Working Paper #11127. Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.

- Goldberg, Linda and Cédric Tille. 2008. "Vehicle Currency Use in International Trade," *Journal of International Economics*, 76(2) (January): 177-192.
- Goldberg, Linda and Cédric Tille. 2009. "Macroeconomic Inter-dependence and the International Role of the Dollar," *Journal of Monetary Economics* Volume 56:7, October 21.
- Goldberg, Linda and Cédric Tille. 2010. "What Drives the Invoicing of International Trade," Voxeu.org column.
- Grassman, S. 1973. "A Fundamental Symmetry in International Payments Patterns," *Journal of International Economics*, May, 3(2), pp. 105-16.
- Haldane, A. and S. Hall. 1991. "Sterling's Relationship with the Dollar and the Deutschmark: 1976-89," *Economic Journal*, 101:406 (May).
- Ito, H. and M. Chinn. 2015. "The Rise of the 'Redback' and China's Capital Account Liberalization: An Empirical Analysis on the Determinants of Invoicing Currencies." In Eichengreen, B. and M. Kawai, eds., *Renminbi Internationalization: Achievements, Prospects, and Challenges* (January 2015).
- Ito, H. and C. Rodriguez. 2015. "Clamoring for Greenbacks: Explaining the Resurgence of the US Dollar in International Debt," RIETI Working Paper 15-E-119 (October 2015).
- Ito, H., R. McCauley, T. Chan. 2015. "Emerging Market Currency Composition of Reserves, Denomination of Trade and Currency Movements," Forthcoming in *Emerging Markets Review* (October 2015).
- Ito, Takatoshi. 2011. "The Internationalization of the RMB: Opportunities and Pitfalls," CGS/IIGG Working Paper. Washington, D.C.: Council on Foreign Relations.
- Kamps, A. 2006. "The Euro as Invoicing Currency in International Trade," European Central Bank Working Paper Series No. 665. European Central Bank (August).
- Kawai, Masahiro. 1996. "The Japanese Yen as an International Currency: Performance and Prospects." In Ryuzo Sato, Rama V. Ramachandran, and Hajime Hori, eds., *Organization, Performance and Equity: Perspectives on the Japanese Economy* (Boston, London, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers), pp. 305-355.
- Kawai, M. and S. Akiyama. 1998. "The role of Nominal Anchor Currencies in Exchange Rate Arrangements", *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, vol. 12, pp 334-87.
- Kawai, M. and V. Pontines. 2015. "The renminbi and exchange rate regimes in Asia", in B. Eichengreen and M. Kawai, eds., *Renminbi Internationalization: achievements, prospects, and challenges*, Brookings Institution Press and the Asian Development Bank Institute, pp159-204.
- Kenen, P. 1983. "The Role of the Dollar as an International Reserve Currency." Occasional Papers No. 13, Group of Thirty.
- Kose, M. A., E. Prasad, K. Rogoff, and S. J. Wei, 2006, "Financial Globalization: A Reappraisal." IMF Working Paper, WP/06/189. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- McKinnon, R. 1979. *Money in international exchange*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Oi, H., A. Otani, and T. Shirota. 2004. "The Choice of Invoice Currency in International Trade: Implications for the Internationalization of the Yen," *Monetary and Economic Studies* 22 (1): 27-63.
- Page, S. A. B. 1977. "The currency of Invoicing in Merchandise Trade. *National Institute Economic Review* 81 :77-8 1.

- Page, S. A. B. 1981. The choice of invoicing currency in merchandise trade. *National Institute Economic Review* 98: 60–72.
- People’s Bank of China. 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010. *Annual Report*. Beijing: People’s Bank of China.
- Prasad, E. and L. Ye. 2012. “The Reminbi’s Role in the Global Monetary System,” IZA Discussion Papers 6335, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
- Sasaki, Y. N. 2002. “Pricing-to-Market Behavior: Japanese Exports to the US, Asia, and the EU.” *Review of International Economics* 10 (1): 140–150.
- Subramanian, A., Kessler, M. 2012. “The Renminbi Bloc is Here: Asia Down, Rest of the World to Go?” Peterson Institute for International Economics Working Paper WP 12-19, October.
- Takagi, Shinji. 2009. “Internationalizing the Yen, 1984–2000: Unfinished Agenda or Mission Impossible,” paper presented at the BoK-BIS Seminar on Currency Internationalization: Lessons from the Global Financial Crisis and Prospects for the Future in Asia and the Pacific.
- Tavlas, George S. 1991. “On the International Use of Currencies: The Case of the Deutsche Mark.” *Essays in International Finance* 181. Princeton University.
- Tavlas, George S. and Yuzuru Ozeki. 1992. “The Internationalization of Currencies: An Appraisal of the Japanese Yen.” *IMF Occasional Paper* 90. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund (January).
- Yu, Yongding. 2013. “How Far Internationalization of the RMB can Go?” mimeo. Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS).
- Yu, Yongding. 2012. “Revisiting the Internationalization of the Yuan,” ADBI Working Paper #366 (Julu). Tokyo: Asian Development Bank Institute.

Table 1: Shares of Home and Major Currencies for Export Invoicing in Europe and Asia-Oceania

(a) European countries						
Germany	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home	84.1	82.6	81.5	74.7	61.0	64.6
USD	6.5	7.2	7.4			25.6
DM/EURO	84.1	82.6	81.5	74.7	61.0	64.6
YEN		0.0	0.5	0.9		
Belgium	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home	46.0	44.2			54.8	56.6
USD	11.9	12.5				
DM/EURO	18.1	17.9			54.8	56.6
YEN						
Denmark	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home	47.0	51.0				18.3
USD	16.0	16.0				33.7
DM/EURO	8.0	12.0				22.3
YEN						
France	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home	59.4	62.4	62.4	51.7	49.8	48.4
USD	10.3	11.6	14.8	18.6	37.4	38.5
DM/EURO	10.6	10.2	9.5	10.5	49.8	48.4
YEN				1.0		
Italy	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home	50.7	36.0	38.0	40.0	58.3	72.6
USD	28.3	30.0	20.0	21.0		24.3
DM/EURO			18.0	18.0	58.3	72.6
YEN		0.1	0.4	0.6		
Netherlands	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home	44.2	42.9	45.7	43.8		56.4
USD	13.2	19	16.9	20.6		37.6
DM/EURO	20.4		18.6	18.5		56.4
YEN			0.3	0.6		
U.K.	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home		76.0	57	61.6		51.1
USD		17.0	26	23.1		28.8
DM/EURO		3.0	4.0	4.0		3.1
YEN			0.5	1.1		0.4

(b) Asia-Oceania countries						
Japan	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home	8.7	24.8	33.4	37.6	38.85	39.4
USD	82.8	70.7	55.2	51.5	49.15	50.35
DM/EURO	1.3	1.6	3.0	2.4	8.35	5.45
YEN	8.7	24.8	33.4	37.6	38.85	39.4
Australia	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home					23.5	13.7
USD		70.0			72.6	84
DM/EURO		1.0			1.1	1
YEN					0.7	0.3
New Zealand	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home					26.5	22.0
USD					48.9	59.0
DM/EURO					7.8	5.4
YEN					2.7	1.9
India	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home				1.8	1.9	
USD				80.9	85.8	88.41
DM/EURO				5.4	7.6	7.0
YEN				0.5	0.5	0.15
Indonesia	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home				0.0	0.6	0.8
USD				94.3	91.3	93.3
DM/EURO				0.5	1.8	1.3
YEN				1.5	2.1	1.4
Korea	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home				0.1	0.5	2.2
USD		98.8	91.7	88.0	82.9	85.1
DM/EURO		0.7	1.2	2.4	8.5	5.5
YEN		0.2	5.7	7.3	5.6	4.3
Thailand	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
Home				2.4	6.8	10.0
USD				91.0	81.7	79.7
DM/EURO				0.5	2.6	2.2
YEN				4.1	6.4	5.9

**Table 2: Determinants of Major Currency Shares for Export Invoicing,
Japanese Yen, Deutsche Mark, and the U.S. Dollar:
Using Data for Non-Major Currency Economies, 1970-1998**

	JAPANESE YEN			GERMAN DEUTSCHE MARK			U.S. DOLLAR		
	Random Effects (1)	Fixed Effects (2)	PCSE w/ weights (3)	Random Effects (4)	Fixed Effects (5)	PCSE w/ weights (6)	Random Effects (7)	Fixed Effects (8)	PCSE w/ weights (9)
Share of export	0.112 (0.047)**	0.163 (0.053)***	-0.027 (0.039)	0.511 (0.056)***	0.461 (0.061)***	0.912 (0.060)***	0.123 (0.110)	-0.438 (0.128)***	0.313 (0.256)
Commodity export (%)	-0.039 (0.030)	-0.078 (0.045)*	-0.036 (0.012)***	-0.047 (0.028)*	-0.060 (0.036)	-0.100 (0.022)***	0.243 (0.089)***	0.040 (0.156)	0.169 (0.079)**
Relative income to U.S.	0.071 (0.019)***	0.121 (0.026)***	0.033 (0.005)***	0.017 (0.014)	0.022 (0.015)	-0.015 (0.011)	-0.356 (0.055)***	-0.508 (0.074)***	-0.355 (0.068)***
Exchange rate volatility	-0.000 (0.004)	-0.001 (0.004)	0.000 (0.003)	0.008 (0.004)**	0.008 (0.004)**	0.007 (0.007)	-0.005 (0.019)	-0.004 (0.016)	0.023 (0.030)
Inflation rate differential	-0.033 (0.026)	-0.055 (0.031)*	-0.049 (0.012)***	-0.029 (0.021)	-0.026 (0.021)	0.099 (0.042)**	0.068 (0.093)	0.222 (0.105)**	0.173 (0.208)
Financial development	-0.072 (0.014)***	-0.044 (0.019)**	-0.041 (0.007)***	-0.024 (0.023)	-0.019 (0.024)	-0.014 (0.020)	-0.272 (0.065)***	-0.197 (0.065)***	-0.294 (0.092)***
Financial openness	-0.021 (0.008)**	-0.014 (0.009)	-0.012 (0.006)**	0.007 (0.006)	0.009 (0.006)	-0.004 (0.009)	-0.105 (0.026)***	-0.091 (0.026)***	-0.119 (0.025)***
Post-Bretton Woods				0.004 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	0.004 (0.002)**	0.000 (0.017)	-0.013 (0.016)	-0.010 (0.027)
Pegged to U.S. dollar	-0.020 (0.004)***	-0.020 (0.004)***	-0.009 (0.003)***	-0.003 (0.004)	-0.002 (0.004)	-0.002 (0.004)	0.018 (0.024)	0.016 (0.022)	0.007 (0.032)
Pegged to DM	-0.007 (0.011)	-0.005 (0.011)	-0.003 (0.004)	0.002 (0.004)	0.001 (0.004)	0.017 (0.006)***	0.073 (0.017)***	0.068 (0.016)***	0.060 (0.016)***
EU membership	-0.029 (0.025)		-0.041 (0.011)***	0.042 (0.022)*		0.014 (0.017)	-0.368 (0.053)***		-0.329 (0.057)***
Former U.K. colony	-0.032 (0.023)		-0.025 (0.011)**	0.010 (0.023)		0.045 (0.010)***	-0.025 (0.056)		-0.022 (0.036)
Former French colony	-0.009 (0.027)		-0.027 (0.013)**				-0.406 (0.087)***		-0.335 (0.056)***
East Asia & Pacific	0.023 (0.021)		0.013 (0.011)	0.003 (0.023)		0.032 (0.007)***	0.127 (0.052)**		0.216 (0.062)***
N	90	90	90	146	146	146	207	207	207
# of economies	17	17	17	19	19	19	29	29	29
Overall R2	0.65	0.03	.	0.87	0.83	.	0.93	0.10	.
W/in R2	0.73	0.74	.	0.48	0.48	.	0.49	0.56	.
B/w R2	0.33	0.00	.	0.83	0.77	.	0.85	0.07	.

Notes: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$. The starting year for the yen share estimation is 1976 due to data availability. The estimate for the constant term is not reported.

Table 3: Estimated Major Currency Weights in Implicit Currency Baskets in Europe and Asia-Oceania

(a) European countries

Germany	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DM-FF/ EURO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UKP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YEN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Belgium	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	40.0	1.7	4.9	19.8	0.0	0.0
DM-FF/ EURO	16.9	97.8	93.9	80.0	100.0	100.0
UKP	24.1	0.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
YEN	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Denmark	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	63.7	14.1	10.7	13.4	3.9	0.7
DM-FF/ EURO	0.0	84.9	89.3	86.6	96.1	99.3
UKP	20.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YEN	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
France	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DM-FF/ EURO	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UKP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YEN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Italy	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	84.9	89.1	14.8	28.2	0.0	0.0
DM-FF/ EURO	3.8	8.0	85.2	0.0	100.0	100.0
UKP	0.0	2.9	0.0	71.8	0.0	0.0
YEN	11.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Netherlands	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	68.8	5.1	6.0	6.5	0.0	0.0
DM-FF/ EURO	6.8	94.6	91.2	93.5	100.0	100.0
UKP	0.0	0.3	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
YEN	24.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
U.K.	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DM-FF/ EURO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
UKP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YEN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

(b) Asia-Oceania countries

Japan	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DM-FF/ EURO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
UKP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YEN	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Australia	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	24.3	97.7	65.2	98.7	77.5	11.5
DM-FF/ EURO	3.1	0.7	32.2	0.0	22.5	83.9
UKP	64.9	0.5	1.2	1.3	0.0	4.6
YEN	7.7	1.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Zealand	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	25.2	80.4	94.4	93.5	45.4	11.3
DM-FF/ EURO	2.2	0.0	0.0	5.5	54.6	71.3
UKP	56.2	13.5	5.6	0.0	0.0	17.4
YEN	16.4	6.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
India	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	4.5	39.3	64.2	100.0	84.1	64.2
DM-FF/ EURO	7.8	8.3	6.4	0.0	0.4	35.8
UKP	87.7	52.4	29.4	0.0	0.4	0.0
YEN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.2	0.0
Indonesia	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	90.0	97.3	100.0	99.6	91.8	57.0
DM-FF/ EURO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	37.7
UKP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4
YEN	10.0	2.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Korea	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	100.0	100.0	95.7	98.8	47.8	17.3
DM-FF/ EURO	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	82.7
UKP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YEN	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	52.2	0.0
Thailand	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	100.0	100.0	98.8	80.8	66.5	90.4
DM-FF/ EURO	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.2	0.0
UKP	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0
YEN	0.0	0.0	0.7	11.0	26.3	9.6

Table 4: Trade Shares with Major-Currency-Zone Countries in Europe and Asia-Oceania

(a) European countries

Germany	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	55.22	38.40	28.75	37.67	29.15	23.03
DM-FF/ EURO	16.60	38.38	44.01	30.28	58.48	66.77
UKP	7.55	7.57	9.88	16.84	7.39	7.20
YEN	7.41	2.23	5.54	4.71	3.85	1.86
Belgium	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	37.31	24.88	18.92	22.71	20.11	19.19
DM-FF/ EURO	46.82	62.89	66.09	55.84	68.84	70.97
UKP	7.39	8.91	9.69	14.84	7.61	7.04
YEN	6.51	1.28	2.74	2.12	2.66	1.68
Denmark	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	48.87	28.82	26.54	33.48	19.58	20.75
DM-FF/ EURO	20.92	47.40	46.08	41.97	68.17	67.80
UKP	17.54	14.00	12.82	15.69	7.58	8.51
YEN	6.65	2.33	6.93	3.73	2.61	1.37
France	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	44.22	40.56	25.93	33.72	24.08	21.99
DM-FF/ EURO	26.52	34.97	44.75	29.20	63.41	68.28
UKP	8.14	7.76	9.26	19.98	7.25	6.78
YEN	4.86	1.61	3.86	3.20	2.65	1.78
Italy	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	41.81	36.44	28.18	36.13	30.91	27.64
DM-FF/ EURO	38.85	47.06	51.47	44.68	59.11	63.16
UKP	6.60	6.23	7.65	10.26	5.61	5.19
YEN	3.33	1.38	3.32	2.85	2.85	1.91
Netherlands	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	29.33	28.11	20.38	27.08	26.88	24.89
DM-FF/ EURO	40.84	44.01	48.58	40.37	60.32	63.63
UKP	8.19	8.78	10.24	15.78	7.80	8.13
YEN	2.52	1.44	3.17	2.75	2.92	1.76
U.K.	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	59.23	46.69	35.26	39.94	31.72	29.30
DM-FF/ EURO	14.21	40.30	48.43	37.39	57.53	63.38
UKP	12.02	2.77	2.82	7.82	0.17	0.87
YEN	6.65	2.71	5.76	4.78	4.11	1.86

(b) Asia-Oceania countries

Japan	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	70.18	74.45	72.49	76.08	72.19	66.99
DM-FF/ EURO	5.48	13.75	13.89	9.93	14.65	25.41
UKP	12.72	3.80	4.17	5.69	2.07	2.52
YEN	2.08	0.67	1.64	1.34	5.31	0.50
Australia	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	38.38	46.28	46.75	56.03	52.05	52.80
DM-FF/ EURO	8.29	14.83	14.94	9.24	19.21	23.97
UKP	22.48	9.44	7.04	8.46	3.82	4.73
YEN	25.26	22.52	23.82	19.93	20.59	13.82
New Zealand	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	32.98	51.17	45.11	60.95	57.43	50.31
DM-FF/ EURO	6.45	11.63	18.03	7.85	20.56	34.30
UKP	41.97	16.20	10.61	9.15	3.91	4.94
YEN	13.49	14.43	18.89	15.60	14.01	7.01
India	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	46.58	46.56	42.23	57.78	50.99	65.41
DM-FF/ EURO	8.81	18.46	19.82	13.59	21.36	26.39
UKP	14.12	9.93	8.67	9.95	3.72	3.36
YEN	11.71	9.32	12.16	7.78	5.61	2.88
Indonesia	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	28.53	38.84	40.91	53.50	55.24	53.83
DM-FF/ EURO	7.06	13.85	12.06	11.13	14.57	25.97
UKP	8.03	1.97	2.37	5.29	1.43	2.60
YEN	45.14	40.89	39.62	26.25	25.77	14.41
Korea	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	47.68	53.52	53.08	60.59	65.23	64.65
DM-FF/ EURO	4.93	12.02	11.75	8.95	14.06	19.14
UKP	6.80	3.74	3.44	4.70	1.75	2.39
YEN	35.74	28.87	26.07	19.53	14.67	9.94
Thailand	1972	1979	1987	1995	2005	2012
USD	38.65	44.39	49.32	47.20	58.63	54.31
DM-FF/ EURO	7.98	22.75	16.30	9.22	13.74	23.54
UKP	12.43	3.78	4.28	4.19	1.95	3.02
YEN	32.70	24.18	24.38	23.27	21.31	15.47

**Table 5: Effects of the Estimated Currency Weight and the Trade Share with Major-Currency-Zone Countries on the Share of Major Currency Used for Trade Invoicing in 1970 – 1998:
Using Data for Non-Major Currency Economies**

	Japanese Yen	German DM	U.S. Dollar
<i>Currency Weight</i>	(1)	(2)	(3)
<i>U.S. Dollar</i>	0.012 (0.017)	-0.013 (0.006)**	0.031 (0.027)
DM	-0.008 (0.010)	0.012 (0.007)**	-0.017 (0.028)
Japanese Yen	0.029 (0.065)	0.040 (0.015)***	0.027 (0.066)
	Japanese Yen	German DM	U.S. Dollar
<i>Trade Share with Major-Currency-Zone Countries</i>	(1)	(2)	(3)
<i>U.S. Dollar</i>	0.042 (0.036)	-0.027 (0.016)*	0.237 (0.073)***
DM	-0.020 (0.033)	0.025 (0.024)	-0.352 (0.107)***
Japanese Yen	-0.241 (0.078)***	-0.022 (0.035)	-0.529 (0.171)***

Note: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$.

**Table 6: Tests of Similarity in the Determinants of Major-Currency Shares for Export Invoicing:
Using Data for Non-Major Currency Economies**

			U.S. Dollar	Japanese Yen	Deutsche Mark	U.K. Pound	French Franc	Italian Lira	Swiss Franc
<i>1</i>	<i>Seven</i>	Chi Sq.	2430.58	281.92	14.79	13.34	7.32	3.47	3.55
	<i>Currencies</i>	<i>p</i> -value	0.000***	0.000***	0.063*	0.101	0.396	0.901	0.895
<i>2</i>	<i>Six</i>	Chi Sq.		318.97	114.41	87.31	14.30	14.45	4.42
	<i>Currencies</i>	<i>p</i> -value		0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.046**	0.071	0.817
<i>3</i>	<i>Five</i>	Chi Sq.			90.75	63.89	42.12	32.94	2.91
	<i>Currencies</i>	<i>p</i> -value			0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.940
<i>4</i>	<i>Four</i>	Chi Sq.				71.34	29.41	7.00	30.20
	<i>Currencies</i>	<i>p</i> -value				0.000***	0.000***	0.536	0.000***

Note: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$.

**Table 7: Determinants of the Major Currency Share for Export Invoicing:
Using Data for Non-Major Currency Economies, 1970-1998**

	U.S. Dollar Against Six Others (1)	Yen Against Five Others (2)	DM Against Four Others (3)
Share of export	0.502 (0.038)***	0.683 (0.016)***	0.615 (0.027)***
Commodity export	-0.008 (0.050)	0.023 (0.017)	0.044 (0.012)***
Relative income to U.S.	0.122 (0.028)***	0.007 (0.011)	-0.008 (0.010)
Exchange rate volatility	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Inflation rate differential	0.054 (0.048)	0.024 (0.019)	0.031 (0.025)
Financial development	0.052 (0.017)***	-0.002 (0.018)	0.032 (0.019)
Financial openness	-0.019 (0.008)**	-0.017 (0.003)***	-0.007 (0.003)**
Post-Bretton Woods	-0.009 (0.008)	-0.005 (0.003)	-0.016 (0.003)***
Trade share with USD zone	-0.120 (0.042)***	0.010 (0.015)	0.015 (0.015)
Peg to DM	0.023 (0.009)**	0.000 (0.003)	0.005 (0.003)*
Currency dummy (CD)	0.196 (0.039)***	-0.029 (0.017)*	0.093 (0.020)***
CD x trade share	0.451 (0.089)***	-0.501 (0.044)***	0.001 (0.049)
CD x commodity trade	0.387 (0.038)***	-0.094 (0.018)***	-0.118 (0.018)***
CD x relative income	-0.653 (0.028)***	0.040 (0.014)***	0.003 (0.014)
CD x exchange rate volatility	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)*	-0.000 (0.000)
CD x inflation rate differential	0.040 (0.078)	-0.068 (0.033)**	0.041 (0.047)
CD x financial development	-0.110 (0.048)**	-0.077 (0.023)***	-0.046 (0.032)
CD x financial openness	-0.048 (0.018)***	0.001 (0.009)	0.004 (0.008)
CD x Trade share with USD zone	0.760 (0.051)***	0.044 (0.022)**	-0.121 (0.024)***
<i>N</i>	776	569	479
# of economies	32	26	20
Overall R2	0.94	0.82	0.87
W/in R2	0.94	0.83	0.87
B/w R2	0.91	0.63	0.86
F-stat, Int.	2430.58	318.97	90.75
F-stat, p	0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$. The estimates on the dummies for EU, former British or French colonies, and East Asia are not shown to conserve space.


**Table 8: Determinants of the Major Currency Share, Excluding the U.S. Dollar Share,
for Trade Invoicing:
Using Data for Major Currency Countries, 1970-1998**

	EXPORT INVOICING		IMPORT INVOICING	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Share of export / import (%)	4.248 (0.513)***	4.313 (0.507)***	3.481 (0.386)***	3.586 (0.393)***
Commodity export / import (%)	1.100 (0.171)***	1.100 (0.168)***	-0.563 (0.060)***	-0.539 (0.062)***
Relative income to U.S.	0.771 (0.125)***	0.742 (0.124)***	0.243 (0.064)***	0.264 (0.066)***
Exchange rate volatility	0.002 (0.036)	0.019 (0.036)	-0.026 (0.023)	-0.017 (0.024)
Inflation rate differential	0.066 (0.239)	0.034 (0.236)	0.159 (0.149)	0.145 (0.149)
Financial development (FD)	-0.391 (0.116)***	-0.395 (0.115)***	-0.182 (0.066)***	-0.196 (0.067)***
Financial openness (FO)	0.113 (0.041)***	0.400 (0.152)***	0.035 (0.028)	0.164 (0.104)
FD x FO		0.796 (0.407)*		0.347 (0.270)
Post-Bretton Woods	0.103 (0.036)***	0.127 (0.037)***	0.018 (0.022)	0.027 (0.023)
Trade share with USD zone	-0.425 (0.099)***	-0.369 (0.102)***	-0.204 (0.075)***	-0.185 (0.076)**
Pegged to DM	-0.141 (0.024)***	-0.138 (0.024)***	0.014 (0.016)	0.017 (0.016)
Constant	-0.390 (0.169)**	-0.431 (0.168)**	0.210 (0.101)**	0.154 (0.109)
<i>N</i>	109	109	99	99
# of economies	6	6	6	6
Overall R2	0.88	0.88	0.92	0.93
W/in R2	0.32	0.37	0.67	0.68
B/w R2	0.89	0.86	0.99	0.99


Notes: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$. "Major currencies" include yen, DM, FF, UKP, SF, and IL.

Figure 1: Shares of Major Currencies Used for Trade Invoicing in Japan and Germany


(a) Japan



(b) Germany



Japan -- import invoicing



Germany -- import invoicing






Figure 2: Major Currency Share and Export Share for Major-Currency Country's Trade Partners


(a) Export share with Japan and yen invoicing



(c) Export share with Germany and DM invoicing



(b) Export share with the U.S. and U.S. dollar invoicing



(d) Export share with the Euro Area and euro invoicing




Figure 3-1: Yen Invoicing and the Export Share with Japan by Several Trade Partners of Japan, 1970 – 2013




Figure 3-2: DM Invoicing and the Export Share with Germany by Several Trade Partners of Germany, 1970 – 1999




Figure 3-3: Euro Invoicing and the Export Share with the Euro Area by Trade Partners of the Euro Area, 1999 – 2013




Figure 3-3 (cont'd)




Figure 4: Home Currency Invoicing and the World Export Share, Average 2009-13




Figure 5: Home Currency Invoicing for Export and Import: Japan, Germany, and China

