

The Hille-Yosida theorem

→ provides the theoretical framework to the linear initial-value problems set in Hilbert spaces

For functions evolving in time & space, $u(x,t)$, at any fixed time can view $u(\cdot, t) \in H$ where H denotes an appropriate Sobolev space (H^0, H^1, \dots) Thus the theory will provide the framework to the existence, uniqueness, and regularity of the solutions to PDEs (iBVP).

Let H Hilbert space w.r.t. (\cdot, \cdot) .

Definition Let $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ a linear unbounded operator. A is called monotone if

$$(Av, v) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in D(A)$$

A is called maximal monotone if in addition

$$R(I+A) = H$$

that is $\forall f \in H$, there is $u \in D(A): u + Au = f$

Remarks: Notice that we do not require A to be a continuous (bounded) operator.

For example, the Laplacian operator $A = -\Delta$:

$$-\Delta: H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

Here $D(-\Delta) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ is viewed as a subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$. The Hilbert space is $H = L^2(\Omega)$.

$-\Delta$ is unbounded operator w.r.t. L^2 -norm since there is no constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$$

Example in $L^2(0, \pi)$ $u_k = (\sin kx) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$

$$u_k'' = -k^2 (\sin kx) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

$$\|u_k\|_{L^2}^2 = 1 \quad \|u_k''\|_{L^2}^2 = k^4, \quad \text{thus } \|u_k''\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty.$$

$-\Delta$ is monotone operator on $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} -(\Delta u)u = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 \geq 0.$$

$-\Delta$ is maximal monotone operator since

$$\forall f \in L^2(\Omega), \exists u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega): -\Delta u + u = f$$

Hille-Yosida theorem Let $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ denote a maximal monotone operator in a Hilbert space H .

Then $\forall u_0 \in D(A)$ there is a unique function $u \in C^1([0, \infty); H) \cap C([0, \infty), D(A))$

such that
$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + Au = 0, & t \geq 0 \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

in addition,

$$\begin{cases} \|u(t)\| \leq \|u_0\|, & \forall t \geq 0 \\ \left\| \frac{du}{dt}(t) \right\| = \|Au(t)\| \leq \|Au_0\|, & \forall t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

if A is in addition a self-adjoint operator, then $\forall u_0 \in H$ there is a unique

$$u \in C([0, \infty), H) \cap C^1((0, \infty); H) \cap C((0, \infty); D(A))$$

such that
$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + Au = 0, & t > 0 \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

in this case, the solution satisfies for any $t > 0$: $\|u(t)\| \leq \|u_0\|$ and $\left\| \frac{du}{dt}(t) \right\| = \|Au(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{t} \|u_0\|$

Regularity Define $D(A^k) = \{v \in D(A^{k-1}) : Av \in D(A^{k-1})\}$ for $k \geq 2$.

Then if $u_0 \in D(A^k)$, $u \in C^{k,j}([0, \infty); D(A^j))$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$.

Remark : The major merit of the Hille-Yosida theorem is that it reduces the study of the evolution problem (time-dependent) to the study of the stationary (time-independent) problem $u + \lambda Au = f \quad (\lambda > 0)$

to show that the operator A is max monotone.

Direct application: heat equation:

$$(*) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

$u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ there is a unique function $u(x, t)$ that solves (*) and

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0, \infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \\ u &\in C^1((0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

(more on this later ...)

Properties of maximal monotone operators

Property 1 if A is maximal monotone then

$I + A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is bijection,

$$\forall f \in H : \exists! u \in D(A) : u + Au = f.$$

Proof Only need to show uniqueness (since A is max monotone).

$$\text{Let } w = u_1 - u_2 \text{ then } w + Aw = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (w, w) + (Aw, w) = 0 \Rightarrow \|w\| = 0.$$

Property 2

$T : H \rightarrow H$ defined as $T = (I + A)^{-1}$ is linear and continuous operator

$$Tf = u \quad : \quad u + Au = f \quad u = (I + A)^{-1}f$$

Proof : $(u, u) + (Au, u) = (f, u) \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \|u\|^2 \leq \|f\| \|u\| \Rightarrow \|u\| \leq \|f\|.$$

Thus $\|Tf\| \leq \|f\|$ such that $\|T\| \leq 1$

therefore $\|(I + A)^{-1}\|_{L(H)} \leq 1$

\downarrow
 $\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|$

Property 3 if A is maximal monotone then $D(A)$ is a dense subset of H .

Proof Let $f \in H$ such that $(f, v) = 0, \forall v \in D(A)$
show that then we must have $f = 0$.

since A is max. mon., $\exists u_0 \in D(A) : u_0 + Au_0 = f$

Then $(f, u_0) = (u_0, u_0) + \underbrace{(Au_0, u_0)}_{\geq 0} = 0 \Rightarrow \|u_0\| = 0$
 $\Rightarrow u_0 = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$.

Property 4 if A is maximal monotone then
 $\forall \lambda > 0$, λA is maximal monotone.

(if $(I + A)u = f$ has unique solution then
 $(I + \lambda A)u = f$ has unique solution).

Proof Clearly $\forall \lambda > 0$, λA is monotone.

To prove that λA is max monotone we

show that if $\lambda_0 A$ is max monotone for

some $\lambda_0 > 0$ then λA is maximal monotone

for any $\lambda > \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$

Use Banach fixed point theorem.

$$u + \lambda Au = f \text{ is equivalent to } \left(\cdot, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)$$

$$u + \lambda_0 Au = \frac{1}{\lambda} [\lambda_0 f + (\lambda - \lambda_0)u]$$

Then
$$u = \frac{1}{\lambda} (I + \lambda_0 A)^{-1} [\lambda_0 f + (\lambda - \lambda_0)u]$$

a fixed point problem.

Let
$$T(v) = \frac{1}{\lambda} (I + \lambda_0 A)^{-1} [\lambda_0 f + (\lambda - \lambda_0)v]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(v_1) - T(v_2)\| &\leq \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right| \| (I + \lambda_0 A)^{-1} (v_1 - v_2) \| \leq \\ &\leq \left| 1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \right| \|v_1 - v_2\| \end{aligned}$$

T is contraction if $\left| 1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \right| < 1$ which holds for any $\lambda > \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$

Consequence. Let A maximal monotone.

Then $\forall \lambda > 0$, $I + \lambda A : D(A) \rightarrow H$ is bijection and $(I + \lambda A)^{-1}$ is a bounded (continuous) operator with $\|(I + \lambda A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq 1$

Definition Given X, Y Banach spaces and $T: X \rightarrow Y$, the graph of T is defined as

$$G(T) = \{ (x, Tx) \} \subset X \times Y$$

Closed Graph Theorem Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$, (X, Y) linear operator, T is continuous (Banach)

if and only if $G(T)$ is a closed set in $X \times Y$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} x_n \rightarrow x \\ Tx_n \rightarrow y \end{array} \right) \text{ implies } \underline{Tx = y}$$

Property Let A maximal monotone, $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$. Then A is a closed operator i.e. $G(A)$ is closed subset of $H \times H$.

Proof Let $\{u_n\} \subset D(A)$ such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u, Au_n \rightarrow f$$

Then $u_n + Au_n \rightarrow u + f$ and since A is maximal

$$u_n = (I + A)^{-1}(u_n + Au_n) \rightarrow (I + A)^{-1}(u + f)$$

$$\Rightarrow u = (I + A)^{-1}(u + f) \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{u \in D(A)} \quad \underline{\text{and}} \\ u + Au = u + f \text{ so } \underline{Au = f} \end{array} \right.$$

On $D(A)$ define the graph norm

$$\|u\|_{D(A)} = \|u\| + \|Au\|$$

Remark $(D(A), \|\cdot\|)$ is not complete in general.
unless $D(A) = H$ and in which case A must be continuous.
However,

Theorem $(D(A), \|\cdot\|_{D(A)})$ is Banach space and
thus Hilbert space for the inner product

$$(u, v)_{D(A)} = (u, v) + (Au, Av)$$

Proof Let $\{u_n\}$ Cauchy in $D(A)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{D(A)}$.
Then $\{u_n\}, \{Au_n\}$ are Cauchy in H , thus
 $(u_n \rightarrow u, Au_n \rightarrow f)$ and since A is closed
operator we must have $Au = f$ such that
 $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\|\cdot\|_{D(A)} : \|u_n - u\|_{D(A)} = \|u_n - u\| + \|Au_n - Au\| \rightarrow 0$

Fundamental example / consequence

$$-\Delta : H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

if $\begin{cases} v_n \rightarrow v \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \\ -\Delta v_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \end{cases}$ then $\begin{cases} v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \text{and} \\ -\Delta v = f \end{cases}$

in addition, $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ is Hilbert w.r.t.

$$\|u\|_{D(A)}^2 = \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 \text{ thus this is equivalent}$$

$$\text{to the } H^2\text{-norm } \|u\|_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} |\partial^\alpha u|^2$$

Definition Let A maximal monotone operator.

For each $\lambda > 0$ define

i) The resolvent of A as the operator

$$J_\lambda : H \rightarrow D(A), \quad J_\lambda = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$$

ii) Yosida regularization of A as the operator

$$A_\lambda : H \rightarrow H, \quad A_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda} (I - J_\lambda)$$

Remark $\|J_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq 1$ since λA is max. monotone

Proposition Let A maximal monotone and $\lambda > 0$.

Then

a) $A_\lambda v = A(J_\lambda v), \forall v \in H$

b) $A_\lambda v = J_\lambda(Av), \forall v \in D(A)$

} this implies that A and J_λ commute on $D(A)$

c) $\|A_\lambda v\| \leq \|Av\|, \forall v \in D(A)$

d) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} A_\lambda v = Av, \forall v \in D(A)$

e) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} J_\lambda v = v, \forall v \in H$

f) $(A_\lambda v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in H$ (so A_λ is monotone)

g) $\|A_\lambda v\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v\|, \forall v \in H$ (so $\|A_\lambda\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$)

Remark $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 0}$ is a family of bounded (continuous) operators that approaches A as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, pointwise.

(clearly, $\|A_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$)

Proof of the Hille-Yosida theorem (sketch)

Uniqueness: Let u_1, u_2 solutions, denote $u = u_1 - u_2$

Then $(\frac{du}{dt}, u) = -(Au, u) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 \leq 0$

thus $t \rightarrow \|u(t)\|^2$ is decreasing,

$\|u(t)\| \leq \|u(0)\| = 0 \Rightarrow u(t) = 0, \forall t \geq 0$

Existence Replace the unbounded operator A by the bounded Yosida regularization operator A_λ ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{du_\lambda}{dt} + A_\lambda u_\lambda = 0 \text{ on } [0, \infty) \\ u_\lambda(0) = u_0 \in D(A) \end{array} \right.$$

and show that $u_\lambda(t) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} u(t)$ uniformly on $[0, \infty)$

$$\frac{du_\lambda}{dt}(t) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{du}{dt}(t) \text{ uniformly on } [0, \infty)$$

Then show that $J_\lambda u_\lambda(t) \rightarrow u(t)$

thus $A_\lambda u_\lambda(t) = A(J_\lambda u_\lambda(t)) \rightarrow -\frac{du}{dt} \Rightarrow$

since A is closed operator

$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{du}{dt} + Au = 0, u \in D(A)}$