

Conjugate gradient methods

Given matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite, consider linear system

$$(*) \quad Ax = b$$

Solution to (*) also is the solution to the optimization problem

$$(**) \quad \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x \right\} \longrightarrow \text{denote } \phi(x)$$

Conjugate gradient (CG) methods provide an iterative approach to approximate the solution x^* to (*) / (**) using A-conjugate directions

Definition The vectors $\{p_0, \dots, p_k\}$ are A-conjugate if $p_i \neq 0$, $i = 0:k$ and

$$p_i^T A p_j = 0, \quad \forall i \neq j$$

Property A-conjugate vectors are linearly independent.

Notice $c_0 p_0 + \dots + c_k p_k = 0 \mid p_i^T A \cdot \Rightarrow c_i = 0$

Assume that p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} are A -conjugate vectors. (thus form a basis to \mathbb{R}^n).

Given an initial guess $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

where $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ (positive or negative!) is

obtained as solution to

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(x_k + \alpha p_k) = \frac{1}{2} (x_k + \alpha p_k)^T A (x_k + \alpha p_k) - b^T (x_k + \alpha p_k)$$

Notation For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nabla \phi(x) = Ax - b = \underline{\underline{\Gamma(x)}}$
 $\Gamma(x)$ is called residual.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\alpha) = \phi(x_k + \alpha p_k) &= \frac{1}{2} (p_k^T A p_k) \alpha^2 + \\ &+ \alpha (p_k^T A x_k - b^T p_k) + \phi(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

Minimizer

$$\alpha_k = - \frac{p_k^T \Gamma_k}{p_k^T A p_k}$$

Theorem Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric and positive definite matrix and p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} A -conjugate vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Then for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the sequence

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k, \quad \alpha_k = - \frac{p_k^T r_k}{p_k^T A p_k}$$

converges to the solution x^* of the problem (*) / (**) in at most n steps (iterations).

Proof Since $\{p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ are linearly independent, they form a basis to \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$x^* - x_0 = c_0 p_0 + \dots + c_{n-1} p_{n-1}$$

where the coefficients c_k are obtained as

$$c_k = \frac{p_k^T A (x^* - x_0)}{p_k^T A p_k} = \frac{p_k^T (b - A x_0)}{p_k^T A p_k} = - \frac{p_k^T r_0}{p_k^T A p_k}$$

Notice that $x_k = x_0 + \alpha_0 p_0 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} p_{k-1}$

implies $p_k^T A (x_k - x_0) = 0 \Rightarrow p_k^T r_k = p_k^T r_0$

Thus $c_k = \alpha_k$

Remark Eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal AND A -orthogonal :

$$\begin{aligned} A v_i &= \lambda_i v_i \\ A v_j &= \lambda_j v_j \end{aligned} \quad \text{with } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$$

then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v_j^T A v_i &= \lambda_i v_j^T v_i \\ v_i^T A v_j &= \lambda_j v_i^T v_j \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) v_i^T v_j = 0$$

Thus $v_i^T v_j = 0$ and $v_i^T A v_j = 0$.

Denote $P = [p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}]$ where p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} are A -conjugate. Then

$P^T A P$ is a diagonal matrix.

Eigenvectors of the matrix A may be used as A -conjugate directions however, finding the eigenvectors is very expensive. The CG method provides an efficient approach

Theorem (Subspace minimization)

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$, $\alpha_k = -\frac{p_k^T r_k}{p_k^T A p_k}$

where p_0, \dots, p_{k-1} are A -conjugate.

Denote $S_k = \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}\}$

Then

i) $r_k^T \cdot p_i = 0$, $i = 0 : k-1$

(thus $r_k \perp S_k$)

ii) x_k is solution to the minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \{x_0 + S_k\}} \phi(x)$$

Proof : i) $x_1 = x_0 + \alpha_0 p_0$

$$r_1 = Ax_1 - b = r_0 + \alpha_0 A p_0 \quad (\text{since } r_0 = Ax_0 - b)$$

$$p_0^T r_1 = p_0^T r_0 + \alpha_0 p_0^T A p_0 = p_0^T r_0 - p_0^T r_0 = 0$$

Remark

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k \Rightarrow \boxed{r_{k+1} = r_k + \alpha_k A p_k}$$

By induction, assume that

$$r_{k-1}^T \cdot p_i = 0, \quad i = 0 : k-2$$

and show that $\Gamma_k^T \cdot p_i = 0, \quad i = 0:k-1$

indeed, since $\Gamma_k = \Gamma_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1} A p_{k-1}$

then $\Gamma_k^T \cdot p_i = \Gamma_{k-1}^T \cdot p_i + \alpha_{k-1} p_{k-1}^T A p_i = 0$

for $i = 0:k-2$

For $i = k-1$, $\Gamma_k^T \cdot p_{k-1} = \Gamma_{k-1}^T \cdot p_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1} p_{k-1}^T A p_{k-1} = 0$

since $\alpha_{k-1} = - \frac{p_{k-1}^T \cdot \Gamma_{k-1}}{p_{k-1}^T A p_{k-1}}$

Proof of ii) Let $x_k^* = \min_{\{x_0 + S_k\}} \phi(x)$

Then $x_k^* = x_0 + c_0 p_0 + \dots + c_{k-1} p_{k-1}$

$\varphi(c_0, \dots, c_{k-1}) = \phi(x_0 + c_0 p_0 + \dots + c_{k-1} p_{k-1})$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial c_i} = \nabla \phi \cdot p_i = (x_0 + c_0 p_0 + \dots + c_{k-1} p_{k-1})^T \cdot A p_i - b^T \cdot p_i = \cancel{c_0 p_0^T A p_i} + \dots + \cancel{c_{k-1} p_{k-1}^T A p_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial c_i} = 0 \Rightarrow c_i p_i^T \Gamma_0 + c_i p_i^T A p_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_i = - \frac{p_i^T \Gamma_0}{p_i^T A p_i} = - \frac{p_i^T \cdot r_i}{p_i^T A p_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{c_i = \alpha_i}$$

Conjugate gradient iteration

→ generates a new vector p_k in terms of only p_{k-1} and such that p_k is A -orthogonal on all p_0, \dots, p_{k-1} .

Define $\boxed{p_0 = -r_0}$ → the steepest descent direction.

Set $\boxed{p_k = -r_k + \beta_k p_{k-1}}$

where β_k is defined such that

$$p_{k-1}^T A p_k = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\beta_k = \frac{r_k^T A p_{k-1}}{p_{k-1}^T A p_{k-1}}}$$

Theorem Assume that $x_k \neq x^*$. Then

- i) $r_k^T \cdot r_i = 0$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$
- ii) $\text{span}\{r_0, \dots, r_k\} = \text{span}\{r_0, A r_0, \dots, A^k r_0\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{K}(r_0, k)$
- iii) $\text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_k\} = \text{span}\{r_0, A r_0, \dots, A^k r_0\}$ Krylov space
- iv) $\boxed{p_k^T A p_i = 0}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$

Therefore, the iteration $\{x_k\}$ converges to x^* in at most n steps.

→ proof by induction (Th. 5.3 in text book)
Nocedal & Wright: Numerical Optimization

Conjugate Gradient Algorithm (initial version)

Given x_0 initial guess

$$r_0 = Ax_0 - b$$

$$p_0 = -r_0$$

$$k = 0$$

while $r_k \neq 0$

$$\alpha_k = - \frac{r_k^T \cdot p_k}{p_k^T A p_k}$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$$

$$r_{k+1} = Ax_{k+1} - b$$

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T A p_k}{p_k^T A p_k}$$

$$p_{k+1} = -r_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1} p_k$$

$$k = k+1$$

end

→ computational cost per iteration

$$A p_k = O(n^2), \quad A x_{k+1} = O(n^2)$$

may be refined with some useful remarks.

Remarks

$$\text{For } \alpha_k = - \frac{\Gamma_k^T \rho_k}{\rho_k^T A \rho_k}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Since } \rho_k = -\Gamma_k + \beta_k \rho_{k-1} \\ \text{and } \Gamma_k^T \cdot \rho_i = 0, \quad i=0:k-1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \Gamma_k^T \rho_k = -\Gamma_k^T \cdot \Gamma_k$$

Thus,

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\Gamma_k^T \cdot \Gamma_k}{\rho_k^T A \rho_k}$$

$$\text{For } \Gamma_{k+1} = A x_{k+1} - b$$

$$\text{use } \Gamma_{k+1} = A(x_k + \alpha_k \rho_k) - b = \Gamma_k + \alpha_k A \rho_k$$

$$\Gamma_{k+1} = \Gamma_k + \alpha_k A \rho_k$$

$$\text{For } \beta_{k+1} = \frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^T A \rho_k}{\rho_k^T A \rho_k}$$

$$\text{use } A \rho_k = \frac{1}{\alpha_k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_k) = \frac{\rho_k^T A \rho_k}{\Gamma_k^T \cdot \Gamma_k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_k)$$

Then

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^T \cdot \Gamma_{k+1}}{\Gamma_k^T \cdot \Gamma_k}$$

Conjugate Gradient Algorithm (practical version)

x_0 initial guess

$$r_0 = Ax_0 - b$$

$$p_0 = -r_0$$

$$k = 0$$

while $r_k \neq 0$

next
iterate

$$\alpha_k = \frac{r_k^T \cdot r_k}{p_k^T \cdot A p_k}$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$$

$$r_{k+1} = r_k + \alpha_k A p_k$$

next
direction

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1}}{r_k^T r_k}$$

$$p_{k+1} = -r_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1} p_k$$

$$k = k + 1$$

end

For implementation
work with 3 pairs
of vectors
(x, x_{new})
(r, r_{new})
(p, p_{new})

Remarks: CG algorithm generates at once
with x_{k+1} the next direction p_{k+1}

Cost per iteration: $A p_k \rightarrow O(n^2)$, $p_k^T (A p_k) \rightarrow n$
 $r_{k+1}^T r_k \rightarrow n$

→ No need for explicit matrix A , just the
ability to evaluate matrix-vector product $A p$