

5.1 Numerical Differentiation

We develop finite difference formulas for approximating derivatives.

5.1.1 Finite Difference Formulas

Recall from Calculus, $f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$

Using Taylor's Theorem (w/ "center" : x) we have:

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(\xi)$$

for some $\xi \in (x, x+h)$. This equation implies the following:

Two-point forward-difference formula

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - \underbrace{\frac{h}{2} f''(\xi)}_{\text{"error"}} \quad \text{where } \xi \in (x, x+h).$$

(*Note that for small h this gives us a small error quantity. We call the two-point forward-difference formula a first order method for approximating $f'(x)$.

In general, we say a method is "order n" if the error is $\mathcal{O}(h^n)$, for an approximation.

The idea here is that for first order approximation, the error is proportional to h as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Ex. Use the two-point forward-difference formula with $h=0.1$ to approximate $f'(x)$, where $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ @ $x=2$.

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = \frac{\frac{1}{2.1} - \frac{1}{2}}{0.1} \approx \boxed{-.2381}$$

slope of secant line

True value: $f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}$ @ $x=2 \rightarrow$ error: $-.2381 - (-.2500) = \boxed{.0119}$

Compare the error to the predicted error given by $\frac{hf''(c)}{2}$ for $c \in (2, 2.1)$

$$f''(x) = \frac{2}{x^3} \rightarrow \text{error is b/w } (0.1) \cdot 2^{-3} \approx .0125 \text{ \& } (0.1)(2.1)^{-3} \approx .0106$$

which is consistent with our result.

Next we consider a means to develop a second-order formula.

By Taylor's theorem:

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6} f'''(c_1)$$

where:
 $c_1 \in (x, x+h)$
 $c_2 \in (x-h, x)$

$$\textcircled{=} f(x-h) = f(x) - hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6} f'''(c_2)$$

$$f(x+h) - f(x-h) = 2hf'(x) + \frac{h^3}{6} [f'''(c_1) - f'''(c_2)]$$

\rightarrow Solving for $f'(x)$:
$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} - \frac{h^2}{12} [f'''(c_1) - f'''(c_2)]$$

"error"

Before issuing a "nice" clean answer, we would like to first consolidate the error terms above. To do so, we use an extension of the IMVT from calculus.

Theorem Generalized Intermediate Value Theorem.

Let f be a continuous function on $[a, b]$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be points in $[a, b]$ & $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$. Then there exists a number c

in (a, b) such that:
$$(a_1 + \dots + a_n) f(c) = a_1 f(x_1) + \dots + a_n f(x_n)$$

Proof Let $f(x_i)$ be the minimum & $f(x_j)$ be the maximum of f on function values. Then:

$$a_1 f(x_i) + \dots + a_n f(x_i) \leq a_1 f(x_1) + \dots + a_n f(x_n) \leq a_1 f(x_j) + \dots + a_n f(x_j)$$

which implies that:

$$f(x_i) \leq \frac{a_1 f(x_1) + \dots + a_n f(x_n)}{a_1 + \dots + a_n} \leq f(x_j)$$

By the **IMVT**, there exists a number $c \in (x_i, x_j)$ such that

$$f(c) = \frac{a_1 f(x_1) + \dots + a_n f(x_n)}{a_1 + \dots + a_n} \quad \square$$

Observe that the Generalized IMVT (above) indicates that we may consolidate the error terms from before, whereupon:

Three-point centered difference formula

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} - \frac{h^2}{6} f'''(c)$$

$$x-h < c < x+h$$

∇
Order "two" approximation!

Ex. Use the Three-point central difference formula w/ $h=0.1$

To approximate $f'(x)$ with $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $x=2$.

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} = \frac{\frac{1}{2.1} - \frac{1}{1.9}}{0.2} \approx \boxed{-2506}$$

The error here is $\boxed{.0006}$, a significant improvement on the two-point forward difference used previously.

Q: How do we numerically approximate higher derivatives?

A: Simple: use Taylor series, as usual, & algebraically eliminate $f'(x)$ terms, & solve for $f''(x)$.

Recall:

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6} f'''(x) + \frac{h^4}{24} f^{(4)}(c_1)$$

$$\oplus \quad f(x-h) = f(x) - hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6} f'''(x) + \frac{h^4}{24} f^{(4)}(c_2)$$

$$f(x+h) + f(x-h) = 2f(x) + h^2 f''(x) + \frac{h^4}{24} [f^{(4)}(c_1) + f^{(4)}(c_2)]$$

Once again we need the generalized I.M.V.T...

Three-Point Central-Difference Formula for Second Derivative

$$f''(x) = \frac{f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h)}{h^2} - \frac{h^2}{12} f^{(4)}(c)$$

where $c \in (x-h, x+h)$.

A caveat for numerical differentiation (*)

Each of the previous methods in fs.1 relies, fundamentally, on subtracting/dividing nearly equal quantities. Unfortunately, when using floating point arithmetic (i.e. performing computations on a computer with a finite: ϵ_{mach}) such computations can lead to significant deterioration in the quality of approximations due to loss of significance. We illustrate this phenomenon with an example.

Ex. Approximate $f'(x)$ when $f(x) = e^x @ x=0$.

Two-point formula:

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{e^{x+h} - e^x}{h}$$

Three point:

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{e^{x+h} - e^{x-h}}{2h} \quad (\text{set } x=0)$$

h	error for 2-point
10 ⁻¹	-.0517...
10 ⁻²	-.00501
⋮	⋮
10 ⁻⁹	-.00000523

} error increased

h	error for 3-point
10 ⁻¹	-.001667
10 ⁻²	-.00001666
⋮	⋮
10 ⁻⁹	-.00000002722

} error increased

Why does this happen? Consider 3-point error:

$$f'(x) - \hat{f}'(x) = f'(x) - \frac{f(x+h) + \epsilon_1 - (f(x-h) + \epsilon_2)}{2h} = \dots$$

$$= \underbrace{f'(x)}_{\text{correct}} - \underbrace{\frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}}_{\text{formula}} + \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{2h}}_{\text{rounding error}}$$

Moral: when h becomes too small error can actually increase!

Extrapolation

Assume that we have an order n formula F(h) for approximating the quantity Q. This tells us that:

$$Q \approx F(h) + Kh^n$$

where K is roughly constant over the range of h. (*)

As before, we would like to algebraically manipulate this formula of order n so as to produce a higher order approximation. The key insight here is that we use $\frac{h}{2}$ instead of h, as this should reduce the error from a constant times h^n to a constant times $(\frac{h}{2})^n$, i.e. we reduce the error by a factor of 2^n.

That is to say, we expect:

$$Q - F(\frac{h}{2}) \approx \frac{1}{2^n} [Q - F(h)]$$

Solving for Q...

Extrapolation for order n formula

(Richardson Extrapolation)

$$Q \approx \frac{2^n F(\frac{h}{2}) - F(h)}{2^n - 1}$$

→ This formula typically yields a higher-order approximation of Q.

why?

$$Q = \underbrace{F_n(h)}_{n\text{-order formula}} + Kh^n + O(h^{n+1})$$

Now we cut h in half.

$$Q = F_n\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + K\left(\frac{h^n}{2^n}\right) + O(h^{n+1})$$

A Re extrapolated version which we call $F_{n+1}(h)$ satisfies:

$$\underbrace{F_{n+1}(h)}_{\text{"Q"}} = \frac{2^n F_n(h/2) - F_n(h)}{2^n - 1}$$

$$= \frac{2^n (Q - Kh^n/2^n - O(h^{n+1})) - (Q - Kh^n - O(h^{n+1}))}{2^n - 1}$$

$$= Q + \frac{-Kh^n + Kh^n + O(h^{n+1})}{2^n - 1} = \underline{Q + O(h^{n+1})} \quad \square$$

This shows that $F_{n+1}(h)$ is at least an order n+1 formula for approximating the quantity Q .

[Ex.] Apply the extrapolated formula for second-order centered diff. $F_2(h)$ for $f'(x)$.

$$F_4(x) = \frac{2^2 F_2(h/2) - F_2(h)}{2^2 - 1} = \left[\frac{4 \cdot \frac{f(x+h/2) - 2f(x) + f(x-h/2)}{h^2/4} - \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2}}{3} \right]$$

$$= \frac{-f(x-h) - 8f(x-h/2) + 8f(x+h/2) - f(x+h)}{6h}$$

A five-point centered difference formula!
(This is an order 4 formula)